1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney		
2	JESSE C. SMITH, State Bar #122517 Chief Assistant City Attorney		
3	SHERRI SOKELAND KAIŠER, State Bar #197986 PETER J. KEITH, State Bar #206482		
4	Deputy City Attorneys 1390 Market Street, Suite 700		
5	San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-3886 (Kaiser)		
6	Telephone: (415) 554-3908 (Keith) Facsimile: (415) 554-6747		
7	E-Mail: sherri.kaiser@sfgov.org peter.keith@sfgov.org		
8	Attorneys for MAYOR EDWIN M. LEE		
9		NOMMERION	
10	ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
11	CITY AND COUNT	Y OF SAN FRANCISCO	
12			
13	In the Matter of Charges Against	DECLARATION OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF PAUL HENDERSON	
14	ROSS MIRKARIMI,	STAIT FAUL HENDERSON	
15	Sheriff, City and County of San Francisco.		
16			
17	I, Paul Henderson, declare as follows:		
18	1. I have personal knowledge of the	matters stated herein, except for those matters set	
19	 forth on information and belief, which I believe to be true. If called to testify, I could and would testify competently as to all matters set forth herein. 2. I am the Deputy Chief of Staff, Director of Public Safety for Mayor Ed Lee. I have held this position since March 2011. Prior to this, I served as a prosecutor in the San Francisco 		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	District Attorney's Office for 16 years, most recently as the Chief of Administration. Prior to that, I was the co-manager of the Trial Division, and before that I served as a trial attorney in a variety of		
25			
26		omestic Violence, Juvenile Prosecutions, and Hate	
27	Crimes. I have experience with all aspects of th	e San Francisco criminal justice system.	
28			

3. The Mayor is the chief executive officer of the City and County of San Francisco. Among other things, the Mayor is responsible for coordinating the intergovernmental activities of the City and for overseeing all departments and other governmental units in the executive branch. As the Mayor's Deputy Chief of Staff, Public Safety, one of my main duties is to oversee the public safety operations of the City for the Mayor's Office. This includes advising the Mayor on administrative and policy issues related to criminal justice; solving problems between and among criminal justice agencies to ensure their ability to work effectively; promoting community efforts to address public safety; and building and maintaining strong alliances between City agencies and the community to achieve public safety goals. In regard to domestic violence, those goals include violence prevention, arrest and prosecution of offenders, support and advocacy for victims, and fostering a civic culture in which family violence is never acceptable.

Domestic Violence In San Francisco

4. Domestic violence is a serious and widespread threat to public safety in San Francisco. As tabulated by the San Francisco Family Violence Council, a group on which I serve, the twelve months between July 1, 2009, and June 30, 2010, saw more than 7,000 emergency domestic violence calls to 911; more than 4,000 domestic violence incidents reported to the police; nearly 1,400 requests for civil temporary restraining orders attributable to domestic violence; and more than 26,000 calls to community-based domestic violence crisis lines. Attached hereto as Exhibit 42 is a true and correct copy of the Family Violence Council's *Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco (2010)*.

San Francisco's Citywide Efforts To Eradicate Domestic Violence

5. San Francisco has long been at the forefront of efforts to address domestic violence. In 1975, the City created the Commission on the Status of Women ("Commission") as an executive branch commission appointed by the Mayor. The Commission is charged with developing and recommending "policies and practices for the City and County to reduce the particular impacts on women and girls of problems such as domestic violence, sexual harassment, employment and health

care inequity, and homelessness." In 1976, the City, through the Commission, funded La Casa de las Madres, California's first and the nation's second domestic violence shelter. Since then, San Francisco has remained steadfastly committed to the fight against domestic violence in all of its manifestations.

6. In 1990, San Franciscans were shocked and outraged by the brutal murder of Veena Charan by her husband at her children's school. He had an extensive history of domestic violence and contacts with the criminal justice system. In 1991, the Commission on the Status of Women ("Commission") investigated the events leading to Ms. Charan's death. The Commission recommended numerous policy and operational changes to the criminal justice agencies and superior court, many of which they adopted. Specialized units were created within the Police and Adult Probation Departments, and domestic violence response training of criminal justice personnel was expanded throughout the system.

7. Despite this progress, the fatal stabbing of Claire Joyce Tempongko in front of her
two children by her ex-boyfriend in 2000 exposed the continuing weaknesses of San Francisco's
response to domestic violence. Like Veena Charan's husband, Claire Joyce Tempongko's murderer,
Tari Ramirez, had had repeated contacts with every aspect of the San Francisco criminal justice
system as his violence against Ms. Tempongko escalated.

8. Community members requested an investigation into the city-wide response to domestic violence. The Commission formed a Review Panel and asked the City Attorney to conduct the investigation into the City's actions in regard to the events leading to Ms. Tempongko's murder. After identifying a series of missed opportunities to intervene more forcefully in the numerous acts of domestic violence reported to the City, the City Attorney's report concluded that the most striking problem was the absence of collaboration and information-sharing between the City's criminal justice agencies. Attached hereto as Exhibit 43 is a true and correct copy of the report of the domestic violence investigation conducted by the City Attorney. As that report put it, "Each department dealt with the same defendant and victim, played a role in monitoring the conduct of the defendant, assessed the risk the defendant posed, and shared responsibility for ensuring

public safety. Yet each department appeared to operate almost independently without effectively communicating vital information to each other." Ex. 43 at p. 21.

9. Building on the information provided by the City Attorney, the Commission released its own report in 2002, *Justice and Courage: A Blueprint for San Francisco's Response to Domestic Violence*, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 44. The Commission emphasized the need to improve communication both among City agencies and between the City agencies and community-based services, and it provided a series of policy recommendations.

10. The Commission also formed the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel, a policy body charged with overseeing implementation of resulting policy recommendations. The Panel, chaired by Commissioner Andrea Shorter, includes representatives from La Casa de las Madres, the Domestic Violence Consortium which represents 17 service providers, Bay Area Legal Aid, a batterer's intervention program, and a permanent housing facility for women.

11. The Justice & Courage Oversight Panel has been meeting continuously for over 10 years and serves as the backbone in overseeing and coordinating the City's response to domestic violence. During its tenure:

- Protocols for domestic violence victim response were reviewed and updated at the District Attorney's Office, Emergency Communications Department, Police Department, and Sheriff's Department.
- The District Attorney assigned a full-time investigator for misdemeanor DV cases and conducts regular training of other agencies on procedures to enhance conviction in DV cases.
- The Emergency Communications Department protocol was changed to identify domestic violence calls and flag the possible presence of batterers for first responders.
- The Police Department introduced new codes and dedicated investigators to address stalking cases.

4

• The Sheriff's Department revised procedures to better capture the degree to which batterers' continue to be risks to their victims.

1

2

3

4

• The City launched a Mobile Language Interpretation Project to enable victims to report crimes, including domestic violence, to the Police in their native languages.

• The Department on the Status of Women conducted the Domestic Violence Response Cross-Training Institute for 435 criminal justice personnel to promote a victim-centered response.

• The Department on the Status of Women trained over 100 first responders in legal and domestic violence terminology in Spanish and in Chinese to further expand language access for victims.

12. In 2007, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel again reviewed the City's domestic violence response systems and identified a number of additional gaps. A true and correct copy of the 2007 Domestic Violence Safety and Accountability Audit is attached hereto as Exhibit 45.

13. In June 2007, then-Mayor Gavin Newsom issued Executive Directive 07-05, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 46. Executive Directive 07-05 directed all City agencies to cooperate fully with the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel in implementing all of the recommendations in the audit. The Mayor further required all criminal justice departments to collaborate with community-based agencies in a number of areas.

14. The City has also provided significant financial support to community-based organizations serving domestic violence survivors and their families. The Commission's Cityfunded Violence Against Women Prevention and Intervention Grants Program has steadily increased from a first small grant to La Casa de las Madres to the current amount of approximately \$2.7 million in grants shared among 24 community-based service providers.

15. The City's consistent commitment to ending domestic violence, the leadership of community organizations, a series of fundamental policy reforms, and the City's sizable investment in direct services for domestic violence survivors have resulted in a reduction in domestic violence homicide by 80% over the past decade, from an annual average of 10 murders to now 2 or less. This significant reduction represents concrete progress in saving lives.

The Sheriff Must Aid The Effort To Curtail Domestic Violence

16. The Sheriff has a fundamental responsibility to all San Franciscans and his coordinate criminal justice agencies to foster and support the City's public safety efforts. In regard to domestic violence, that requires the Sheriff to work closely and collaborate well with the Mayor, the Police Department, the District Attorney's Office, the Adult Probation Department, the Commission and Department on the Status of Women, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel, City-funded community service providers, and victims of domestic violence. The Sheriff also has to convey to the public that domestic violence is a serious matter of public concern that is never acceptable.

The Sheriff Cannot Meet His Responsibilities In Regard To Domestic Violence

17. Sheriff Mirkarimi's domestic violence against his wife, in combination with his subsequent statement that domestic violence is a "family matter" or a "private matter," makes it virtually impossible for the Sheriff to collaborate with other domerstic violence stakeholders. Domestic violence advocates and service providers are critical to the City's ability to respond effectively to domestic violence, but many of them are no longer willing to sit at the table with Sheriff Mirkarimi, and the Mayor cannot order them to do so.

18. A broken relationship between the domestic violence community and the Sheriff harms the City's ability to respond to domestic violence and puts battered women (and men) at risk. The current system depends on a fabric of relationships that is sufficiently strong to allow one person or group working on a domestic violence issue to call any other stakeholder with issues, concerns, or emergent developments. Even if all of the City and community organizations could maintain those close relationships with the sole exception of the Sheriff, there would still be a hole in that fabric that could result in a real injury to a real person. The City has tried before to respond to domestic violence solely at the agency level, and it learned through tragedy that that approach does not work.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the fore	
	is true and correct. Executed this 8th day of June, 2012, in San Francisco, California.
	<u>/s/</u>
	PAUL HENDERSON
l	7