ETHICS COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Date: June 22, 2016

To: Members of the Ethics Commission

From: LeeAnn Pelham, Executive Director

Re: **AGENDA ITEM 5 – Proposed November 2016 Ballot Measure to Restrict Lobbyist Gifts, Campaign Contributions and Bundled Contributions**

Summary This memo provides proposed language for a November 2016 ballot measure regarding potential new restrictions on lobbyist gifts, campaign contributions, and bundled contributions.

Action Requested That the Ethics Commission take action at its June 27, 2016 regular meeting to directly place the proposed language on the November 2016 ballot pursuant to its authority under Charter Sec. 15.102

Background

San Francisco Charter Section 15.102, in part, provides authority for the Ethics Commission to place measures on the ballot by a four-fifths vote of all its members:

> "Any ordinance which the Supervisors are empowered to pass relating to conflicts of interest, campaign finance, lobbying, campaign consultants or governmental ethics may be submitted to the electors at the next succeeding general election by the Ethics Commission by a four-fifths vote of all its members."

At its April 25, 2016 meeting, the Ethics Commission ("Commission") was asked to consider a proposed ballot measure to place before San Francisco voters on the November 2016 ballot. As proposed by two groups, the San Francisco chapter of Represent.Us and Friends of Ethics, new restrictions would be created in City law to further limit gifts from lobbyists to city officers, impose a $50 limit on campaign contributions from lobbyists, and ban the bundling of campaign contributions by lobbyists. Information provided by the proponents in support of those proposals appears as Attachment 1.

Following its discussion and public comment at the April meeting, the Commission voted 4-0 to request Staff to provide at the May Commission meeting for possible action its analysis and recommendations regarding the proposed ballot measure. The Commission also requested that the May Staff report include feedback from Interested Persons meetings held in the following weeks to solicit public comment on the proposals. On May 11 and May 16, Commission Staff held Interested Persons meetings to obtain public comment on the proposals. Additional public comment was received during the Commission's further

discussion of the proposal at its regular meeting on May 23, 2016. No action was taken at that meeting, however Commissioners stated a desire to have draft language for consideration and possible action at its June meeting.

Since the May Commission meeting, Staff has met telephonically with individuals that had attended the May Interested Persons meetings to further discuss and refine the proposal, with the goal of maximizing its effectiveness, clarity, and enforceability.

Based on these further discussions, Staff has attached for the Commission's consideration the proposed initiative ordinance that appears in Attachment 2. The specific policy goals served, approaches taken, and changes from existing City law are discussed below. Recommendations and alternatives shown below are numbered sequentially for ease of reference.

I. FINDINGS

Background. At their core, the proposed gift, contribution and bundling restrictions aim to strengthen public trust that governmental decision making is fair, objective and based on the merits of issues, and is not influenced by the provision of personal benefits to governmental decision makers or financial support for decision makers' governmental or political interests.[1]

Findings expressed in the City's lobbying law (SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sect. 2.100 *et seq.*) should be amended to reflect the general basis for the new restrictions.

Currently, the Findings section at Sec. 2.100 (a) addresses the importance of public disclosure of lobbying activities to protecting public confidence in the responsiveness and representative nature of government officials and institutions. In addressing prohibitions on campaign consultants lobbying current and former clients, Sec. 2.100 (b) describes the City and County of San Francisco's compelling interest in preventing corruption or the appearance of correction that could erode public confidence in the fairness and impartiality of government decisions.

Recommendation 1 Add a new subsection (c) to include a finding with regard to the policy purpose of further restrictions on lobbyist gifts, campaign contributions, and bundled contributions:

(c) <u>To increase public confidence in the fairness and responsiveness of governmental decision making, it is the further purpose and intent of the people of the City and County of San Francisco to restrict lobbyist gifts, campaign contributions, and bundled campaign contributions from lobbyists to City officers so that governmental decisions are not, and do not appear to be, influenced by the giving of personal benefits to City Officers by lobbyists, or by lobbyists' financial support of City officers' political interests.</u>

[1] Examples of news and commentary that illustrate these concerns appear at Attachment 3.

II. ABILITY TO AMEND

Background. To ensure any new gift, contribution, or bundling restrictions enacted remain strong and effective, future amendments may be warranted. The Ethics Commission should have the ability to initiate and propose amendments to these provisions to the Board of Supervisors that further the laws' purposes, without subsequent voter approval. This can be accomplished by applying the same language that voters adopted in November 2015 for expenditure lobbyist amendments in Proposition C. Using language included in that measure, Section 2.103 could be revised to also reference amendment of lobbyist gift, contribution and bundling restrictions.

Recommendation 2 SEC. 2.103. AMENDMENT OR REPEAL.
With respect to any provisions of this Chapter regarding regulation of expenditure lobbyists, or restrictions on gifts, campaign contributions, or bundled campaign contributions from lobbyists, approved by the voters, the Board of Supervisors may amend those provisions if all of the following conditions are met:
(a) The amendment furthers the purposes of this Chapter;
(b) The Ethics Commission approves the proposed amendment in advance by at least a four-fifths vote of all its members;
(c) The proposed amendment is available for public review at least 30 days before the amendment is considered by the Board of Supervisors or any committee of the Board of Supervisors; and
(d) The Board of Supervisors approves the proposed amendment by at least a two-thirds vote of all its members.

III. GIFTS

Background. Under City law, SFC&CGG Sec 3.216(b)(2), the term "gift" has the same meaning as under the Political Reform Act, California Government Code Section 81000 et seq., and the regulations adopted thereunder, including any subsequent amendments. The current gift limit established for lobbyists under City law appears at SF C&GCC Article II, Chapter 1, Section 2.115(a):

> (a) **GIFT LIMIT.** No lobbyist shall make gifts to an officer of the City and County that have a fair market value of more than $25, except for those gifts that would qualify for one of the exemptions under Section 3.216(b) of this Code and its implementing regulations.[2]

SF C&GCC Sec 3.216(b) establishes a limit on gifts from any "restricted source" to a city officer or employee. A "restricted source" is defined as including "any person who during the prior 12 months knowingly attempted to influence the officer or employee in any legislative or administrative action," such as a lobbyist. In its current form, Section 2.115(a) attempts to harmonize the restricted source gift limits with the limits established in the Lobbyist Ordinance. Relevant here, Section 3.216(b) provides exemptions for the following types of travel:

- travel within the United States, so long as the official taking the trip to give a speech; or

[2] Public comment received related to the exemptions provided under current law appears at Attachment 4.

- domestic or international travel, if the entity funding the trip is a government agency, an educational institution such as a university, or a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization.

Thus, under current law, lobbyists could attempt to fund travel provided to an official in connection with a speech, and, arguably, could legally assist in the funding of travel provided by a government agency, a university or a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization.[3]

Notably, however, these travel exceptions do not apply if a third-party makes a contribution to an organization directly paying for or reimbursing an official's travel with the intent of providing a gift of travel to that official, that is, if the third-party uses the organization as a pass-through, or intermediary. Under such circumstances, state law treats a contribution made to a third-party to fund the official's travel as a gift from the contributor.[4] Nevertheless, amending Section 2.115(a) so that it explicitly provides that a lobbyist cannot use these exemptions, whether or not it employs a third-party pass-through, would clarify existing law.

A further restriction on lobbyist gifts under City law that also carves out this state exemption for travel would make it explicit that governmental decisions are not, and should not appear to be, influenced by a lobbyist's involvement in funding travel for those whose decisions they may seek to influence.

Recommendation 3 Add new language to Section 2.115 to provide the following:

GIFT PROHIBITION.
(1) No lobbyist shall make any gift~~s~~, including a gift of travel, to an officer of the City and County, or to a parent, spouse, domestic partner registered under state law, or dependent child of an officer of the City and County ~~that have a fair~~

[3] Current City law requires the disclosure of certain travel by elected City officers. Under SFC&GCC Sec. 3.216(d), form SFEC-3.216(d) must be filed by an elected officer who either (a) accepts a gift of transportation, lodging, or subsistence for any out-of-state travel that is paid for in part by an entity other than the City and County of San Francisco, another governmental body, or a bona-fide educational institution as defined in section 203 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, or that is paid for by the City in whole or in part with funds donated from any entity other than another governmental body or bona fide educational institution as defined in Section 203 of the Revenue and Taxation Code; or (b) reimburses the entity for the gift of transportation, lodging or subsistence in order to avoid receiving a gift. A summary of reports filed on which officials reported the involvement of a registered lobbyist or a client of a registered lobbyist appears at Attachment 5.

[4] Regulation 2 CCR § 18945 of the state Fair Political Practices Commission, , Source of Gifts, provides:
(a) The person who makes the gift to the official(s) is the source of the gift unless that person is acting as an intermediary. The person is acting as an intermediary for the source of the gift when the gift to the official was provided under any of the following conditions:
(1) the person receives a payment from a source and the payment is made to the official after the source identifies the official as the intended recipient of the gift;
(2) the person receives a payment from a source after soliciting the payment with the understanding that the payment will be used for the sole or primary purpose of making a gift to an official; or
(3) the person receives a payment from a source after the payment was solicited by the official or the official's agent for the purpose of making a gift to the official.
(b) Under any of the conditions identified in subdivision (a)(1)-(3), the source of the payment is the source of the gift.
See also the FPPC's *Modha Adv. Ltr.*, No. A-13-116A, dated October 13, 2013, pp.5-6.

~~market value of more than $25, except for those gifts that would qualify for one of the exemptions under Section 3.216(b) of this Code and its implementing regulations~~.

In addition, a lobbyist would be prohibited from making or acting as an intermediary for any payment to a third party that is earmarked for use in making gifts, including gifts of travel, to an officer of the City and County, or parent, spouse, domestic partner, or child of an officer of the City and County.

At the same time, a City and County would not be permitted to accept or solicit any gift, including any gift of travel, from any lobbyist for the officer's benefit or the benefit of the officer's parent, spouse, domestic partner registered under state law, or dependent child. In addition, no officer of the City and County would be allowed to accept or solicit any such gift from a third party if the officer knows or has reason to know that the third party is providing the gift or gift of travel on behalf of a lobbyist.

Further, to clarify what it is included in a gift of travel, additional language would be added to definitions contained in Sec. 2.105 so that a "gift of travel" means a payment, advance or reimbursement for travel, including transportation, lodging, and food and refreshment connected with the travel.

III. CONTRIBUTION AND BUNDLING RESTRICTIONS

Background - Contribution Limits and How They Currently Apply

The City's Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance establishes limits on contributions to candidates generally by stating that "[n]o person other than a candidate shall make, and no campaign treasurer for a candidate committee[5] shall solicit or accept, any contribution which will cause the total amount contributed by such person to such candidate committee in an election to exceed $500." SFC&GCC Sec. 1.114(a). Section 1.114(a) applies to those who qualify as lobbyists under City law.

Incumbent officeholders and others seeking election to elective city office may also control other committees, such as a ballot measure committee, a general purpose recipient committee, or a committee for election to another position, such as the State legislature or County central committee of a political party. These controlled committees may be formed and be fundraising to support or oppose measures or candidate races to be decided by local voters on the same ballot as their City candidacy.[6]

[5] A "candidate committee" is defined to mean one controlled by a candidate and primarily formed to support that candidate's election to City elective office, where "candidate" is defined as in state law (Government Code Section 81000 *et seq*) "but shall include only candidates for City elective office," and "City elective office" is defined to mean the offices of Mayor, Member of the Board of Supervisors, City Attorney, District Attorney, Treasurer, Sherriff, Assessor, Public Defender, Member of the Board of Education of the SF Unified School District, and member of the Governing Board of the SF Community College District.

[6] The applicability of contribution limits to certain candidate controlled committees was a topic of discussion at a June 5, 2015 hearing of the Ethics Commission. A transcript of that discussion appears at Attachment 6.

As of June 9, 2016, for example, of 30 announced candidates seeking election to the Board of Supervisors on the November 2016 ballot, eight, or 27 percent, controlled committees actively seeking and receiving contributions for their Supervisorial campaigns ("City campaign committees"). These eight candidates also controlled a committee supporting their June 2016 candidacy for a seat on the Democratic County Central Committee ("DCCC"). Contributions received by these candidates' City campaign committees are subject to the City's $500 per donor limit, while contributions to the DCCC committee they controlled were not.

A review of contributions reported through May 21, 2016, by these eight Supervisorial candidates shows contributions to their non-City committees ranging in amounts from $100 to $12,500, with 37 percent of these contributions in amounts of more than $500. The median contribution amount for these single contributions received in excess of $500 was $2,000. Among the contributors who donated both to a candidate's City committee and non-City committee was one registered lobbyist who donated $500 both committees controlled by an incumbent officeholder.

Overall, 24 registered lobbyists contributed to one or more candidates seeking election either to the DCCC on the June 2016 ballot or to Board of Supervisors in the November 2016 election, based on candidate disclosure reports and lobbying registrations filed with the Ethics Commission for the period January 1, 2016 through May 21, 2016. Candidates for the Board reported receiving $3,000 from 11 lobbyists in amounts ranging from $50 to $500, with a median contribution of $250. DCCC candidates and candidate-controlled ballot measure committees reported $7,075 in contributions from eight lobbyists that ranged from $100 to $1,000, with a median of $325.

Background – Current Bundling Disclosure Requirements

The practice of collecting and transmitting the campaign contributions of others is not restricted under current City law. Existing City law, however, requires lobbyists to report bundled contributions on their monthly disclosure reports.

SFC&GCC Sec. 2.110 currently requires both contact and expenditure lobbyists to disclose on their monthly report campaign contributions "to an officer of the City and County, a candidate for such office, a committee controlled by such officer or candidate, or a committee primarily formed to support or oppose such officer or candidate, or any committee primarily formed to support or oppose a measure to be voted on only in San Francisco."

For contact lobbyists, Sec. 2.110(c)(1)(H) requires disclosure of:

> "All campaign contributions of $100 or more made or delivered by the lobbyist or the lobbyist's employer, or made by a client at the behest of the lobbyist or the lobbyist's employer..."

For expenditure lobbyists, Sec. 2.110(c)(2)(D) requires disclosure of:

> "All campaign contributions of $100 or more made or delivered by the lobbyist or made at the behest of the lobbyist …"

For both types of lobbyists, Sec. 2.110 requires reportable campaign contributions to include contributions "arranged by the lobbyist, or for which the lobbyist acted as an agent or intermediary."

Ethics Commission Regulation 2.110-4(a) provides that "[c]ontributions that are reportable pursuant to Section 2.110 include, but are not limited to, those contributions that are made by the lobbyist and those contributions that the lobbyist knows or has reason to know were raised as a result of fundraising activity by the lobbyist, the lobbyist's agent, or the lobbyist's employer." For purposes of further defining the fundraising activities required to be disclosed by lobbyists, Regulation 2.110-4(b) defines fundraising activities to include:

(1) Requesting that another person make a contribution;
(2) Inviting a person to a fundraising event;
(3) Supplying names to be used for invitations to a fundraising event;
(4) Permitting one's name or signature to appear on a solicitation for contributions or an invitation to a fundraising event;
(5) Providing the use of one's home or business for a fundraising event;
(6) Paying for at least 20 percent of the costs of a fundraising event;
(7) Hiring another person to conduct a fundraising event;
(8) Delivering a contribution, other than one's own, either by mail, by messenger, or in person; or
(9) Acting as an agent or intermediary in connection with the making of a contribution.

Considering How New Restrictions Would Be Applied

Several issues merit consideration in developing new contribution and bundling restrictions for lobbyists under City law to maximize their effectiveness, clarity, and enforceability.

Following adoption of Proposition C on the November 2016 ballot, City law defines a lobbyist to include both (1) individuals who receive compensation to communicate with City officers and have the required level of contact in attempts to influence legislative or administrative action ("contact lobbyist"), and (2) persons who spend a threshold amount of funds on certain activities to urge others to communicate with City officers to influence those actions ("expenditure lobbyists"). Both contact and expenditure lobbyists are required to register, and to publicly disclose certain activities each month once they have triggered their respective qualification thresholds.

At the May 2016 Interested Persons meetings and in public comment provided at Commission meetings, the predominant view expressed by most in attendance was that the proposed new restrictions should apply to both contact and expenditure lobbyists. Underlying this approach is a single unifying principle: all attempts to influence policy makers in order to align public policy outcomes with specific interests *is* lobbying, whether it occurs through compensated advocacy or spending by persons to inform and persuade City officers through the voices of others. This approach recognizes that lobbying, in general, can employ a wide range of activities, including public and media relations, advertising, public outreach and coalition building, research, investigation, reports, analyses, and studies. Regardless of the method used to communicate specific interests, when gifts, contributions or other financial support are provided by those who lobby to those who are lobbied, the potential for undue access and influence is present.

On the other hand, it should be noted, in proposing to lower contribution limits and ban the bundling of contributions for both types of lobbyists there is an argument to be made that the very activities that define expenditure lobbying create a distinction that could have significance. This view would note that

to the extent that expenditure lobbying is defined only by activities that involve urging others to express their views to government decision makers, expenditure lobbyists may be seen as one step removed from those who directly, regularly, and for compensation, communicate with officials to influence governmental decisions. Under those circumstances, it could be argued, those who engage in expenditure lobbying only may not present the same threat of corruption or the appearance of corruption that can occur by those whose success and livelihood as a compensated lobbyist depend on building and accessing the kind of systemic relationships and influence traditional lobbyists strive to in order to be most effective on behalf of their clients. Consideration of the nature of these defining activities, and how broadly or narrowly the proposed provisions should apply to address the harm they seek to prevent, will be helpful in ensuring the most effective policy implementation.

Recommendations

Contributions. Designed to further reduce the potential for corruption or the appearance of corruption, further restricting the amount a lobbyist may contribute to a City candidate or officeholder would recognize the unique role and impact lobbyists can have on governmental decisions. To promote the effectiveness of the City's contribution limit, the restriction should be designed to extend to contributions received by any committee controlled by a City candidate or official to the greatest extent allowed under the parameters of existing state law.[7] From a policy perspective, committees controlled by a candidate or officeholder that are not tethered to a contribution limit that applies to that candidate or officeholder counter the purpose and effectiveness contribution limits are designed to achieve. Under the approach recommended below, lobbyists would continue to be permitted to make limited political contributions to City officials but with two significant new restrictions: (1) those contributions would be aggregated across an official's or candidate's other controlled committees to the extent possible under existing state law, and (2) all of a lobbyist's contributions to the candidate's controlled committee, in the aggregate, would count toward the City's more restrictive lobbyist contribution limit. Proponents who initiated the ballot measure request with the Ethics Commission recommended a maximum $50 contribution limit for a lobbyist. The approach in Recommendation 4 below proposes a maximum $250 contribution limit, half of what any other donor may contribute to a City officer or candidate under current law. Recommendation 4 also provides $50 and $100 as bracketed options for the Commission's consideration should it conclude that a level lower than $250 is most appropriate.

Bundling. Comments at the May Interested Persons meeting and in additional further discussions since have noted a desire to define what constitutes "bundling" with the clearest of lines to promote compliance, such as through the transmission or delivery of others' contributions by either physical or electronic means by either a lobbyist or by a lobbyist through a third-party. De-linking the collection and transmission of campaign funds to City officeholders or candidates from the practice of lobbying officials would help alleviate any actual or perceived connection between governmental decision making and the financing of officials' campaigns by those who may lobby them. In support of that policy goal, the

[7] Generally, the Political Reform Act provides that local agencies may impose additional requirements on persons regulated by the Act to the extent those requirements "do not prevent the person from complying with this title." See Government Code § 81013. Section 85703 also provides the following with regard to contribution limitations established by local jurisdictions: "(a) Nothing in this act shall nullify contribution limitations or prohibitions of any local jurisdiction that apply to elections for local elective office, except that these limitations and prohibitions may not conflict with Section 85312. However, *a local jurisdiction shall not impose any contribution limitations or prohibitions on an elected member of, or a candidate for election to, a county central committee of a qualified political party, or on a committee primarily formed to support or oppose a person seeking election to a county central committee of a qualified political party." (Emphasis added)*.

transmission of contributions made by any others by a lobbyist could be banned outright, or it could be severely restricted by coupling it to the contribution limit that applies to the individual lobbyist. As proposed below, lobbyists would be restricted to collecting and transmitting only relatively small contributions, as the amount of all contributions transmitted by a lobbyist would be cumulated for purposes of the bundling restriction with their own contributions to City officials and candidates and, in total, subject to the lower lobbyist contribution limit. Other fundraising activities a lobbyist engages in that is not defined here as bundling would continue to require disclosure under existing City law.

Recommendation 4 ***Contribution Limit.*** Create a new, aggregate limit on contributions from any lobbyist to an elective City officer or candidate to elective City office, and any committee controlled by such officer or candidate, as follows:

(1) No lobbyist shall make any contribution which will cause the total amount contributed by that lobbyist to any candidate, including the candidate's controlled committees, to exceed [$250, $100 or $50] in an election cycle.

(2) No candidate may accept or solicit any contribution from a lobbyist which will cause the total amount contributed by that lobbyist to the candidate, including the candidate's controlled committees, to exceed [$250, $100 or $50] in an election cycle.

For purposes of these limitations, an "election cycle" would mean the period beginning when a candidate for City office forms a committee for election to City office and concluding (a) if the candidate was elected, either at the end of the candidate's term in office or on the date on which the candidate forms a committee for election to another City elective office, whichever is earlier, or (b) if the candidate was not elected, the date of the election.

Recommendation 5 ***Bundled Contributions***.

No lobbyist shall deliver or transmit, or deliver or transmit through a third party, any contribution made by another person to any candidate, or the candidate's controlled committees, if the total combined amount of the contributions delivered or transmitted by the lobbyist and contributions made by the lobbyist to the candidate exceeds the amount of the contribution limit established in subsection 2.115(e).

Recommendation 6 Determine the scope of the applicability of the new contribution limit and bundling restriction.

Alternative 6.1 Define the new restrictions to apply only to contact lobbyists, and require the Ethics Commission to analyze and make recommendations with regard to extending the restrictions to expenditure lobbyists following its analysis of the first year of implementation of the expenditure lobbyist program.

Alternative 6.2 Define the contribution and bundling restrictions to apply to both contact and expenditure lobbyists.

COMMISSION REGULATIONS

As with any new law, implementing regulations may be warranted to further define terms used in the law, to clarify its applicability, and to prevent circumvention of the law. San Francisco Charter Section 15.102 provides that the Ethics Commission may adopt regulations in connection with campaign finance, conflict of interest, lobbying, campaign consultant and governmental ethics ordinances. But to provide clarity about the purposes for which such implementing regulations would be adopted by the Ethics Commission, the proposed measure would include the following subsection.

Recommendation 7 Include language in Sec. 2.115 that allows for the Commission to establish implementing regulations regarding the new lobbyist gift, contribution and bundling limits, as follows:

(g) **REGULATIONS.** The Ethics Commission may issue regulations implementing this Section 2.115, but such regulations may not establish any exceptions from the limits and prohibitions set forth therein.

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Gov Official

Attach 1

Gov Official	Bundled Total
Lee, Ed	$137,500.00
Breed, London	$27,999.73
Christensen, Julie	$25,500.00
Yee, Norman	$16,000.00
Cohen, Malia	$14,000.00
Farrell, Mark	$9,000.00
Wiener, Scott	$5,500.00
Kim, Jane	$4,500.00
Hennessy, Vicki	$4,000.00
Safai, Ahsha	$2,500.00
Chu, Carmen	$2,000.00
G, Measure	$2,000.00
Cisneros, Jose	$1,500.00
Prop. B, Yes On	$1,500.00
Anderson, Kat	$1,000.00
Campos, David	$1,000.00
Chiu, David	$1,000.00
Garcia, Mike	$1,000.00
Gascon, George	$1,000.00
Philhour, Marjan	$1,000.00
Reilly, Janet	$1,000.00
Tang, Katy	$1,000.00
Grand Total	$261,499.73

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Lobbyist

Lobbyist	Total Bundled	Lobbying Firm Name	Lobbying Firm Website
Tourk, Alex	$82,500.00	Ground Floor Public Affairs	http://gfpublicaffairs.com/
Gruwell, Chris	$49,000.00	Platinum Advisors	http://platinumadvisors.com/
Lauter, Samuel	$37,000.00	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	http://www.bmwlandpartners.com/
Peterson, Rich	$31,500.00	Goodyear Peterson Hayward & Associates	http://www.gphassociates.com/sanfrancisco-pr.html
Jung, Mary	$19,999.73	San Francisco Association of Realtors	http://www.sfrealtors.com/
Johnston, Karin	$15,000.00	HMS Associates	http://bit.ly/1Vssb7H
Vettel, Steve	$7,500.00	Farella Braun and Martel	http://www.fbm.com/
Gladstone, Brett	$6,500.00	Hanson Bridgett LLP	http://www.hansonbridgett.com/
New, Janan	$5,000.00	San Francisco Apartment Association	http://www.sfaa.org/
Smolens, H. Marcia	$4,000.00	HMS Associates	http://bit.ly/1Vssb7H
Junius, Andrew	$1,500.00	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LLP	http://www.reubenlaw.com/index.php/rj/flashpage/overview
Alberti, Adam	$1,000.00	Singer Associates, Inc.	http://singersf.com/
Noyola, David	$1,000.00	Platinum Advisors	http://platinumadvisors.com/
Grand Total	$261,499.73		

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Payee

Payee	Total Bundled
Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$134,000.00
Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$25,500.00
Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$18,500.00
Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	$12,500.00
Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2014	$12,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	$7,500.00
London Breed For San Francisco Supervisor 2012	$7,499.73
Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	$4,500.00
Ed Lee For Mayor	$3,500.00
Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor District 7	$3,500.00
Committee To Reelect Supervisor Scott Wiener	$3,000.00
Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	$4,000.00
Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016	$2,500.00
Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010	$2,000.00
London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$2,000.00
Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	$2,000.00
Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	$1,500.00
Police & Firefighters For Yes On B	$1,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 2014	$1,500.00
Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016	$1,500.00
David Campos For Assembly 2014	$1,000.00
Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2010	$1,000.00
Janet Reilly For Supervisor	$1,000.00
Kat Anderson Dcc	$1,000.00
Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	$1,000.00
Malia Cohen For Supervisor	$1,000.00
Marjan Philhour 2015	$1,000.00
Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 2012	$1,000.00
Scott Weiner 2014	$1,000.00
Grand Total	$261,499.73

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Lobbyist & Payee

Lobbyist	Payee	Bundled Amount
Tourk, Alex	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016	$2,500.00
	Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$21,000.00
	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$59,000.00
Tourk, Alex Total		$82,500.00
Gruwell, Chris	Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	$1,500.00
	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$23,000.00
	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	$4,500.00
	Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor District 7	$3,500.00
	Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	$3,500.00
	Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$13,000.00
Gruwell, Chris Total		$49,000.00
Lauter, Samuel	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$27,500.00
	London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$2,000.00
	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	$7,500.00
Lauter, Samuel Total		$37,000.00
Peterson, Rich	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$20,500.00
	Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	$9,000.00
	Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$2,000.00
Peterson, Rich Total		$31,500.00
Jung, Mary	Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$4,500.00
	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	$1,000.00
	London Breed For San Francisco Supervisor 2012	$7,499.73
	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2014	$3,500.00
	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 2014	$1,500.00
	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	$2,000.00
Jung, Mary Total		$19,999.73
Johnston, Karin	Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$3,500.00
	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2014	$8,500.00
	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	$3,000.00
Johnston, Karin Total		$15,000.00
Vettel, Steve	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010	$2,000.00
	Committee To Reelect Supervisor Scott Wiener	$3,000.00
	Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2010	$1,000.00
	Police & Firefighters For Yes On B	$1,500.00
Vettel, Steve Total		$7,500.00
Gladstone, Brett	Ed Lee For Mayor	$3,500.00
	Janet Reilly For Supervisor	$1,000.00

	Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012	$1,000.00
	Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 2012	$1,000.00
Gladstone, Brett Total		$6,500.00
New, Janan	Kat Anderson Dcc	$1,000.00
	Malia Cohen For Supervisor	$1,000.00
	Marjan Philhour 2015	$1,000.00
	Scott Weiner 2014	$1,000.00
	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	$1,000.00
New, Janan Total		$5,000.00
Smolens, H. Marcia	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$4,000.00
Smolens, H. Marcia Total		$4,000.00
Junius, Andrew	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016	$1,500.00
Junius, Andrew Total		$1,500.00
Alberti, Adam	Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015	$1,000.00
Alberti, Adam Total		$1,000.00
Noyola, David	David Campos For Assembly 2014	$1,000.00
Noyola, David Total		$1,000.00
Grand Total		$261,499.73

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Payee & Lobbyist

Payee	Lobbyist	Bundled Amount
Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gruwell, Chris	$23,000.00
	Lauter, Samuel	$27,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$20,500.00
	Smolens, H. Marcia	$4,000.00
	Tourk, Alex	$59,000.00
Ed Lee For Mayor 2015 Total		$134,000.00
Christensen For Supervisor 2015	Jung, Mary	$4,500.00
	Tourk, Alex	$21,000.00
Christensen For Supervisor 2015 Total		$25,500.00
Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016	Gruwell, Chris	$13,000.00
	Johnston, Karin	$3,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$2,000.00
Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor 2016 Total		$18,500.00
Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2014	Johnston, Karin	$8,500.00
	Jung, Mary	$3,500.00
Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2014 Total		$12,000.00
Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	Gruwell, Chris	$3,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$9,000.00
Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016 Total		$12,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Lauter, Samuel	$7,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell Total		$7,500.00
London Breed For San Francisco Supervisor 2012	Jung, Mary	$7,499.73
London Breed For San Francisco Supervisor 2012 Total		$7,499.73
Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Gruwell, Chris	$4,500.00
Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014 Total		$4,500.00
Ed Lee For Mayor	Gladstone, Brett	$3,500.00
Ed Lee For Mayor Total		$3,500.00
Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor District 7	Gruwell, Chris	$3,500.00
Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor District 7 Total		$3,500.00
Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Johnston, Karin	$3,000.00
	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015 Total		$3,000.00
Committee To Reelect Supervisor Scott Wiener	Vettel, Steve	$3,000.00
Committee To Reelect Supervisor Scott Wiener Total		$3,000.00
Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016	Tourk, Alex	$2,500.00
Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016 Total		$2,500.00
Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Jung, Mary	$2,000.00

Stop The Housing Tax, No On G Total		$2,000.00
London Breed For Supervisor 2016	Lauter, Samuel	$2,000.00
London Breed For Supervisor 2016 Total		$2,000.00
Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010	Vettel, Steve	$2,000.00
Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010 Total		$2,000.00
Police & Firefighters For Yes On B	Vettel, Steve	$1,500.00
Police & Firefighters For Yes On B Total		$1,500.00
Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	Gruwell, Chris	$1,500.00
Cisneros For Treasurer 2015 Total		$1,500.00
Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016	Junius, Andrew	$1,500.00
Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016 Total		$1,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 2014	Jung, Mary	$1,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 2014 Total		$1,500.00
David Campos For Assembly 2014	Noyola, David	$1,000.00
David Campos For Assembly 2014 Total		$1,000.00
Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2010	Vettel, Steve	$1,000.00
Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2010 Total		$1,000.00
Janet Reilly For Supervisor	Gladstone, Brett	$1,000.00
Janet Reilly For Supervisor Total		$1,000.00
Kat Anderson Dcc	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Kat Anderson Dcc Total		$1,000.00
Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Jung, Mary	$1,000.00
Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014 Total		$1,000.00
Malia Cohen For Supervisor	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Malia Cohen For Supervisor Total		$1,000.00
Marjan Philhour 2015	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Marjan Philhour 2015 Total		$1,000.00
Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012	Gladstone, Brett	$1,000.00
Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012 Total		$1,000.00
Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015	Alberti, Adam	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015 Total		$1,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 2012	Gladstone, Brett	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 2012 Total		$1,000.00
Scott Weiner 2014	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Scott Weiner 2014 Total		$1,000.00
Grand Total		$261,499.73

Data for the SFEC on Lobbyist Bundling - By Unique Bundles

Date	Lobbyist	Lobbyist_Firm	Official	Official_Department	Payee	Bundled Amount
09/30/2014	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Gascon, George		Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015	$1,000.00
10/18/2010	Gladstone, Brett	Gladstone & Associate	Reilly, Janet		Janet Reilly For Supervisor	$1,000.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Brett	Gladstone & Associate	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	$3,500.00
06/29/2012	Gladstone, Brett	Gladstone & Associate	Chiu, David		Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 2012	$1,000.00
10/24/2012	Gladstone, Brett	Gladstone & Associate	Garcia, Mike		Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012	$1,000.00
10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane		Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	$1,000.00
10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor Distric	$1,000.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor Distric	$2,500.00
11/14/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane		Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	$1,000.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane		Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	$2,500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$23,000.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	$3,500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London	Board Of Supervisors	Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor	$7,000.00
11/02/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Cisneros, Jose		Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	$1,500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor	$6,000.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Karin	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 20	$8,500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Karin	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki		Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	$3,000.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Karin	Hms Associates	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor	$3,500.00
01/29/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Farrell, Mark	Board Of Supervisors	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 2014	$1,500.00
04/24/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Tang, Katy		Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	$1,000.00
09/22/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	G, Measure		Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	$2,000.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 20	$3,500.00
04/16/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Breed, London		London Breed For San Francisco Super	$1,000.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Breed, London		London Breed For San Francisco Super	$6,499.73
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Christensen, Julie		Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$3,500.00
09/28/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Associa	Christensen, Julie		Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$1,000.00
07/28/2015	Junius, Andrew	Reuben, Junius & Ros	Wiener, Scott		Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016	$1,500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Board Of Supervisors	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	$7,500.00
01/07/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
01/15/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,000.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,000.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$5,000.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$10,000.00
01/22/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
02/02/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
02/13/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,500.00
03/10/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,000.00
03/18/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
12/09/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London		London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$1,000.00
12/21/2015	Lauter, Samuel	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London		London Breed For Supervisor 2016	$1,000.00
12/01/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Associati	Cohen, Malia		Malia Cohen For Supervisor	$1,000.00
05/12/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Anderson, Kat		Kat Anderson Dcc	$1,000.00
11/21/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Wiener, Scott		Scott Weiner 2014	$1,000.00
06/26/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Ass	Philhour, Marjan		Marjan Philhour 2015	$1,000.00
10/22/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Ass	Hennessy, Vickie		Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	$1,000.00
12/08/2014	Noyola, David	Platinum Advisors	Campos, David	Board Of Supervisors	David Campos For Assembly 2014	$1,000.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson H	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$20,500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson H	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016	$9,000.00
12/16/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson H	Breed, London	Board Of Supervisors	Re-Elect London Breed For Supervisor	$2,000.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. Ma	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$4,000.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,500.00
11/16/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00

11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$4,500.00
11/20/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,000.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,500.00
11/22/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
11/23/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,000.00
11/27/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$5,000.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,000.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$3,000.00
12/09/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
12/31/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$1,000.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,500.00
01/14/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$2,000.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	$7,500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 2015	$21,000.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Af	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016	$2,500.00
02/04/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Mar	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010	$2,000.00
05/25/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Mar	Prop. B, Yes On	Police & Firefighters For Yes On B	$1,500.00
12/03/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Mar	Cohen, Malia	Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 2010	$1,000.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Mar	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervisor Scott	$3,000.00

Date	Lobbyist	Lobbyist_Firm	Official	Offi	Payee	SourceOfFunds	Amount
10/28/2014	Adams, Scott	Comcast Corporation	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Scott Adams	$500.00
01/09/2015	Adams, Scott	Comcast Corporation	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Scott Adams	$500.00
12/11/2015	Adams, Scott	Comcast Corporation	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Scott Adams	$500.00
04/15/2011	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Herrera, Dennis		Dennis Herrera For Mayor 2011	Singer Associates, Inc.	$500.00
04/19/2011	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Gascã³n, George		Gascon For Da 2011	Singer, Sharon	$500.00
09/26/2014	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Gascã³n, George		Re-Elect Da George Gascon 20	Barnett, Jason	$500.00
09/30/2014	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Gascã³n, George		Re-Elect Da George Gascon 20	Singer, Sam	$500.00
09/30/2014	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Gascã³n, George		Re-Elect Da George Gascon 20	Alberti, Adam	$500.00
08/15/2015	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Peskin, Aaron		Aaron Peskin For Supervisor	Singer, Sam	$500.00
10/19/2015	Alberti, Adam	Singer Associates, Inc.	Peskin, Aaron		Aaron Peskin For Supervisor	Alberti, Adam	$500.00
09/20/2011	Blakeman, Ma	At&t	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2011	Blakeman, Marc	$500.00
04/04/2013	Blakeman, Ma	At&t	Tang, Katy		Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Blakeman, Marc	$500.00
12/30/2013	Blakeman, Ma	At&t	Farrell, Mark		Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Blakeman, Marc	$500.00
05/02/2014	Blakeman, Ma	At&t	Chu, Carmen		Carmen Chu For Assessor-Reco	Blakeman, Marc	$500.00
06/10/2015	Carroll, Kevin	Hotel Council Of San Fran	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	California Hotel & Lodgin	$500.00
08/28/2014	Cassolato, Ste	Sgc Strategic Communicati	Gascã³n, George		Re-Elect Da George Gascã³n 20	Cassolato, Stefano	$500.00
03/13/2015	Cassolato, Ste	Sgc Strategic Communicati	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cassolato, Stefano	$500.00
12/03/2015	Cassolato, Ste	Sgc Strategic Communicati	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Cassolato, Stefano	$500.00
04/13/2010	Cleaveland, K	Building Owners And Mana	Baraka, Keith		Keith Baraka For Dccc 2010	Boma Sf Ie Pac	$500.00
04/13/2010	Cleaveland, K	Building Owners And Mana	Richardson, Linda		Linda Richardson For Dccc	Boma Sf Ie Pac	$500.00
12/02/2015	Cleaveland, K	Boma San Francisco	Cohen, Malia Boar		Malia Cohen For Dccc	Cleaveland, Ken	$500.00
05/27/2010	Clemens, Alex	Barbary Coast Consulting	Mandelman , Rafa		Mandelman For Supervisor 2010	Clemens, Alex	$500.00
05/27/2010	Clemens, Alex	Barbary Coast Consulting	Walker, Debra		Debra Walker For Supervisor - 2	Clemens, Alex	$500.00
04/30/2012	Clemens, Alex	Barbary Coast Consulting	Ting, Phil		Phil Ting For Assembly 2012	Barbary Coast Consulting	$500.00
04/09/2014	Frattin, Daniel	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Democratic Party,		San Francisco Democratic Coun	Reuben, Junius And Ros	$500.00
06/19/2014	Frattin, Daniel	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Tang, Katy		Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Frattin, Daniel	$500.00
04/07/2015	Frattin, Daniel	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Hennessy, Vicki		Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Frattin, Daniel	$500.00
10/04/2015	Frattin, Daniel	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Randolph, Alex		Alex Randolph For Community C	Frattin, Daniel	$500.00
04/10/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Campos, David		David Campos For Dccc 2010	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
04/16/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Avalos, John		John Avalos For Dccc 2010	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
04/16/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Chiu, David		David Chiu For Dccc 2010	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
04/16/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Migden, Carole		Carole Migden For Dccc 2010	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
04/16/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Walker, Debra		Debra Walker For Dccc 2010	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
10/18/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Reilly, Janet		Janet Reilly For Supervisor	Iantorno, Sergio	$500.00
10/18/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Reilly, Janet		Janet Reilly For Supervisor	Khoshnevisan, Reza	$500.00
10/18/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Wiener, Scott		Scott Weiner For Board Of Supe	Klein, David	$500.00
10/28/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Walker, Debra		Debra Walker For Supervisor	Klein, David	$500.00
12/10/2010	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Herrera, Dennis		Dennis Herrera For Mayor	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
01/25/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Cohen, Malia		Malia Cohen For Supervisor	Gladstone & Associates	$500.00
09/21/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	David Nale	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	Chandler, Katherine	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	Murphy, Mel	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	Chen, Wilson	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	Marty, Eric	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor	Zira, Tahir	$500.00

10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Vitlin, Matthew	$500.00
10/11/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Kendrick, Steve	$500.00
12/18/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Vitlin, Matthew	$500.00
12/26/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Chandler, Anag	$500.00
12/28/2011	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Collins, Lee	$500.00
02/13/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Ma, Fiona	Fiona Ma For State Board Of Eq	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
02/14/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Ting, Phil	Phil Ting For Assembly 2012	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
03/13/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Wiener, Scot Boar	Scott Wiener For Dccc 2012	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
05/09/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Garcia, Mike	Mike Garcia For Supervisor 201	Gladstone & Associates	$500.00
05/17/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Dccc 2012	Gladstone & Associates	$500.00
05/24/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Chiu, David	Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu	Gladstone & Associates	$500.00
06/29/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Chiu, David	Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu	Vitlin, Mina	$500.00
06/29/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Chiu, David	Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu	Vitlin, Matthew	$500.00
09/21/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Olague, Christina	Christina Olague For Supervisor	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
10/24/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Garcia, Mike	Mike Garcia For Supervisor 201	Vitlin, Mina	$500.00
10/24/2012	Gladstone, Bre	Gladstone & Associates	Garcia, Mike	Mike Garcia For Supervisor 201	Collins, Lee	$500.00
09/28/2013	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Campos, David	David Campos For State Assem	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
11/15/2013	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For City Attorney	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
12/19/2013	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
01/30/2014	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Hanson Bridgett Llp	$500.00
11/28/2014	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
02/20/2015	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
12/01/2015	Gladstone, Bre	Hanson Bridgett Llp	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Heller Manus Architects	$500.00
11/17/2010	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor 201	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
12/16/2010	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2010	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
01/27/2011	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For Mayor 2011	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
03/15/2011	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Yee, Leland	Leland Yee For Mayor 2011	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
11/19/2012	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Mar, Eric	Re-Elect Supervisor Eric Mar 20	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
12/19/2012	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
04/17/2013	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
04/30/2013	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assesor 2013	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
12/01/2013	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
12/16/2013	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Wiener, Scott	Re-Elect Supervisor Wiener 201	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
04/30/2014	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assessor-Reco	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
05/22/2014	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
01/31/2015	Goodyear, Ch	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
04/06/2010	Green, Nato	California Nurses Associati	Walker, Debra	Debra Walker For Supervisor 20	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
10/21/2010	Green, Nato	California Nurses Associati	Reilly, Janet	Janet Reilly For District 2 Superv	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
10/29/2010	Green, Nato	California Nurses Associati	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor 201	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
10/29/2010	Green, Nato	California Nurses Associati	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2010	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
11/01/2011	Gregg, Andre	Self	Lee, Ed May	Ed Lee For Mayor	Gregg, Andrew	$500.00
06/26/2012	Gregg, Andre	Atg Consulting	Olague, Christina	Christina Olague For Supervisor	Gregg, Andrew	$500.00
03/31/2010	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 201	Platinum Advisors	$500.00
09/28/2012	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Olague, Christina	Christina Olague For Supervisor	Gruwell, Chris	$499.99
06/20/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Cisneros, Jose	Cisneros For Treasurer 2013	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00
08/02/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00

10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Golub, Sandra	$500.00
10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Newman, Sheila	$500.00
10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Rocca, Thomas	$500.00
10/29/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
11/05/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Ua Local 38 Cope Fund -	$500.00
11/07/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	California Nurses Associa	$500.00
11/09/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Hill, Jonathan	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Hearing, Jennifer	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Sheehy, Brian	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Hearing, Jennifer	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Belmonte, Luis	$500.00
11/12/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For 2012 Superviso	Blaine, Gregory	$500.00
11/14/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Pester, Cynthia	$500.00
11/14/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Pester, Robert	$500.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Ua Local 38 Cope Fund -	$500.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Blaine, Gregory	$500.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Hudson, Ryan	$500.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Thomsen, Michael	$500.00
11/18/2013	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Langelier, William	$500.00
11/21/2014	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00
12/02/2014	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Egan, Kerry	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cepkauskas, Marty	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Chapman, Todd	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goldman, Tracy	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sandra, Eugene	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hasz, Karl	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Heim, Jamie	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Anderson, Darius	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Duggan Jr, John	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Piccini, Matthew	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Harris, Paula	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Heim, Richard	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cross, Charles	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Harris, David	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Seitz, Lisa	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Christensen, Julienne	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Junius, Andrew	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Denman, Michael	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Abraham, John	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fleishhacker, William	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	La, Nicole	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Newman, Michael	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goldman, Richie	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hunter, Robert	$500.00

01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Langelier, Bill	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Schellinger, Peter	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Golub, Sandra	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Blaine, Cheryl	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Saylor, Natalie	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Jones, Dwayne	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Boren, Corinne	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Leonoudakis, Jeff	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sheehy, Brian	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Waterbury, Emily	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bryant, Josefina	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Anderson, Sarah	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Golub, Lee	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Clark, Tom	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Emerson, Daniel	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Corzine, Josh	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Roeder, Chris	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Leider, Richard	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Abrams, James	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Duggan Sr, John	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Patel, Arvind	$500.00
01/28/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Woodbury, Tim	$500.00
06/22/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For City Attorney	Gruwell, Chris	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Michels, Trent	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Anderson, Darius	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Anderson, Sarah	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Cheung, Angela	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Teamsters Local 856	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Laborers Local 261	$500.00
07/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Padraic, Ryan	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Blaine, Gregory	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	San Francisco Fire Fighte	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Teamsters Local Union N	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Kingsley, Dan	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Erickson, Oz	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Langelier, William	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Cheung, Angela	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Teamsters Local Union N	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Safier, Dan	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Sf Alliance For Jobs & Su	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Tadesse, Sileshi	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Anderson, Darius	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Plumbers And Steamfitter	$500.00
10/01/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Gruwell, Stephen	$500.00
11/02/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Cisneros, Jose	Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	Pedric, Ryan	$500.00
11/02/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Cisneros, Jose	Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	Laborers Local 261	$500.00

11/02/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Cisneros, Jose		Cisneros For Treasurer 2015	Michels, Trent	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Sakamoto, Keiko	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Operating Engineers Loc	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Northern California Distric	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Flood, Karin	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Anderson, Sarah	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Maples, Michael	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Nelson, Gregg	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Winnicker, Tony	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Mesloh, Debbie	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Iatse Local 16 Pac	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Makras, Victor	$500.00
11/23/2015	Gruwell, Chris	Platinum Advisors	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Ibew Local 6 Political Acc	$500.00
12/18/2012	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Breed, London		London Breed For San Francisc	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
04/17/2013	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Tang, Katy	Boar	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
04/30/2013	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Chu, Carmen		Carmen Chu For Assesor 2013	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/09/2013	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Farrell, Mark		Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/18/2013	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Kim, Jane		Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/19/2013	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Wiener, Scott		Re-Elect Supervisor Wiener 201	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
04/30/2014	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Chu, Carmen		Carmen Chu For Assessor-Reco	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
05/22/2014	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Tang, Katy		Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
01/31/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
03/11/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Christensen, Julie		Christensen For Supervisor 201	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
11/04/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman		Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
11/30/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Safai, Ahsha		Ahsha Safai For Supervisor	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/22/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Peskin, Aaron		Aaron Peskin For Supervisor 20	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/27/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
12/29/2015	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Ronen, Hillary		Hillary Ronen For Supervisor 20	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
01/16/2016	Hayward, Boe	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Fewer, Sandra		Sandra Lee Fewer For Supervis	Hayward, Boe	$500.00
11/03/2014	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Kim, Jane		Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
11/03/2014	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Wiener, Scott		Reelect Supervisor Scott Wiener	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
01/09/2015	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
05/01/2015	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
11/10/2015	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Wiener, Scott		Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
11/20/2015	Hogan, Ariane	Genentech, Inc.	Breed, London		Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
01/26/2015	Isbell, Reese	Baybio	Lee, Ed	May	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Baybio Pac (Id 1307363)	$500.00
01/08/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Johnston, Karin	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Pelichoff, Scott	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Haight, Robert	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Roess, Llc	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Pelichoff, Lynn	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Browner, Warren	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Tullmann, Debbie	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Downs, Gary	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Sekhri, Neil	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia		Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Smith, Steven	$500.00

05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Pham, Tuan	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Fitch, Jennifer	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	O'reilly, Sean	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Moss, Jordan	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Hernandez, Jennifer	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Mcnerney, Patrick	$500.00
05/01/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Kuei, Irene	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Feinstein, Dianne	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	O'brien, Michael	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	O'brien, Seosanh	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Kawa, Steve	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Gilligan, Luke	$500.00
10/15/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Mccarthy, Angus	$500.00
11/20/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Servino, Kathryn	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Nemeth, Terezia	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Adams, Scott	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Lyons, Joseph	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Mellett, Robert	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Culiner, Lael	$500.00
12/02/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Allbin, Eric	$500.00
12/07/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Tullmann, Debbie	$500.00
12/10/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Gunther, Paul	$500.00
12/18/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Rocco, Shae	$500.00
12/26/2015	Johnston, Kari	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Jeffries, Jennifer	$500.00
04/05/2012	Jones, Dwayn	Platinum Advisors	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Democratic Co	Jones, Dwayne	$500.00
09/05/2012	Jones, Dwayn	Platinum Advisors	Walton, Shamann	Committee To Elect Shamann W	Jones, Dwayne	$500.00
01/29/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Farrell, Mark Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Jung, Mary	$500.00
01/29/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Farrell, Mark Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Lee, John	$500.00
01/29/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Farrell, Mark Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Woo, Jeff	$500.00
04/24/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Lee, John	$500.00
04/24/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Jung, Mary	$500.00
09/22/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Garvey, Paul	$500.00
09/22/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Gold-Nocella, Paula	$500.00
09/22/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Schlarb, Jeffrey	$500.00
09/22/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Cotter, Collen	$500.00
09/23/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Cohen, David	$500.00
09/24/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	G, Measure	Stop The Housing Tax, No On G	Drypolcher, William	$500.00
09/27/2014	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Wiener, Scott	Re-Elect Supervisor Wiener 201	Woo, Jeff	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Lee, John	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Teed, Richard	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Lim, Chris	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Fuller, Matt	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Gladstone, Brett	$500.00
02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Jung, Mary	$500.00

02/24/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Barber, Patrick	$500.00
03/05/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Jung, Mary	$500.00
04/16/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Fuller, Matt	$500.00
04/16/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Barber, Patrick	$500.00
04/16/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Tan, Shirley	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Agid, Bruce	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Makras, Victor	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Choi, Hagen	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Sewell, David Serrano	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Woo, Jeff	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Baczkowski, Walter	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Pak, Eugene	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Birmingham, Kevin	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Makras, Farah	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Jones, Amanda	$499.73
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	La, Phong	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Yin, Regina	$500.00
04/29/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Lee, John	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Baczkowski, Walter	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Head, Anita	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Clifford, Al	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Lee, John	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Fuller, Matt	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Woo, Jeff	$500.00
05/20/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Pak, Eugene	$500.00
06/26/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Philhour, Marjan	Vote Marjan Philhour For Superv	Jung, Mary	$500.00
06/30/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Jung, Mary	$500.00
09/28/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Luckenbach, Carol	$500.00
09/28/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Barber, Patrick	$500.00
09/28/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Hennessy, Vicki	Hennessy For Sheriff - 2015	Luckenbach, Carol	$500.00
10/01/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Randolph, Alex	Alex Randolph For Community C	Jung, Mary	$500.00
11/17/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Jung, Mary	$500.00
12/15/2015	Jung, Mary	San Francisco Association	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For District 11 Supe	Jung, Mary	$500.00
02/02/2015	Junius, Andre	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Junius, Andrew	$500.00
02/13/2015	Junius, Andre	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Junius, Andrew	$500.00
07/28/2015	Junius, Andre	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Junius, Andrew	$500.00
07/28/2015	Junius, Andre	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Robbins, Erik	$500.00
07/28/2015	Junius, Andre	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Tidewater Capital	$500.00
04/29/2015	La, Phong	Phong La	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	La, Phong	$500.00
05/27/2015	La, Phong	Phong La	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	La, Phong	$500.00
08/31/2011	Lapointe, Deni	Lapointe And Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Lapointe, Denise	$500.00
03/01/2014	Lapointe, Deni	Lapointe And Associates	Campos, Da Boar	David Campos For Assembly 20	Lapointe, Denise	$500.00
10/30/2014	Lapointe, Deni	Lapointe And Associates	Campos, David	David Campos For Assembly 20	Lapointe, Denise	$500.00
04/13/2015	Lapointe, Deni	Lapointe And Associates	Peskin, Aaron	Peskin For Supervisor 2015	Lapointe, Denise	$500.00
06/03/2015	Lapointe, Deni	Lapointe And Associates	Hennessy, Vicki	Hennessy For Sheriff	Lapointe, Denise	$500.00
11/05/2010	Lauter, Samue	Barnes, Mosher, Whitehurs	Herrera, Dennis	Herrera For Mayor 2011	Lauter, Sam	$500.00

11/16/2010	Lauter, Samue	Barnes, Mosher, Whitehurs	Cohen, Malia		Elect Malia Cohen For Superviso	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
02/10/2011	Lauter, Samue	Barnes, Mosher, Whitehurs	Wiener, Scott		Scott Wiener For Supervisor 201	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
10/10/2011	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Dufty, Bevan		Bevan Dufty For Mayor, 2011	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
10/18/2011	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Cunnie, Chris		Chris Cunnie For Sheriff 2011	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
10/02/2012	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Campos, David		Re-Elect David Campos For Sup	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
10/17/2012	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Garcia, Mike		Mike Garcia For District 7 Super	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
02/13/2013	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London		London Breed For Supervisor 20	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
06/07/2013	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Tang, Katy	Boar	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Sullivan, John	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Mosher, Mark	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Hirsch, Dan	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Hanson, Lisa	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Cobane, Raymond	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Whitehurst, John	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Witherspoon, Brad	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Dalton, Margaret	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Lauter, Stephanie	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Hamalian, Seth	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Swig, Roselyn	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Frazier, Josh	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Frazier, Laurel	$500.00
01/23/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Farrell, Mark	Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Dalton, Marty	$500.00
05/03/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Walton, Shamann		Shamann Walton For School Bo	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
06/11/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Walton, Shamann		Shamann Walton For School Bo	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
08/10/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Wiener, Scott		Scott Wiener For Supervisor 20	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
12/09/2014	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lauter, Sam	$500.00
01/03/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rosenblatt, Sally	$500.00
01/07/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rothenberg, Alan	$500.00
01/07/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friedman, David	$500.00
01/08/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Ghielmetti, James	$500.00
01/12/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lybarsky, Cynthia	$500.00
01/14/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friend, Jason	$500.00
01/14/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kahn, Ellen	$500.00
01/14/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Saxe, David	$500.00
01/14/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friend, Benjamin	$500.00
01/15/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Achtenberg, Roberta	$500.00
01/15/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rayant, Garry	$500.00
01/15/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tartakovsky, Aaron	$500.00
01/15/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fields, Kathy	$500.00
01/18/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tartakovsky, Igor	$500.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tartakovsky, Tahlia	$500.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rosenberg, Patricia	$500.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tartakovsky, Joseph	$500.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friedman, Anita	$500.00
01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed		Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tran, Cali	$500.00

01/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Anderson, Jack	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sal, Giglio	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Holt, Maureen	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friend, Donny	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Slepian, Arthur	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Strang, Gary	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Agger, David	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Feldman, Michael	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Felson, Joe	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Roos, Joel	$500.00
01/20/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friend, Janie	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Johns, Richard	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Wheaton, Nicole	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Frey, Jacqueline	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Levin Schiff, Sue Ann	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Ginsberg, Shane	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cohn, Barry	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Greenberg, John	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Devincenti, James	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Greenberg, Barbara	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sweet, Michael	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lagomarsino, Brad	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tesler, Robert	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Abram, Benjamin	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Stern, Harry	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Pursglove, Kevin	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Baruh, John	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Safire, Eric	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Linker, Joyce	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Eisenberg, Jeff	$500.00
01/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tandler, Robert	$500.00
01/22/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Haas, Walter	$500.00
01/22/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friend, Michelle	$500.00
01/23/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Frankel, Charles	$500.00
01/29/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Buie, James	$500.00
02/02/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friedkin, Amy	$500.00
02/02/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Friedkin, Morton	$500.00
02/09/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kelley, Ariel	$500.00
02/12/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Swig, Darian	$500.00
02/13/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Waldman, Kevin	$500.00
02/13/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Holgate, Robert	$500.00
02/13/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Baum, Alvin	$500.00
02/16/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tomkins, Claire	$500.00
02/18/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fiedotin, Richard	$500.00
03/10/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mosher, Mark	$500.00
03/10/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Grand, Stephen	$500.00

03/10/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Grand, Nancy	$500.00
03/10/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kamen, Jason	$500.00
03/13/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Whitehurst, John	$500.00
03/18/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hamalian, Seth	$500.00
03/18/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hanson, Lisa	$500.00
03/19/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rabin, Daniel	$500.00
11/05/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Peskin, Aaron	Aaron Peskin For Supervisor 20	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
12/07/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Newstat, Joyce	$500.00
12/08/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Friend, Jason	$500.00
12/09/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
12/09/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Lauter, Stephanie	$500.00
12/16/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Friedman, Anita	$500.00
12/17/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For District 11 Supe	Lauter, Samuel	$500.00
12/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Valli Tandler	$500.00
12/21/2015	Lauter, Samue	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor 20	Tandler, Robert	$500.00
09/16/2011	Lesser, Philip	Self	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Lesser, Philip	$500.00
03/13/2015	Lesser, Philip	Philip Lesser	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lesser, Philip	$500.00
05/19/2010	Loeven, Lewis	Loeven And Associates, LI	Chiu, David	David Chiu For Democratic Cou	Loeven, Lewis	$500.00
06/21/2011	Loeven, Lewis	Loeven And Associates, LI	Chiu, David	David Chiu For Mayor 2011	Loeven, Lewis	$500.00
06/28/2013	Mogi, Viva	Platinum Advisors	Campos, David	Committee To Reelect David Ca	Mogi, Viva	$500.00
02/10/2011	Mosher, Mark	Barnes, Mosher, Whitehurs	Wiener, Scott	Scott Weiner For Supervisor 201	Mosher, Mark	$500.00
10/03/2012	Mosher, Mark	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Campos, David	Re-Elect David Campos For Sup	Mosher, Mark	$500.00
10/16/2012	Mosher, Mark	Bmwl & Partners, Inc	Rizzo, John	John Rizzo For District 5 Supervi	Mosher, Mark	$500.00
04/26/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Wiener, Scott	Scott Weiner Dcc	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/13/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Baraka, Keith	Keith Baraka Dcc 2010	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/24/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Hsieh, Tom	Tom Hsieh For Dcc	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
07/28/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Sparks, Theresa	Theresa Sparks D-6	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
08/03/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Alioto Pier, Michela	Re-Elect Michela Alioto Pier	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/15/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Prozan, Rebecca	Prozan For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/21/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Wiener, Scott	Scott Weiner For Sup	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/19/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Reilly, Janet	Janet Reilly D-2 Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/21/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Ulmer, Richard	Committee To Retain Judge Ulm	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/25/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Farrell, Mark	Mark Farrell For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/25/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Sweet, Lynette	Sweet For Supervisor 2010	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
12/01/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor	New, Janan	$500.00
12/01/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
12/13/2010	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Farrell, Mark	Mark Farrell For Supervisor	New, Janan	$500.00
04/13/2011	New, Janan	Sf Apartment Association	Ting, Phil	Phil Ting Assessor 2010	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/15/2011	New, Janan	Sfaa	Cunnie, Chris	Chris Cunnie For Sheriff	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/12/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Anderson, Kat	Kat Anderson Dcc	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/12/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Anderson, Kat	Kat Anderson Dcc	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
06/04/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Garcia, Mike	Mike Garcia Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
07/01/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Chiu, David Boar	David Chiu Supervisor 2012	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/04/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Campos, David	Committee To Reelect David Ca	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/15/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/15/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Engardio, Joel	Joel Engardio D-7 Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00

12/05/2012	New, Janan	Sfaa	Mar, Eric	Re-Elect Eric Mar Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
04/15/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/15/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Chu, Carmen	Caremn Chu Assessor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/30/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Herrera, Dennis	Herrera City Atty	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
11/21/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Wiener, Scott	Scott Weiner 2014	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
11/21/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Wiener, Scott	Weiner For Sup 2014	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
12/03/2013	New, Janan	Sfaa	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen Sup 2014	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
01/23/2014	New, Janan	Sfaa	Farrell, Mark	Mark Farrell 2014	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
04/03/2014	New, Janan	Sfaa	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Sup 2014	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
05/20/2014	New, Janan	Sfaa	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu Assessor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/29/2014	New, Janan	Sfaa	Mendoza, Hydra	Mendoza School Board	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/29/2014	New, Janan	Sfaa	Murphy, Mark	Murphy For School Board	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
06/26/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Philhour, Marjan	Marjan Philhour 2015	New, Janan	$500.00
06/26/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Philhour, Marjan	Marjan Philhour 2015	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
09/17/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Peskin, Aaron	Aaron Peskin For Supervisor	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/06/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Randolph, Alex	Alex Randolph City College	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/22/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Hennessy, Vickie	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Sfaa-Pac	$500.00
10/22/2015	New, Janan	San Francisco Apt Assoc	Hennessy, Vickie	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	New, Janan	$500.00
11/21/2014	Noyola, David	Platinum Advisors	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Noyola, David	$500.00
12/08/2014	Noyola, David	Platinum Advisors	Campos, Da Boar	David Campos For Assembly 20	Hohbach-Lewin, Inc.	$500.00
12/08/2014	Noyola, David	Platinum Advisors	Campos, Da Boar	David Campos For Assembly 20	Bkf Engineers	$500.00
11/18/2010	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor 201	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
12/15/2010	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor 2010	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
01/27/2011	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For Mayor 2011	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
03/15/2011	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Yee, Leland	Leland Yee For Mayor 2011	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
11/10/2012	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Mar, Eric	Re-Elect Supervisor Eric Mar 20	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
12/14/2012	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
02/13/2013	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Breed, London	London Breed For San Francisc	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	$499.00
04/17/2013	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
04/30/2013	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assesor 2013	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
12/09/2013	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Farrell, Mark Boar	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
12/15/2013	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear-Peterson, Llc	Wiener, Scott	Re-Elect Supervisor Wiener 201	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
04/30/2014	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assessor-Reco	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
05/22/2014	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Boeing, Susan	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Peterson, Marianne	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Baron, Barry	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Zeches, Charley	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	San Francisco Bar Pilots'	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goodyear, Charles	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Naughton, Bernadette	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Humphrey, Arthur	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Dufty, Bevan	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hudson, Bill	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bell, Veronica	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hayward, Boe	$500.00

01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Heithaus, Marco	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Poole, Lynn	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Read, Macgregor	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Maguire, Chris	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Morris, Diane	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Pedrero, Anne	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bartlett, Ted	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goodyear, Connie	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Power, Tom	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Pedrero, Robert	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Moore, Elizabeth	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Poole, Ed	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bickford, John	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Peterson, Tanya	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gardner, Christine	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Nootbaar, Joe	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Jewett, George	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Podell, Leslie	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Meyer, Andrew	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Freeman, William	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Goodyear, Claire	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hansen, Andrew	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Peterson, Richard	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Meyer, Ben	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lawton, Edward	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Watkins, Stephen	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hayward, Sophie	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Podell, Nick	$500.00
01/13/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Paige, Matt	$500.00
03/01/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
03/31/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kyogoku, Yasunobu	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Pedrero, Anne	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Au, Helina	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Hauben, Margaret	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Meyer, Andrew	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Podell, Leslie	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Reuben, Jim	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Peterson, Richard	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Hayward, Sophie	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Pedrero, Robert	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Poole, Ed	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Meyer, Ben	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Marchetti, Kevin	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Sanandaji, Cyrus	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Mcnellis, John	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Au, Pang	$500.00

11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Secchia, Gail	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Read, Macgregor	$500.00
11/04/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Miller, Neil	$500.00
11/30/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor	Peterson, Richard	$500.00
12/16/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Peterson, Richard	$500.00
12/16/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Au, Helina	$500.00
12/16/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Au, Pang	$500.00
12/16/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Breed, Lond Boar	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Read, Macgregor	$500.00
12/19/2015	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Peskin, Aaron	Aaron Peskin For Supervisor 20	Peterson, Richard	$500.00
01/15/2016	Peterson, Rich	Goodyear Peterson Haywa	Fewer, Sandra	Sandra Lee Fewer For Supervis	Peterson, Rich	$500.00
01/23/2014	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Polacci, Bert	$500.00
04/03/2014	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Polacci, Bernard	$500.00
05/12/2014	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Polacci, Bernard	$500.00
05/20/2014	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assessor 2014	Polacci, Bert	$500.00
07/29/2015	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Wiener, Scott	Scott Weiner For State Senator	Polacci, Bert	$500.00
12/15/2015	Polacci, Bert	Public Advocacy Partners	Philhour, Marjan	Marjan Philhour For Supervisor	Polacci, Bert	$500.00
04/20/2011	Potashner, Eri	Platinum Advisors	Mirkarimi, Ro Boar	Ross Mirkarimi For Sheriff 2011	Potashner, Eric	$500.00
05/15/2012	Potashner, Eri	Platinum Advisors	Campos, David	David Campos For Democratic	Potashner, Eric	$500.00
06/29/2012	Potashner, Eri	Platinum Advisors	Campos, Da Boar	Committee To Reelect David Ca	Potashner, Eric	$500.00
07/24/2012	Potashner, Eri	Platinum Advisors	Avalos, John Boar	Avalos For District 11 Supervisor	Potashner, Eric	$500.00
01/21/2014	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Wiener, Scott	Campaign To Re-Elect Scott Wi	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
01/30/2014	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Cohen, Malia Boar	Malia Cohen For Supervisor	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
08/12/2014	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Campos, David	David Campos For Assembly 20	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
09/30/2014	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Gascã³n, George	Re-Elect Da George Gascon 20	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
06/09/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For City Attorney	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
06/19/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Peskin, Aaron	Aaron Peskin For Supervisor	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
10/14/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate 2	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
10/22/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Randolph, Alex	Alex Randolph For Community C	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
10/28/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Yee, Norman	Norman Yee For Supervisor 201	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
12/16/2015	Reuben, Jame	Reuben, Junius & Rose, LI	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor 201	Reuben, James A.	$500.00
10/13/2010	Rodman, Caro	T. Y. Lin International	Prozan, Rebecca	Rebecca Prozan For Supervisor	Rodman, Caroline	$500.00
07/21/2010	Rossi, Jaime	Barbary Coast Consulting	Onek, David	Onek For District Attorney 2011	Rossi, Jaime	$500.00
09/24/2011	Smith, Kimberl	Kls Consulting	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor	Smith, Kimberly	$500.00
12/13/2012	Smith, Kimberl	Kls Consulting	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Dccc	Smith, Kimberly	$500.00
03/26/2013	Smith, Kimberl	Kls Consulting	Breed, London	London Breed For Supervisor	Smith, Kimberly	$500.00
03/25/2010	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Reilly, Janet	Janet Reilly For Supervisor 2010	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
04/20/2010	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Sweet, Lynette	Sweet For Supervisor 2010	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
12/01/2010	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Farrell, Mark	Mark Farrell For Supervisor	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
12/10/2010	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Supervisor 201	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
12/16/2010	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For Supervisor	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
06/20/2011	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Mirkarimi, Ross	Mirkarimi For Sheriff	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
02/16/2012	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For Dccc 2012	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
06/21/2012	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Olague, Christina	Christina Olague For Supervisor	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
09/14/2012	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Mandelman, Rafae	Rafael Mandelman For Commun	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
03/22/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For City Attorney	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
05/10/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2013	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00

05/24/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Cisneros, Jose	Cisneros For Treasurer2013	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
06/06/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Chu, Carmen	Carment Chu For Assessor 2013	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
10/21/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Wiener, Scott	Re-Elect Supervisor Scott Wiene	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
10/27/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
11/15/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
12/06/2013	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor, 2014	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
01/05/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Smith, Josh	$500.00
01/06/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Johnston, Patrick	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Macneill, Diarmuid	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Adams, Scott	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hogan, Ariane	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	O'reilly, Sean	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mellett, Robert	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rubin, Richard	$500.00
01/09/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lyons, Joseph	$500.00
06/11/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Herrera, Dennis	Dennis Herrera For City Attorney	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
12/02/2015	Smolens, H. M	Hms Associates	Breed, London	Re-Elect London Breed For Sup	Smolens, H. Marcia	$500.00
08/30/2011	Solem, Don	Solem & Associates	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2011	Solem, Donald	$500.00
05/30/2012	Solem, Don	Solem & Associates	Lee, David	David Lee For San Francisco Di	Solem, Don	$500.00
08/06/2012	Solem, Don	Solem & Associates	Lee, David	David Lee For San Francisco Di	Solem, Don	$500.00
04/15/2010	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Chiu, David	David Chiu For Dccc 2010	Ground Floor Public Affai	$500.00
04/15/2010	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Peskin, Aaron	Aaron Peskin For Dccc 2010	Ground Floor Public Affai	$500.00
05/07/2010	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Young Democrats,	Sfyd June Slate Card	Ground Floor Public Affai	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Faul, Don	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sharp, Evan	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Silbermann, Benjamin	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Yang, Michael	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kendall, Tim	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Alston, Gene	$500.00
11/13/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Schnitt, Barry	$500.00
11/14/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Curran, Nicole	$500.00
11/16/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fair, Greg	$500.00
11/16/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lawson, Jeff	$500.00
11/17/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Schrage, Eliott	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rochkind, Brett	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lanzone, Shannon	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lanzone, Jim	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lee, Krista	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lee, Bob	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hartz, Kevin	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lowe, Luther	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hartz, Julia	$500.00
11/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Osofsky, Justin	$500.00
11/20/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Dollard, Adrian	$500.00
11/20/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Thiel, Peter	$500.00

11/20/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Stoppelman, Jeremy	$500.00
11/20/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kaluza, Richard	$500.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mayer, Marissa	$500.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fajner, Samuel	$500.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Scott, Allen	$500.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Madeira, Danielle	$500.00
11/21/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Foley, Chris	$500.00
11/22/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lee, David	$500.00
11/22/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lee, Grace	$500.00
11/23/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Stone, Biz	$500.00
11/23/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Stone, Livia	$500.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Leibert, Florian	$500.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Knaup, Tobias	$500.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Covarrubias, Michael	$500.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hering, John	$500.00
11/24/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Ghaffary, Mike	$500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Green, Jim	$500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Palmeri, Ron	$500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hsaio, Steven	$500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Zaparde, Rujul	$500.00
11/25/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Chin, Jonathan	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Gatti, Stanlee	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Santiago, Deva	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Norton, Burke	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lopp, Michael	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mcneil, Carole	$500.00
11/26/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Banse, Amy	$500.00
11/27/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Caufield, Amy	$500.00
11/27/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Caulfield Jr., Frank	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Halloran, Martin	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Levchin, Max	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Homsany, Ramsey	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sarner, Steve	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Desai, Ashu	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Levchin, Nellie	$500.00
11/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kingsley, Dan	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Streetman, Dan	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sample, Mike	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Donohue, Dave	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cressman, Kk	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Jacob, Karl	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Beeler, John	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Weaver, Amy	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Beshara, James	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Segal, Ned	$500.00
12/01/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bain, Adam	$500.00

12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sales, Brett	$500.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Cho, Chi Hea	$500.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bogue, Zachary	$500.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Zatlyn, Michelle	$500.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Rabois, Keith	$500.00
12/02/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Delagnes, Gary	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Keehn, Dorka	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bradley, Todd	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Martinez, Maria	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mullenweg, Matthew	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hayes-White, Joanne	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Simon, Nicole	$500.00
12/03/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Simon, Robert	$500.00
12/05/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Houston, Drew	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Moscone, Chris	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Duverge, Benjamin	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Shriram, Vijay	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lesko, Robert	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lesko, Sarah	$500.00
12/08/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Silbermann, Divya	$500.00
12/09/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Go, Francesca	$500.00
12/09/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Nicholas, James	$500.00
12/15/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Connolly, Pat	$500.00
12/17/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hasbrouk, Mary	$500.00
12/18/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tourk, Alex	$500.00
12/19/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mcelearney, Cecelia	$500.00
12/30/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	De Masi, Niccolo	$500.00
12/31/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Parker, Alexandra	$500.00
12/31/2014	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Parker, Sean	$500.00
01/06/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Bhusri, Aneel	$500.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Weinbeg, Anna	$500.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Paul, Sunil	$500.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Graham, Paul	$500.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Lepe, Bismark	$500.00
01/08/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kordestani, Gisel	$500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tan, Garry	$500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Liu Collins, Margaret	$500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Livingston, Jessica	$500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Austin, David	$500.00
01/12/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Singerman, Brian	$500.00
01/14/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Costolo, Lorin	$500.00
01/14/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Costolo, Dick	$500.00
01/14/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Agarwal, Aditya	$500.00
01/14/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kunze, John	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Chignell, Paul	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fadeev, Boris	$500.00

02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Schleier-Smith, Johann	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Roach, Michael	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Hebel, Michael	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Moser, Robert	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Ferrigno, Sharon	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Fazio, Anthony	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Mannix, Ann	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tomioka, Lyn	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Tomioka, Kirk	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Redmond, Sharon	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Orkes, Charlie	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Kirwan, Val	$500.00
02/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Sommer, Ethan	$500.00
03/24/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Tourk, Alex	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Altman, Sam	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Alsop, Stewart	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Hays, Carole	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Bogue, Zachary	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Ackerberg, Peggy	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Silbermann, Benjamin	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Mayer, Marissa	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Caufield, Frank	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Conway, Gayle	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Schrage, Elliot	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Bradley, Richard	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Hatch, Ann	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Conway, Christopher	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Birch, Xochi	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Kelley, David	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Pollak, Robert	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Carter, Kevin	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Parker, Alexandra	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Parker, Sean	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Sharp, Evan	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Thomas, Laurie	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Conway, Ronny	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Kovacevich, Richard	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Conway, Michele	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Mattrick, Don	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Hayes, Jeff	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Florence, Toran	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Osofsky, Justin	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Rabois, Keith	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Stone, Biz	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Hasbrouck, Mary-Elizabet	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Stone, Olivia	$500.00

03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Pokorny, Brian	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Birch, Michael	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Go, Francesca	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Oppenheimer, David	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Conway, Ronald	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Van Dusen, Stephen	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Golub, Benjamin	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Simon, Robert	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Fenton, Peter	$500.00
03/25/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Sharp, Christina	$500.00
12/02/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Cohen, Malia	Malia Cohen For Democratic Co	Tourk, Alex	$500.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 20	Shemano, Jacob	$500.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 20	Fornari, Cody	$500.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 20	Jung, Mary	$500.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 20	Byrne, Paul	$500.00
12/15/2015	Tourk, Alex	Ground Floor Public Affairs	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 20	Tourk, Alex	$500.00
01/23/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Turner, Brook	$500.00
04/03/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014	Turner, Brook	$500.00
05/12/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Turner, Brook	$500.00
05/20/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Assessor 2014	Turner, Brook	$500.00
06/27/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Ting, Phil	Phil Ting For Assembly	Turner, Brook	$500.00
11/21/2014	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Turner, Brook	$500.00
06/30/2015	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Philhour, Marjan	Marjan Philhour For Supervisor	Turner, Brook	$500.00
10/22/2015	Turner, Brook	Coalition For Better Housin	Hennessy, Vicki	Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015	Turner, Brook	$500.00
02/04/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 201	Podell, Nick	$500.00
02/04/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 201	Mcnerney, Patrick	$500.00
02/04/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 201	Gensler, M. Arthur	$500.00
02/04/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Chu, Carmen	Carmen Chu For Supervisor 201	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
03/17/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Newsom, Gavin	Newsom For California	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
05/25/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Prop. B, Yes On	Police & Firefighters For Yes On	Kendrick, Stephen	$500.00
05/25/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Prop. B, Yes On	Police & Firefighters For Yes On	Clemens, Alex	$500.00
05/25/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Prop. B, Yes On	Police & Firefighters For Yes On	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
10/01/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For Supervisor 201	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
12/03/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Cohen, Malia	Elect Malia Cohen For Superviso	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
12/03/2010	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Cohen, Malia	Elect Malia Cohen For Superviso	Block, Sharmin	$500.00
08/25/2011	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2011	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Podell, Nick	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Tao, Eric	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Willis, John	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Kaufman, Barbara	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
10/01/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Committee To Reelect Supervis	Smith, Josh	$500.00
12/13/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Cohen, Malia	Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Super	Vettel, Steve	$500.00
12/18/2013	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Kim, Jane	Jane Kim For Supervisor, 2014	Vettel, Steve	$500.00
12/17/2014	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
03/24/2015	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Vettel, Steven	$500.00

07/21/2015	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Wiener, Scott	Scott Wiener For State Senate	Vettel, Steve	$500.00
01/27/2016	Vettel, Steve	Farella Braun And Martel	Safai, Ahsha	Ahsha Safai For Supervisor	Vettel, Steven	$500.00
09/09/2014	Wallace, Jay	Tzk Broadway, Llc	K, Proposition	Coalition For Affordable Housing	Wallace, Jay	$500.00
05/03/2012	Wright, Christo	Committee On Jobs	Farrell, Mark	Mark Farrell For District 2 Super	Wright, Chris	$500.00
05/08/2013	Wright, Christo	Committee On Jobs	Tang, Katy	Katy Tang For Supervisor	Wright, Chris	$500.00
12/02/2013	Wright, Christo	Committee On Jobs	Farrell, Mark	Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell	Wright, Chris	$500.00
12/18/2014	Wright, Christo	Committee On Jobs	Lee, Ed	Ed Lee For Mayor 2015	Wright, Chris	$500.00
03/12/2015	Wright, Christo	Committee On Jobs	Christensen, Julie	Christensen For Supervisor 201	Wright, Chris	$500.00

		0
Total		0
Ahsha Safai For Sup	Tourk, Alex	$2,500.00
Ahsha Safai For Supervisor - 2016 T		$2,500.00
Carmen Chu For Su	Vettel, Steve	$2,000.00
Carmen Chu For Supervisor 2010 To		$2,000.00
Christensen For Sup	Jung, Mary	$4,500.00
	Tourk, Alex	$21,000.00
Christensen For Supervisor 2015 To		$25,500.00
Cisneros For Treasu	Gruwell, Chris	$1,500.00
Cisneros For Treasurer 2015 Total		$1,500.00
Committee To Reele	Vettel, Steve	$3,000.00
Committee To Reelect Supervisor S		$3,000.00
David Campos For	Noyola, David	$1,000.00
David Campos For Assembly 2014 T		$1,000.00
Ed Lee For Mayor	Gladstone, Bre	$3,500.00
Ed Lee For Mayor Total		$3,500.00
Ed Lee For Mayor 20	Gruwell, Chris	$23,000.00
	Lauter, Samuel	$27,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$20,500.00
	Smolens, H. M	$4,000.00
	Tourk, Alex	$59,000.00
Ed Lee For Mayor 2015 Total		$134,000.00
Elect Malia Cohen F	Vettel, Steve	$1,000.00
Elect Malia Cohen For Supervisor 20		$1,000.00
Jane Kim For Super	Gruwell, Chris	$4,500.00
Jane Kim For Supervisor 2014 Total		$4,500.00
Janet Reilly For Sup	Gladstone, Bre	$1,000.00
Janet Reilly For Supervisor Total		$1,000.00
Kat Anderson Dcc	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Kat Anderson Dcc Total		$1,000.00
Katy Tang For Super	Jung, Mary	$1,000.00
Katy Tang For Supervisor 2014 Total		$1,000.00
London Breed For S	Jung, Mary	$7,499.73
London Breed For San Francisco Su		$7,499.73
London Breed For S	Lauter, Samuel	$2,000.00
London Breed For Supervisor 2016		$2,000.00
Malia Cohen For Su	New, Janan	$1,000.00

Malia Cohen For Supervisor Total		$1,000.00
Marjan Philhour 201	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Marjan Philhour 2015 Total		$1,000.00
Mike Garcia For Sup	Gladstone, Bre	$1,000.00
Mike Garcia For Supervisor 2012 Tot		$1,000.00
Norman Yee For 201	Gruwell, Chris	$3,500.00
Norman Yee For 2012 Supervisor Di		$3,500.00
Norman Yee For Su	Gruwell, Chris	$3,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$9,000.00
Norman Yee For Supervisor 2016 To		$12,500.00
Police & Firefighters	Vettel, Steve	$1,500.00
Police & Firefighters For Yes On B T		$1,500.00
Re-Elect Da George	Alberti, Adam	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Da George Gascon 2015 To		$1,000.00
Re-Elect London Br	Gruwell, Chris	$13,000.00
	Johnston, Kari	$3,500.00
	Peterson, Rich	$2,000.00
Re-Elect London Breed For Supervi		$18,500.00
Re-Elect Malia Cohe	Johnston, Kari	$8,500.00
	Jung, Mary	$3,500.00
Re-Elect Malia Cohen For Superviso		$12,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor	Gladstone, Bre	$1,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor David Chiu 201		$1,000.00
Re-Elect Supervisor	Lauter, Samuel	$7,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell Tot		$7,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor	Jung, Mary	$1,500.00
Re-Elect Supervisor Mark Farrell 201		$1,500.00
Scott Weiner 2014	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Scott Weiner 2014 Total		$1,000.00
Scott Wiener For Sta	Junius, Andre	$1,500.00
Scott Wiener For State Senate 2016		$1,500.00
Stop The Housing T	Jung, Mary	$2,000.00
Stop The Housing Tax, No On G Tot		$2,000.00
Vicki Hennessy For	Johnston, Kari	$3,000.00
	New, Janan	$1,000.00
Vicki Hennessy For Sheriff 2015 Tot		$4,000.00
Grand Total		$261,499.73

Submission by Zach Goldfine, SF Represent.Us 5/24/2016

Executive Summary:

To be clear, there are no accusations of corruption here. But there is certainly the *riskof the appearance of corruption* when a lobbyist can fund an elected official's travel, join the official on the trip, encourage a client to also fund the travel, boast about getting things from the government for that client, and then bundle over $20,000 for that elected official's re-election.

Details:

Ed Lee went on a 5-day trip in October of 2013 to China and South Korea. The trip cost $20,500 and was funded by earmarked contributions from lobbyists (including Richard Peterson of Goodyear Peterson Hayward & Associates) and real-estate developers (including SF's largest owner of apartment properties in SF, Veritas Investments), among others. These earmarked contributions were made through a non-profit named 'San Francisco Shanghai Sister City Committee'.

- Veritas Investments is a client of Goodyear Peterson Hayward & Associates.
- Veritas' CEO & Founder, Yat Pang-Au, contributed over $500 to the trip (exact amount undisclosed).
- Richard Peterson, Founder of Goodyear Peterson Hayward & Associates, also contributed over $500 to the trip (exact amount undisclosed). As a result, he was able to accompany Ed Lee on the trip.
- Less than one month after the trip, in November 2013, Veritas Investments picks up a portfolio of properties in SF for $100 million, with the intent of making "improvements and services packages to further enhance their appeal to residents and tenants", according to Yat Pang-Au.
- Then, in 2014, Richard Peterson, according to his own website, "assisted Veritas Investments - the largest property owner in the City - with various permit and community related opportunities".
- In 2015, Richard Peterson bundled $20,000 for the Ed Lee for Mayor 2015 campaign. (Most of that money came from the real-estate industry.)

FILE NO. MOTION NO.

Attach 2

[Initiative Ordinance - Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Restricting Gifts and Contributions from Lobbyists]

Motion ordering submitted to the voters, at an election to be held November 8, 2016, an ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to restrict gifts and campaign contributions from lobbyists.

MOVED, That pursuant to Charter Section 15.102, the Ethics Commission hereby submits the following ordinance to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 8, 2016.

Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to restrict gifts and campaign contributions from lobbyists.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article II, Chapter 1 of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 2.100, 2.103, 2.105, and 2.115, to read as follows:

SEC. 2.100. FINDINGS.

(a) The Board of Supervisors finds that public disclosure of the identity and extent of efforts of lobbyists to influence decision-making regarding local legislative and administrative matters is essential to protect public confidence in the responsiveness and representative nature of government officials and institutions. It is the purpose and intent of the Board of

Supervisors to impose reasonable registration and disclosure requirements to reveal information about lobbyists' efforts to influence decision-making regarding local legislative and administrative matters.

(b) To increase public confidence in the fairness and responsiveness of governmental decision making, it is the further purpose and intent of the people of the City and County of San Francisco to restrict gifts, campaign contributions, and bundled campaign contributions from lobbyists to City officers so that governmental decisions are not, and do not appear to be, influenced by the giving of personal benefits to City officers by lobbyists, or by lobbyists' financial support of City officers' political interests.

~~(b)~~*(c)* Corruption and the appearance of corruption in the form of campaign consultants exploiting their influence with City officials on behalf of private interests may erode public confidence in the fairness and impartiality of City governmental decisions. The City and County of San Francisco has a compelling interest in preventing corruption or the appearance of corruption which could result in such erosion of public confidence. Prohibitions on campaign consultants lobbying current and former clients will protect public confidence in the electoral and governmental processes. It is the purpose and intent of the people of the City and County of San Francisco in enacting this Chapter to prohibit campaign consultants from exploiting or appearing to exploit their influence with City officials on behalf of private interests.

SEC. 2.103. AMENDMENT OR REPEAL.

With respect to any provisions of this Chapter regarding regulation of expenditure lobbyists*, or restrictions on gifts, campaign contributions, or bundled campaign contributions from lobbyists,* approved by the voters, the Board of Supervisors may amend those provisions if all of the following conditions are met:

(a) The amendment furthers the purposes of this Chapter;

(b) The Ethics Commission approves the proposed amendment in advance by at least a four-fifths vote of all its members;

(c) The proposed amendment is available for public review at least 30 days before the amendment is considered by the Board of Supervisors or any committee of the Board of Supervisors; and

(d) The Board of Supervisors approves the proposed amendment by at least a two-thirds vote of all its members.

SEC. 2.105. DEFINITIONS.

* * * *

"Candidate" shall have the same meaning as set forth in Section 1.104 of this Code.

"Committee" shall be defined as set forth in the California Political Reform Act, California Government Code section 81000, et seq.

"Controlled committee" shall have the same meaning as set forth in Section 1.104 of this Code, but shall not include any state committees.

"Contribution" shall have the same meaning as set forth in the California Political Reform Act, California Government Code Section 81000, et seq.

"Dependent child" shall mean a child or stepchild of a public official, who is under 18 years old and whom the official is entitled to claim as a dependent on his or her federal tax return.

* * * *

"Election cycle" shall mean the period beginning when a candidate forms a committee for election to City elective office and concluding (a) if the candidate was elected, either at the end of the candidate's term in office or on the date on which the candidate forms a committee for election to another City elective office, whichever is earlier, or (b) if the candidate was not elected, the date of the election.

* * * *

"Gift" shall be defined as set forth in the Political Reform Act, Government Code Section 81000 et seq., and the regulations adopted thereunder.

"Gift of travel" shall mean payment, advance, or reimbursement for travel, including transportation, lodging, and food and refreshment connected with the travel.

* * * *

"State committee" shall mean a committee formed to support or oppose candidates for state office or state ballot measures.

* * * *

SEC. 2.115. *LIMITS AND* PROHIBITIONS.

(a) **GIFT ~~*LIMIT*~~ *PROHIBITION*.**

(1) No lobbyist shall make *any* gift~~s~~*, including any gift of travel,* to an officer of the City and County*, or to a parent, spouse, domestic partner registered under state law, or dependent child of an officer of the City and County* ~~*that have a fair market value of more than $25, except for those gifts that would qualify for one of the exemptions under Section 3.216(b) of this Code and its implementing regulations*~~*. No contact lobbyist shall make any payment to a third-party that is earmarked for use as part of a gift, including any gift of travel, to an officer of the City and County, or to a parent, spouse, domestic partner registered under state law, or dependent child of an officer of the City and County.*

(2) No officer of the City and County may accept or solicit any gift, including any gift of travel, from any lobbyist for the officer's personal benefit or to benefit the officer's parent, spouse, domestic partner registered under state law, or dependent child. No officer of the City and County may accept or solicit any gift, including any gift of travel, from a third-party if the officer knows or has reason to know that the third-party is providing the gift or gift of travel on behalf of a lobbyist.

(b) **FUTURE EMPLOYMENT.** No lobbyist shall cause or influence the introduction or initiation of any local legislative or administrative action for the purpose of thereafter being employed or retained to secure its granting, denial, confirmation, rejection, passage, or defeat.

(c) **FICTITIOUS PERSONS.** No contact lobbyist shall contact any officer of the City and County in the name of any fictitious person or in the name of any real person, except with the consent of such real person.

(d) **EVASION OF OBLIGATIONS.** No lobbyist shall attempt to evade the obligations imposed by this Chapter through indirect efforts or through the use of agents, associates, or employees.

*(e) **CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.***

(1) No lobbyist shall make any contribution which will cause the total amount contributed by that lobbyist to any candidate, including the candidate's controlled committees, to exceed [$250, $100 or $50] in an election cycle.

(2) No candidate may accept or solicit any contribution from a lobbyist which will cause the total amount contributed by that lobbyist to the candidate, including the candidate's controlled committees, to exceed [$250, $100 or $50] in an election cycle.

*(f) **BUNDLING OF CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS - LIMITS.** No lobbyist shall deliver or transmit, or deliver or transmit through a third party, any contribution made by another person to any candidate, or the candidate's controlled committees, if the total combined amount of the contributions delivered or transmitted by the lobbyist and contributions made by the lobbyist to the candidate exceeds the amount of the contribution limit established in subsection 2.115(e).*

*(g) **REGULATIONS.** The Ethics Commission may issue regulations implementing this Section 2.115, but such regulations may not establish any exceptions from the limits and prohibitions set forth therein.*

Section 2. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the People of the City and County of San Francisco intend to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions or deletions, in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 3. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 4. Effective and Operative Dates. This ordinance shall become effective 10 days after the Board of Supervisors declares the results of the November 8, 2016 election. This ordinance shall become operative on January 1, 2017.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: _____
ANDREW SHEN
Deputy City Attorney
n:\legana\as2016\1600736\01113030.docx

Attach 3

4/17/08 S.F. Chron. B1
2008 WLNR 7124849

San Francisco Chronicle (CA)

April 17, 2008

Section: Metro

Pile of money may be at bottom of supes' snub of sewage contract

C.W. Nevius

When is a competitive bid not a competitive bid? When it comes before the **San Francisco** Board of Supervisors.

The supes have always been a target of one-liners, but when they turned down an offer to haul away the city's sewage for $3 million less, they almost made it too easy.

"We're facing a huge budget deficit and (supervisors' President) Aaron Peskin is throwing around money like Eliot Spitzer in a brothel," said mayoral spokesman Nate Ballard, who must have spent his lunch hour working that one up.

Others went for the cheap laugh. The whole arrangement stinks, they said. The logic doesn't pass the smell test. The supes really put their foot in it this time.

The facts seem pretty simple. When the contract to haul sludge - the solid stuff that gets sorted out of what flows down sewage pipes - came up for bid last fall, the city Office of Contract Administration looked over the competitors and awarded the contract to an East Bay firm called S&S Trucking.

But in one of those classic **San Francisco** political moments, the Board of Supervisors unexpectedly overturned the contract and instead extended the contract of long-time, well-established, and Teamster-connected **San Francisco** garbage hauler Norcal, whose bid was some $3 million higher.

Frank Sanchez, who is co-owner of S&S Trucking, was stunned.

"It doesn't take a brain surgeon to see that money and politics got in the way," Sanchez said.

From there the complications have been fascinating. S&S sued and won, but the city attorney appealed the decision. And on Tuesday, the board voted 10-1 to renew the Norcal contract while the appeal is pending.

The only brave soul to oppose it was iconoclastic Chris Daly, who now finds himself fighting on the same side of the issue as arch-enemy Mayor Gavin Newsom. Holy crap.

Needless to say, battle lines have been drawn. The supervisors attempted to justify the switcheroo at Tuesday's meeting. Peskin said "there were serious concerns that S&S couldn't preform the services at the price they offered."

"Well let's see," said S&S's Sanchez. "We're running between 100 and 150 trucks a day (on other jobs). This will take between six and eight. Is this something we could do? This is probably something we could do in our sleep."

Norcal spokesman Robert Reed counters with a dizzying array of documents, objections and claims. He says it is impossible for S&S to do the job at their promised price, S&S is trying to get away without paying the city's required wage, and besides, S&S has an agreement with another union not to haul sludge in **San Francisco**.

"We provide this service and we have for years," Reed said. "We know what it costs and S&S' bid is less than what it costs."

But this appears to be one of those cases where lots of ... something ... is thrown at the wall to see what might stick.

PUC spokesman Tony Winnicker, whose agency will employ whichever sludge-hauling firm ends up with the contract, says simply that, "It is our understanding that the S&S contract met all the city's wage and labor laws."

S&S attorney Neil O'Donnell says the firm has always paid the required wage and is committed to do so here. As for not being up to the job, Sanchez wants to know where the board members were when S&S trucks were working on the **San Francisco** Airport.

"We ran trucks for two weeks, 24 hours a day, working right next to live runways," Sanchez said. "Now that's a tough job."

And now it is getting nasty. Norcal is no stranger to controversy. When San Jose Mayor Ron Gonzales worked out a shady rate increase with Norcal in 2003, he ended up indicted by a grand jury. Those charges were eventually thrown out when the judge ruled that the wheeling and dealing wasn't illegal.

In one the great lines of jurisprudence, the judge said, "This isn't bribery, this is politics."

There's an unpleasant feeling that something like that might be going on here. Let's just say that Norcal and the Teamsters are not only aggressive **lobbyists**, they are among the most generous in politics. Did the supes make their vote with an eye toward campaign **contributions**? Were they worried that they'd be hassled for days and days? Inquiring minds want to know.

What can be said is that this isn't going away. It may take another six to nine months to get a ruling on the city's appeal, but many bright legal minds think S&S is going to win. The truckers certainly aren't going to give up and walk away.

"It's not about the job any more," said Sanchez. "We're going to fight this to the end. We don't want to fight, but when you come from the neighborhoods that we come from, when you're forced to fight, we do."

Oh, and if they win, they're going to go for damages. Three million dollars may be just the start of this stinker.
C.W. Nevius' column appears on Tuesday, Thursday, and Sunday. E-mail him at cwnevius@sfchronicle.com.

----- Index References -----

News Subject: (Legal (1LE33))

Region: (USA (1US73); Americas (1AM92); North America (1NO39); California (1CA98))

Language: EN

Other Indexing: (NORCAL; OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION; PUC; TEAMSTERS) (C.W. Nevius; Chris Daly; Eliot Spitzer; Frank Sanchez; Gavin Newsom; Holy; Inquiring; Nate Ballard; Neil O'Donnell; Peskin; Pile; Reed; Robert Reed; Ron Gonzales; Sanchez; Tony Winnicker)

Edition: 5star

Word Count: 963

End of Document

3/17/13 S.F. Chron. E10
2013 WLNR 6539422

San Francisco Chronicle (CA)

March 17, 2013

Section: Insight

Funding schemes test voter patience

California voters have long cared deeply about having restrictions on financial donations to state political campaigns. In 1974, California voters overwhelmingly passed Proposition 9, which mandated campaign **contribution** and spending disclosures. In 2000, they voted for Proposition 34, which set **contribution** and voluntary expenditure limits for political candidates.

There's no good reason for the Legislature to ignore the propositions' legal limits or the voters' wishes.

But that seems to be exactly what's happening, according to a recent Sacramento Bee investigation. At least two dozen legislators have apparently been using their own "ballot measure" committees, which have no **contribution** limits, as slush funds for other political activities. Legislators have been using the funds for things like fundraisers, donor thank-you **gifts**, nonprofit **contributions**, and even one legislator's tuition.

And this practice is growing in popularity, according to Phillip Ung, a policy advocate for California Common Cause.

"We pass strong laws and then there's an immediate search for loopholes," said Ung. "Once a loophole's found, everyone uses it."

Some of the offenders? Look no further than **San Francisco's** own Democratic state Sen. Leland Yee, whose "One California for All" ballot measure committee had **contributions** of $123,063 and expenditures of $111,162 in 2011-12.

Of the **contributions** - which came from Yee's usual supporter groups (health care, unions, Indian tribes) not a single cent was spent on "supporting/opposing other candidates, measures, and committees." But Yee's committee seemed to need a lot of money to spend on legal services, consultants (some who are already on his staff) and fundraising events.

Yee's certainly not alone. In fact, this sneaky behavior goes all the way to the top.

State Senate President Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg's and Assembly President John Pérez's ballot measure committees were in second and third place for the amount of cash they were able to raise from contributors. Both spent heavily on consultants.

State Sen. Ed Hernandez, D-West Covina (Los Angeles County), spent most of his committee's funds on fundraising, including a lavish trip to Las Vegas (a room at the Bellagio was involved).

Both Democratic state Sens. Alex Padilla of Pacoima (Los Angeles County) and Kevin de León of Los Angeles spent committee money on **gifts** for donors, **lobbyists** and other people linked to their fundraising efforts.

Not all of the money was spent inappropriately, but there's a definite pattern at work here. This isn't what the voters wanted, and it needs to stop.

There are two ways that change could happen. The first way, and the simplest, would be for the Legislature to pass a bill setting strict and specific restrictions on the way members are allowed to spend **contributions**. The second way would be another ballot measure.

Guess which one's likelier to happen?

"Any restrictions that have been passed around how legislators can spend money or how much money they can raise has happened through the initiative process," said Ung. "This type of reform can go through the Legislature, but frankly it doesn't have much of a chance."

The Fair Political Practices Commission could also step up and do more to regulate these committees. But there's only so much the commission can do - an attempt in the early 2000s to set hard limits was rejected by the courts.

So this task is likely to fall to California's voters, again, through the initiative process. Ung said various good-government groups have already started to talk about putting one on the ballot. The time is now.

---- Index References ----

Company: GUESS ? INC

News Subject: (Legislation (1LE97); Lobby & Pressure Groups (1LO18); U.S. Congressional Campaigns (1US07); Public Affairs (1PU31); Government (1GO80); Campaigns & Elections (1CA25))

Region: (USA (1US73); California (1CA98); Americas (1AM92); North America (1NO39); U.S. West Region (1WE46))

Language: EN

Other Indexing: (Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg; John P rez; Alex Padilla; Phillip Ung; Kevin de Le n; Leland Yee; Ed Hernandez)

Edition: Advance1

Word Count: 571

End of Document

TOP NEWS STORIE

Paying for Freedom

By



The Business of Our Discontent

By Amo

New Chief, Old Chief

By

Bundles of Joy: City Lobbyists Eat Fundraising Rules for Breakfast

By Joe Eskenazi

Wednesday, Dec 18 2013

Comments 1



lobbyists

An invitation for breakfast or a cocktail is always welcome. But less so when a contribution of $250 is mandated to earn the title of "friend."

In San Francisco, however, affection is one more commodity to be bought and sold. That's certainly the case during fundraising events in which the breakfast, drinks, or "friendship" are incidental to the fundraising.

Last month, San Francisco politicos were hit up for invitations to two such happenings, boosting the campaign war chests of incumbent supervisors Jane Kim and Norman Yee. Fundraising for re-election was described to *SF Weekly* as "gauche" by political insiders — but fundraising is not exactly a subtle art. Raising eyebrows, rather, was that the invitations were sent directly from lobbying firm Platinum Advisors and required an RSVP to a Platinum Advisers employee — who'll handle your check and seating arrangements. Lobbyists' individual donations are capped at $500 per candidate and must be reported to the Ethics Commission. But, via gatherings like those held for Kim and Yee, lobbyists can bundle vastly more cash and direct it to the candidate or committee of their choosing.

5/31/14 AP Alerts 22:58:43

AP Alerts

May 31, 2014

Poll: Voters vexed by California corruption probes

AP

LOS ANGELES (AP) - California voters are alarmed by a string of corruption scandals in Sacramento, but most feel any wrongdoing is not widespread, a poll indicated Saturday.

The University of Southern California Dornsife-Los Angeles Times poll says 84 percent of voters are either very, or somewhat, concerned about corruption in the Legislature.

But most believe the trouble is limited to a small number of lawmakers, a sentiment that cuts across party lines.

"While a significant number of Californians are concerned about corruption in the state Legislature, voters are more likely to blame a select few rather than make a blanket judgment on their elected officials," Matt Rodriguez, co-director of the poll and a Democratic strategist, said in a statement.

The findings come a few days before the state's Tuesday primary election, which has been shaded by the cases that all involve Democrats.

Sens. Ronald Calderon of Montebello and Leland Yee of **San Francisco** have been indicted on federal bribery and corruption charges. Sen. Rod Wright of Inglewood was convicted of perjury and voter fraud in January for lying about his legal residence in Los Angeles County.

Voters are split on lawmakers' overall performance, with 41 percent approving and 40 percent saying they are failing. That's not a ringing vote of confidence, but their standing has increased since the state has slowly climbed out of recession.

Most voters support overhauling the Legislature's ethics rules. Ninety percent favor expelling legislators who had been convicted of corruption or other serious crimes, and three of four favored banning legislators from accepting **gifts** from special interests and **lobbyists**.

About two of three favor requiring candidates to accept campaign contributions only from people who live in their districts.

The survey of 1,500 voters conducted in late May has a margin of error of plus or minus 2.9 percentage points.

---- Index References ----

News Subject: (Business Management (1BU42); Campaigns & Elections (1CA25); Global Politics (1GL73); Government (1GO80); Legislation (1LE97); Market Research (1MA99); Public Affairs (1PU31); Sales & Marketing (1MA51); Surveys & Polls (1SU08); World Elections (1WO93))

Region: (Americas (1AM92); California (1CA98); North America (1NO39); U.S. West Region (1WE46); USA (1US73))

Language: EN

Other Indexing: (Leland Yee; Matt Rodriguez; Rod Wright; Ronald Calderon)

Word Count: 301

End of Document

3/30/14 S.F. Chron. A1
2014 WLNR 8546653

San Francisco Chronicle (CA)

March 30, 2014

Donations might have swayed votes in Legislature

LELAND YEE INVESTIGATION

Linking Calif. Sen. Yee's voting record to major donations

John Cot[©

As state Sen. Leland Yee aggressively campaigned in the 2011 **San Francisco** mayor's race, he blasted interim Mayor Ed Lee as beholden to City Hall power brokers with "selfish interests" and vowed to "throw them out" if elected.

At the same time, Yee was allegedly soliciting $10,000 from an undercover FBI agent posing as a real estate developer who had already illegally funneled $11,000 to Yee's campaign, telling the agent to "cover your tracks," and boasting that "there's tremendous opportunity in local levels ... because whoever's gonna be the mayor controls everything."

Sifting through the layers of alleged criminality in a years-long FBI probe that ensnared Yee, notorious Chinatown gangster Raymond "Shrimp Boy" Chow and 24 others last week, the picture that emerges of Yee in a 137-page FBI affidavit is not the sleeves-rolled-up, 65-year-old Democrat with a knack for retail politics that Yee projected.

Instead, it's of a man driven by money who was willing to skirt campaign finance laws, collect cash for meetings, trade political favors for donations, and even promise to facilitate an international arms deal worth up to $2.5 million. Now, a Chronicle review of his votes and campaign donations shows that money might have influenced many of his votes in the Legislature, too.

"When you read the (FBI) transcript, it's pay-to-play politics at its worst," said David Lee, a **San Francisco** State University political science instructor and executive director of the nonpartisan Chinese American Voters Education Committee. "It also speaks to someone who was desperate to hold onto power at any cost."

Gun control advocate

Yee, a gun control advocate and outspoken critic of violent video games, was charged with conspiring to traffic in firearms and six counts of scheming to defraud citizens by allegedly trading political favors for campaign donations to pay off a $70,000 campaign debt from the mayor's race and finance his now-abandoned run for secretary of state.

Controversy has dogged Yee since soon after he was elected to the **San Francisco** school board in 1988, but how far back his alleged practice of trading political action for money reaches is unclear.

The Chronicle review of his voting record in the Legislature, where he is currently in his 12th year, shows more than 30 instances dating back to 2003 where he cast votes that were arguably counter to his stated positions or the interests of his constituents

in **San Francisco** and San Mateo counties, and then received large campaign **contributions** from the industries that benefited. Those included chemical, oil and insurance companies, campaign **contribution** records show.

In May 2003, for example, Yee voted against a bill that would have stopped oil companies from dictating prices in specific geographic regions. The legislation was designed to introduce competition into the wholesale fuel market and drive down gasoline prices in the Bay Area and regions that are the target of "zone pricing."

The bill died in Yee's Assembly Committee on Business and Professions on a 3-4 vote, records show.

For over a year before the vote, Yee's only campaign **contribution** from oil interests was $250 from a Shell gas station owner. Starting the month after the vote, Yee received $30,000 in campaign **contributions** over the next six years from oil and gas interests and companies, which had strongly opposed the bill.

On Aug. 26, 2008, Yee voted against a bill in the Senate authored by fellow **San Francisco** Democrat and then-Assemblyman Mark Leno that would have allowed the California Department of Toxic Substances Control to analyze fire retardants used in furniture, rank them by toxicity and ban the chemicals they deemed to be harmful.

The bill died in the Senate on a 15-19 vote. Chemical companies and their employees donated $22,450 to Yee from March 2003 through February 2010, campaign finance records show.

Such behavior is legal unless there is an agreement the vote is being done in exchange for money or other compensation, said Bob Stern, former president of the Center for Governmental Studies in Los Angeles.

"If you agree to vote in exchange for the money, that's either bribery or extortion, whichever way it goes," Stern said. "But if you vote one way and someone gives you a campaign **contribution** because they like the way you vote, that's OK. ... I call campaign **contributions** legalized bribery."

$500,000 bail

Yee, who is free on $500,000 bail, could not be reached for comment. His attorney, Paul DeMeester, declined to comment on Yee's past votes or campaign funds.

When questioned in 2011 about some of the votes and donations, Yee denied his vote was for sale.

"I've probably taken over 20,000 votes during my tenure at the Capitol," Yee said then. "You have to look at the totality of my voting record. You can't just pick one bill here and one bill there."

But, as recently as September 2013, the affidavit reveals, when an undercover FBI agent posing as someone involved in the medical marijuana business asked Yee how much money he wanted to introduce marijuana legislation, the senator said that "he would have to think about the number."

"Yee described the legislation as a 'heavy lift,' and asked for time to think about it," the document states.

Questions about Yee taking action in exchange for money extend beyond the statehouse.

In January 2004, he endorsed 21st Assembly District candidate John Carcione. Over the next 10 months Carcione's parents donated $9,600 to Yee, campaign finance records show. Carcione's family could not be reached for comment Friday, but in 2004 his father told the San Mateo County Times there was no connection between the endorsement and the donations.

Yee, in conversations with undercover FBI agents that are detailed in the affidavit, was quick to say he was not engaging in "pay-to-play" politics, even though one agent in 2011 told Keith Jackson, a former **San Francisco** school board member and

consultant doing fundraising work for Yee, that he was not interested in donating more money to Yee if he didn't know what he would get in return.

"Sen. Yee periodically complained to Keith Jackson and others that talk like that is 'pay to play,' and said that he could not do that. He also added that **contributions** could not be linked to any items, bills, or amendments," according to the court documents.

But, in discussing $20,000 in campaign **contributions** in the 2011 mayor's race, where individual donations were capped at $500, Yee allegedly told the undercover FBI agent, "we gotta be careful" and "we've gotta get the money, but, you know, to the extent that you could find a way to do it ... you know, I would appreciate that," according to the affidavit.

If Yee won the mayor's race, he allegedly told the agent, "we control ($)6.8 billion man, s-," referring to the city's annual budget. Those comments, the affidavit states, were made during a meeting at a coffee shop in the Marriott Marquis hotel less than a month before the election.

Yee - referred to at times as "Uncle Leland" - and Jackson continued to deal with another undercover agent, "and never walked away from quid pro quo requests," according to the document.

FBI scenarios

In scenarios crafted by the FBI, Yee allegedly lobbied a manager at the state Department of Public Health in exchange for a $10,000 payment. For $21,000, the FBI said, the senator agreed to arrange meetings with two other senators. And for $6,800, Yee honored the Chinese brotherhood organization that Chow had allegedly turned into a criminal enterprise, Ghee Kung Tong, with an official proclamation.

During a meeting last year with an undercover FBI agent about setting up an international arms deal, "Yee attributed his long career in public office to being careful and cautious," according to the affidavit.

"There is rich irony in the fact that Leland Yee spent the last six weeks of the mayor's campaign accusing Ed Lee of corruption when, in fact, the real stench was coming from Leland Yee," said Nathan Ballard, a Democratic strategist allied with Lee.

Yee, an early front-runner in the mayor's race before Lee went back on his promise not to run, ended up finishing fifth. Lee won.

"Quite clearly," said Ballard, "we dodged a bullet."

Linking Yee's votes to donations

State Sen. Leland Yee repeatedly told an undercover FBI agent he did not engage in "pay to play" politics, but he "never walked away from quid pro quo requests" made by the agent and accepted cash payments, according to an FBI affidavit. A Chronicle review of Yee's voting record in the state Assembly and Senate show several instances where his votes could be seen as counter to the best interests of his constituents, after which he received major donations.

Legislation	What it would have done	Yee's action	Outcome	Donations	Notes
AB146	Introduce competition into the wholesale gasoline market and prohibit oil companies from dictating prices for set areas.	In May 2003, Yee voted against the bill in committee.	It was defeated in committee by a 3-4 vote.	For more than a year before the vote, Yee's only campaign **contribution** from oil interests was $250 from a Shell gas station owner. Starting the month after the vote, Yee received $30,000 in campaign **contributions** over the next six years from oil and gas interests and companies	The Chronicle, in a May 8, 2003, editorial, noted that Bay Area motorists, who pay among the nation's highest gas prices, are obvious targets of "zone pricing" by the major oil refiners.

				that had strongly opposed the bill.	
SB700	Impose state air pollution regulation on farm equipment.	In August 2003, Yee voted against it and proposed looser regulations.	After heavy criticism and some concessions to farms, Yee reversed and voted for the bill, which passed and was signed into law.	Starting the month after Yee's votes, agricultural interests donated almost $29,500 to his campaign committees over the next nine months.	The Chronicle, in an Aug. 22, 2003, editorial, said Yee "was doing the dirty work for farm **lobbyists** and nervous Democratic leaders loath to pass a bill opposed by powerful agricultural interests."
AB881	Regulate the cost of prescription drugs.	In January 2004, Yee voted against the bill in committee.	The bill died in committee on 6-10 vote.	Yee reported nearly $46,000 in campaign donations from oppo- nents of the bill from April 2004 through 2010.	The bill had been supported by the California Nurses Association and the AIDS Healthcare Foundation, which argued the high cost of prescription drugs was preventing lifesaving treatment.
SB1397	Levy a fee on railroads for a new emission- reduction program for rail yards in Southern California.	In August 2004, Yee voted against it.	The bill failed in the Assembly on a 28-38 vote.	Yee got $1,000 from BNSF Railway Co. three days before his vote. He received another $21,400 from BNSF and other railways over the next six years.	Supporters of the failed bill said it was needed to meet a federal clean air deadline.
AB319	Ban the chemical BPA from children's products.	In January 2006, Yee voted against it in committee.	The bill fell one vote short and died in committee.	Yee reported receiving $22,450 from chemical companies and their employees from March 2003 to February 2010, including $1,000 from the Dow Chemical Co. three weeks before the vote.	The bill's sponsor, then-Assemblywoman Wilma Chan, D-Oakland, told Asianweek that Yee had "assured" her she had his vote and that she was "blindsided."
AB514	Prohibit workplace use of the chemical diacetyl, which has been linked to serious respiratory illness.	In September 2007, Yee voted against the bill. In August 2008, he abstained from voting on it.	It died in the Senate.	Yee reported receiving more than $69,000 from chemical companies and others opposed to the bill from 2003 to 2010, including $26,550 after he first voted against it.	The chemical is used in the production of microwave popcorn and other snack foods and has been linked to respiratory illness, including severe lung disease. Some employees have been placed on waiting lists for lung transplants.
AB1554	Regulate health insurance rate increases.	In July 2007, Yee abstained from voting on it in committee.	It died in committee.	Yee reported receiving more than $116,000 from health insurance companies and providers, who were opposed to the bill, from March 2003 to 2010.	Yee also reported receiving a $330 dinner in September 2007 from an opponent of the bill, the Association of California Life & Health Insurance.
AB1945	Prohibit insurance carriers from rescinding a patient's	In August 2008, Yee was the only Bay Area		Yee reported receiving more than $116,000 from	Yee also reported receiving a $330 dinner in September

coverage unless there was fraud.	legislator to vote against the bill.	health insurance companies and providers from March 2003 to 2010, including $10,360 in the three months before the vote.	2007 from an opponent of the bill, the Association of California Life & Health Insurance.

Sources: California secretary of state, California Legislative Information, U.S. Department of Labor, Chronicle research

Leland Yee

For complete coverage: SFChronicle.com/LelandYee

John Cot[© is a **San Francisco** Chronicle staff writer. E-mail: jcote@sfchronicle.com

---- Index References ----

Company: BNSF RAILWAY CO; DOW CHEMICAL CO (THE)

News Subject: (Campaigns & Elections (1CA25); Global Politics (1GL73); Government (1GO80); Legislation (1LE97); Lobby & Pressure Groups (1LO18); Public Affairs (1PU31); World Elections (1WO93))

Region: (Americas (1AM92); California (1CA98); North America (1NO39); U.S. West Region (1WE46); USA (1US73))

Language: EN

Other Indexing: (Mark Leno; David Lee; John Carcione; Nathan Ballard; Yee projected.Instead; Paul DeMeester; Ed Lee; Bob Stern; Wilma Chan; Keith Jackson; Leland Yee)

Keywords: (METRO); (BRIBERY); (LEGISLATORS); (VIOLATIONS)

Edition: 5star-dot

Word Count: 2151

End of Document

Airbnb's Political Buyout

By Chris Roberts
Wednesday, May 4 2016

Comments (2)

When two members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors — irked by the thousands of San Francisco housing units listed on Airbnb in violation of a 14-month-old local law "regulating" short-term rentals, yet still available to book — announced the possibility of holding "home-share" companies to account for their "hosts' " unlawful behavior, Airbnb was ready.

FRED NOLAND

On April 22, a few days before Supervisors Aaron Peskin and David Campos floated the idea of charging Airbnb $1,000 per day per scofflaw listing — but the same day Airbnb learned of the potential problem via a press inquiry — Airbnb started writing checks, to other politicians.

Through a campaign effort called The Committee to Expand the Middle Class, Airbnb doled out $27,500 to nine of the apparatchiks and political insiders seeking seats on the Democratic County Central Committee, which runs the local Democratic Party. Airbnb's choices include one of Mayor Ed Lee's press secretaries, a Google lawyer, and a lobbyist for the Apartment Association.

Then the big checks came out. Airbnb gave $10,000 to a ballot measure sponsored by Supervisor Malia Cohen seeking to beef up police oversight. $20,000 went to a campaign committee called Progress San Francisco, which bought mail ads for the same DCCC candidates mentioned above — and then Airbnb dropped $100,000 each on a public safety bond backed by Lee and Board of Supervisors President London Breed; and on a bond for parks backed by Supervisor Mark Farrell.

Airbnb has been a big political spender before. Last year, the company spent more than $9 million on local elections — but that was directly used to defeat Prop. F, the ballot measure that would have severely restricted the platform. This recent round of political capital makes Airbnb one of the biggest spenders in San Francisco elections — and for the low-turnout June primary election, no less.

In a boilerplate, one-line statement also offered to other media, an Airbnb spokeswoman said the cash shower "is one part of our growing effort to stand with those who fight for the middle class in San Francisco." She did not respond to further inquiries. In a similarly brief email, Mary Jung, chairwoman of the DCCC and one of the recipients of a $5,000 check, said, "We appreciate all of the support we receive, from small donors to San Francisco-based innovators like Airbnb." She also did not respond to other inquiries.

To Peskin, this is all about Airbnb investing in its own future. If he and Campos succeed in passing tougher rules for the company, Airbnb just happened to write checks to supervisors — Breed, Cohen, and Farrell (who was dinged by 48 Hills for possibly phoning Airbnb himself to ask for the check, something that may run afoul of local campaign finance law) — it wants to rely on to sustain a mayoral veto of those rules.

"They are supplicants, and they are doing everything in their power to have a Board of Supervisors that votes their way," Peskin said. "Any way you look at it, this is political bullying."

Tags: Sucka Free City

POPULAR STORIES

Most Popular Stories | Stories You Missed

Paying for Freedom

No Judging the Judges



The Business of Our Discontent

SFPD's Gang Affinity

New Chief, Old Chief

SLIDESHOWS

Attach 4

Petersen, Patricia (ETH)

From: Debbi Lerman <debbilerman@sfhsn.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 6:13 PM
To: Pelham, LeeAnn (ETH)
Cc: Petersen, Patricia (ETH); 'Council of Community Housing Organizations'; Zach Goldfine; 'Alex Kaplan'
Subject: RE: HSN and CCHO comments on ethics ballot measure

To: LeeAnn Pelham
Cc: Patricia Petersen
Peter Cohen, CCHO
Zach Goldfine
Alex Kaplan

Dear LeeAnn:

As you and your staff are working to finalize the draft measure in advance of the next Ethics Commission meeting, I want to reiterate the importance to our sector of having policymakers participate in nonprofit conferences and similar events. The current regulations are well-tailored to allow free participation at widely-attended events where appropriate to the City officer's official duties. This ensures that policymakers can play a leadership role in working with their constituents to develop solutions to issues such as homelessness, healthcare needs, and income inequality. We understand the potential for abuse, and have suggestions that could mitigate those concerns.

First, I think it would be helpful to provide an example as context for our concern. HSN is known for citywide public policy conferences that typically attract several hundred participants, including nonprofits, foundations and City officials – both as attendees, and as speakers or panelists. At one such event, the conference focused on building a healthy human service partnership between nonprofits, the City and foundations. The agenda included a "town hall" with over 20 participants, including nonprofits, clients, foundations, business, labor, academics – and six City officials (three Supervisors, two department heads and a Commissioner). Seven other City leaders also spoke on various panels that day. Although they were on stage for only 30 to 90 minutes, we provided free attendance to all of our speakers. We have also allowed City leaders to attend conferences without paying a fee, when the subject matter was relevant to their areas of expertise and leadership. Please note that our conferences fees have never exceeded $50, which cover the costs of attendance, distributed materials, light morning refreshments, and a reasonable lunch.

We urge you to maintain the current regulation that allows nonprofits to invite policymakers to conferences and symposia, without charging a fee. However, if you feel that some limitations are necessary, we suggest two potential approaches:

- Impose a reasonable dollar cap on the value of the complimentary conference attendance that is low enough to mitigate concerns of improper influence; or
- Allow complimentary attendance, but only to the extent that the public official receives no benefits or special treatment above that which all other conference attendees receive. In situations where the public official is participating as a speaker or panelist, they should receive no benefit or special treatment above that which all other speakers receive.

We appreciate you taking our comments into consideration, and look forward to working together to promote good government reforms.

-- Debbi Lerman

Debbi Lerman
S.F. Human Services Network
3310 Geary Blvd.
San Francisco, CA 94118
(415) 668-0444

From: Pelham, LeeAnn (ETH) [mailto:leeann.pelham@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 12:24 PM
To: Council of Community Housing Organizations <ccho@sfic-409.org>; Debbi Lerman <debbilerman@sfhsn.org>
Cc: Petersen, Patricia (ETH) <patricia.petersen@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: HSN and CCHO comments on ethics ballot measure

Hello Peter and Debbi,

Thanks, yes, we have reviewed and are considering the comments you provided in your May 20th letter as part of the re-draft for the Commission's June 27th meeting. I'm continuing to work on the memo and language with the goal of having something more definitive tomorrow.

As to the proposed lobbyist gift limit, we understand and share your goal of retaining the existing ability of officials to attend public events that are free and open to the general public, so the recommendation will attempt to accomplish that. Also, as to travel limitations, the policy goal of the proposal continues to be banning lobbyists from providing that travel for City officers – and it's our goal that our recommendation for June 27 have as clear and as specific language as possible for how that ban would be accomplished, including the extent to which any funding for travel occurs through earmarked funds to non-profits. Of course, avoiding adverse impacts on the ability of non-profits to raise funds generally would be part of that mix.

I don't have further thoughts for you yet regarding the conference exemption and am continuing to research that.

Happy to talk further by phone tomorrow afternoon if you're available then, or if not, Monday as well.

LeeAnn

From: Council of Community Housing Organizations [mailto:ccho@sfic-409.org]
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Pelham, LeeAnn (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>; Petersen, Patricia (ETH) <patricia.petersen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Debbi Lerman <debbilerman@sfhsn.org>
Subject: HSN and CCHO comments on ethics ballot measure

LeeAnn and Patricia

We want to make sure you are considering the comments and suggestions we submitted in our letter May 20th and provided directly to the commission at the 5/23 hearing. Thank you for your return call yesterday, Pat, letting us know there is not an Interested Persons meeting scheduled prior to the June 27th hearing. We'd like to know what your response is to our letter and what revisions you are considering to make in the next draft of the proposed measure. If need be we can meet or talk by phone. Please let us know.

Thank you,
Peter Cohen

SF Council of Community Housing Organizations
The voice of San Francisco's affordable housing movement
325 Clementina Street, San Francisco 94103
415-882-0901 office
www.sfccho.org

From:	LARRY BUSH
To:	Pelham, LeeAnn (ETH)
Cc:	sharyn saslafsky; Hulda Garfolo; Oliver Luby; Joseph Kelly; Charles Marsteller; Elena Schmid; bob dockendorff; Paul H.Melbostad; Marc Saloman; Robert vanRavenswaay; Bob Planthold; Joe Julian; John Sinclair; Helen Grieco
Subject:	Additional comments on lobbyist proposal
Date:	Wednesday, June 22, 2016 7:54:11 AM

Dear LeeAnn:

A review of the proposed exemptions from CCHO and HSN indicates some language we view as over-broad and lacking specific application.

We agree that there can be some common sense exemptions, but they need to be very narrowly drawn.

In the May 20 letter, there is no definition of who is considered a city "official." Usually that encompasses commissioners, Department heads, as well as elected officials and those who file a Form 700 because they are involved in making decisions. Is the intent to cover only elected officials?

There also is no definition of "nonprofit". We continue to believe that the only nonprofit exemption should be for 501c3 filers, none for 501c4 filers, and none for any entity operating through a fiscal agent that does not publicly disclose its funding sources and expenditures. We also believe the Los Angeles language that limits nonprofits to those who receive federal, state or local funding, provide direct client services, and do not charge the client. It should not apply to "nonprofits" that are essentially clearing houses or operate as a consortium whose purpose is to affect city policies or funding impacting its member/donors.

For specific issues, we cite these:

> * OPPOSE: Exemption for attendance at conferences that are "widely attended" defined as "*an event that is open to individuals from throughout a given industry or profession, or an event that is open to individuals who represent a range of persons interested in a given matter.*" This exemption could cover events ranging from the Apple Annual Convention, the Building Trades annual meeting, Bohemian Grove gatherings, or the Republican or Democratic Party conventions. This is a broad loophole that defeats the reform. Any exemption should specify that the event must be nondiscriminatory based on all categories protected in San Francisco law, that it can not be partisan, can not be an event sponsored by a 501(c)4 nonprofit, can not be an event that will discuss pending or potential legislation or policy matters, and be open to all without regard to an industry afflication or interest in a specific matter. In fact, the term "widely attended" is inaccurate, in our view, given that it can be limited to those from

a specific industry or those interested in a given matter — apparently including a given matter that may be the subject of city funding, policies or legislation.

* OPPOSE: *"Free attendance" may also include attendance at meet-and-greet or hospitality sessions and meals offered in connection with the convention, conference, seminar, or symposium where networking or discussion opportunities may enable the officer or employee to establish working relationships that may inure to the benefit of the City. The term does not include entertainment collateral to the event."* This is broad enough to include a special hospitality room with elected officials hosted by the Soft Drink Industry, a symposium on San Francisco's land use laws and Prop M restrictions hosted by the SF Business Times, and it lacks any definitions of "opportunities that may enagle the officer to establish working relationships that may inure to the benefit of the city." There is similar language regarding gifts of attendance at events sponsored by city departments, such as concerts, sports events and others where department heads, commissioners and elected officials regularly attend in the interest of reviewing the venue. It also provides no limit to the definition of "officer" so that it could include all the Planning Commissioners or senior planners or others who are subject to influence. The use of hospitality sessions are a standard feature of high dollar donors attending a larger conference, providing an opportunity for personal interaction with important officials. It is not only a fundraiser using a city official as the hook, but an opportunity to press a point of view that benefits the donor.

* OPPOSE: *The measure should prohibit only contributions earmarked for impermissible travel gifts, and should not prohibit unrestricted donations to any nonprofit.* This again opens the door to earmarked contributions to an Inaugural Committee, but more importantly, opens the door to contributions to selected nonprofits involved in voter registration and get-out-the-vote efforts. San Francisco has a history of selected efforts by targeted nonprofits, such as the A. Phillip Randolph nonprofit, involved with transporting voters to polling places, tabling in minority districts with absentee ballots, and more. The Secretary of State opined to San Francisco in 1998 that it was not permitted to selectively open early voting in some districts, as was being done for the 49ner stadium ballot measure. The Secretary of State's directive was ignored in San Francisco on the advice of the deputy city attorney, who also was the deputy city attorney for Ethics, on the basis that as a charter city San Francisco did not fall

under the Secretary of State's policies. This is not just a back door to subverting the important reform on lobbyist influence peddling through contributions and bundling, it is a revolving door. Consider for example the filings for Major Developers who contribute $5,000 or more to a nonprofit that agrees to speak favorably for the donor's project at a city meeting such as a commission or Board meeting. This is designed to encourage pay-to-play politics.

In general, we observe that the City Attorney's Guide to Good Government provides important information on gifts involving city officials, and voting on matters when a gift has been received. The section on remote interest discusses nonprofits, catgorizing them based on their budgets and the dollar impact to be anticipated from an official's vote. Any effort in this proposed lobbyist reform must not undermine but support and possibly expand those points. We note that some nonprofits are represented officially by an officer who also happens to be a city officer, and who at the same time is a registered lobbyist and also directs contributions to candidates. This issue needs to be closely examined.

Thank you for considering these issues as we move forward.

Regards —

Larry Bush
Friends of Ethics

Travel Reports Filed by City Officers Showing Lobbyists on Trip or Lobbyists Funding the Trip (for the period May 2007 - May 2016)

Reported Trip Destination	Reported Trip Date	Official(s) Who Filed Report in Connection with Trip	Registered Lobbyists Reported by One or More Officials as Present on the Trip	Lobbyist(s) Reported by One or More Officials as Also Donating $500 or More to Fund the Trip	Registered Clients of a Lobbyist Reported as Contributing to the Funding of the Trip
Beijing and Shanghai, China; Seoul, S. Korea	10/13/2013-10/16/2013	Edwin Lee, London Breed, Norman Yee	Boe Hayward/Richard Peterson	Yes	Uber Tech. Inc./Equity Realty/Airbnb
Chicago, Illinois	05/01/2013-05/05/2013	Norman Yee	Ontario Smith	Yes	SF Chamber of Commerce
Washington, DC	03/12/13-03/16/13	Jane Kim, David Chiu	Ken Cleaveland/Chris Gruwell	No	Pacific Gas and Electric
Seoul, South Korea	10/19/13-10/23/13	Jane Kim	N/A	N/A	Uber Tech. Inc./Equity Realty/Airbnb
Hong Kong, Beijing, Guangzhou, Chuhai, Macau,China	03/29/13-04/17/13	Edwin Lee	N/A	N/A	American Pacific International Capital, Inc., Double Aa Corporation,
Bangalore, India	11/29/13-12/10/13	Edwin Lee	Viva Mogi	Yes	Brown &Cadwell,CH2M Hill, Cisco Systems, Microsoft corp.
Panama City, Panama, Sao Paulo and Rio De Janerio, Brazil	03/12/16 -03/18/16	Edwin Lee	Brandon Hernandez	Yes	PG&E
Haifa, Tel Aviv and Jerusalem, Israel	04/09/16 - 04/14/16	Edwin Lee	Sam Lauter	Yes	N/A
Washington, DC	03/08/09 -03/11/09	Eric Mar	N/A	N/A	SF Chamber of Commerce
Shanghai, China	6/16/2010	Gavin Newsome	N/A	N/A	PG&E
Manila, Philippines	11/24/06 -12/01/06	Gavin Newsome	Stefanie Roumeliotes	No	N/A
Jerusalem, Tel Aviv and Haifa, Israel	05/02/08 - 05/06/08	Gavin Newsome	Sam Lauter	No	N/A
Paris, France and Davos, Switzerland	01/24/09 - 02/01/09	Gavin Newsome	Chris Gurwell	Yes	N/A
Jerusalem, Tel Aviv and Haifa, Israel	03/15/12 - 03/25/12	Scott Wiener	Debbie Mesloh/ Chris wright	No	N/A
Chicago, Illinois	05/01/13 - 05/03/13	Norman Yee	Ontario Smith	Yes	SF Chamber of Commerce

Attach 6

Ethics Commission Committee Meeting

June 5, 2015

Item No. 2 - Presentation Re: Imposing Limitations On Candidate-Controlled Ballot Measure Committees &/Or Legal Defense Funds

[Presentations of Bob Stern and Corey Cook and their subsequent dialogue with members of the Commission.]

[START: 5:22]

Prof. Stern: Well, thank you very much Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. This is a real pleasure. It's such a beautiful day too here in San Francisco, and congratulations on last night's victory. Now that the Clippers are no longer in the layoffs, I am rooting for the Warriors, so congratulations.

As indicated, I've worked with the FPPC for 9 years and went down to L.A. in 1983 to serve as President of the Center for Governmental Studies. The center was a nonprofit research organization that studied campaign financing, initiative process, redistricting, term limits, and came out with a series of reports and recommendations on how to improve campaign financing. And one of our reports, "Money and Politics in the Golden State," we studied San Francisco, we studied Los Angeles, we studied eighteen cities and counties throughout California in terms of their campaign financing. This was in 1989 that we came out with the report. And as far as we know it was the first book that ever looked at city and county campaign financing practices. We actually went to Alturas where the biggest campaign expenditure there was for personalized licenses plates, paying $50. But we recommended major changes in the

law and L.A., after our book came out and after a scandal involving the Mayor, passed a public financing and campaign finance law based on our recommendations in the book. CGS closed 4 years ago, and I've been semi-retired since then, working as a consultant occasionally and appearing as speaker in several events. About twelve years ago CGS came out with a report dealing with candidate controlled ballot measure committees. And we urged the Fair Political Practices Commission to adopt a regulation saying that candidate controlled ballot measure commission have contribution limits applied to it. They adopted the regulation, but [inaudible] our colleague Chuck Bell, here challenged the regulation in court and the court ruled that the FPPC did not have the authority to issue the regulation. The court did not address the constitutionality of the regulation, just that the Commission did not have the authority. As far as I know, no other jurisdiction has adopted a contribution limit on a candidate controlled ballot measure committee, but I think that they should, and I think that you should seriously consider it.

The purpose of contribution limits is to reduce the actual corruption or the appearance of corruption when candidates receive campaign money. In my view there is no difference between a candidate or office holder raising money for his or her own campaign as compared to a candidate office holder raising money for a campaign for a ballot measure if the candidate controls that ballot measure committee. A donor giving to a candidate or an officeholder really doesn't care how the money is used. The donor only cares for the gratitude that the donor gets from the candidate or the office holder. The donor at the very least wants access to that officeholder--an hour of time with the official. At the most the donor wants

a decision in his or her favor; although, few if any donors will link the money to the decision, because of course that's bribery, and most people know not to make the actual link. It is our estimate, and when I was at CGS we would study campaign financing statements in particular in the state, that 90% of campaign money comes from people who want something from government-a decision or time with the official. Of course, there are contributions from friends or relatives who only want to support the candidate. But that's the minority of money. Most of the money comes from people who wants something from government. Campaign money comes from labor unions that may be negotiating contracts with local government. Campaign money comes from developers, they are asking for permits or variances. Campaign money comes from businesses that are seeking tax breaks. Campaign money comes from companies that are bidding for contracts. All of them want the support or at least the ear of the official. If you allow unlimited contributions to candidate controlled ballot measure committees, this is a way to circumvent the contribution limits that you have in affect for candidate controlled committees. A lot of officeholders get involved in ballot measure committees for many reasons. Sometimes to promote or oppose the issue, sometimes to enhance their standing or reputation among their constituents or voters; sometimes as a way to raise more money from the special interest. But the contributor to a candidate controlled ballot measure committee may not even care about the ballot measure, may not even support or oppose it. The contributor only cares about his or her relationship with the official. In some instances the contributor may be from out of town and has no idea what the issue is about but gives the money anyway because the official asks for it. Now

some will argue that it is unfair that a candidate controlled ballot measure should have limits; whereas, non- candidate controlled committees don't have limits. As you know the United States Supreme Court has ruled in the *Berkeley* case in the 80s that ballot measure committees can't be corrupted. It is unfair to the candidate or officeholder. But it is also unfair that candidates and elected officials can raise money from people who merely want to influence the candidate or official as oppose to supporting or opposing the ballot measure. Let's turn briefly to legal defense funds. I'm less concerned about legal defense funds since the FPPC has some extensive rules on how they can be spent. You have to have a pending investigation or a case against you. You can't raise legal defense funds in anticipation of something in the future. Still it is unfair that an officeholder has access to money that you and I don't have. If I were sued for remarks made today before you, I couldn't go to the special interest and ask for financial support--they would laugh at me. But if I were an officeholder, the special interest would be lining up the door to support me and my legal defense fund. So I think the fundraising for legal defense funds should be strictly limited. They should have the same limits as candidates committees. The bottom line, if you want to have meaningful contribution limits for candidates and officeholders, you need to support a limit on candidate controlled ballot measure committees and a limit on contributions to legal defense funds. Any committee the officeholder or candidate holds should have contribution limits. So I'd be happy to answer any questions or respond to any comments you might have on my remarks.

Comm. Renne: Mr. Stern, I do have one question. I just want to get a definition understood. When you talk about a candidate controlled ballot measure committee, does that committee have to be a committee that is focused on a specific ballot measure or are we talking about some general fund that the candidate sets up a committee, let say "I want money so that I can decide which ballot measures I want to make contributions to.

Stern: It could be either way. Any committee the candidate controls should be subject to contribution limits, if you have contribution limits, and you do.

Renne: And when you say candidate controlled is it because it's the candidate who has the ability to direct where the funds go?

Stern: Yes. That's correct.

Comm. Hur: Would you be comfortable with different limits for candidate controlled committees versus legal defense funds versus contributions to a candidate's campaign? Or is it the fact that there are no limits to controlled committees?

Stern: I would prefer that they be the same limits because you've already indicated what the limits . . . somebody has indicated what the limits should be for a candidate controlled committee. So I would make the same limit apply to everything. But if it meant that there'd be no ordinance or no law, sure I would go for a higher limit, if that's the other alternative. But it seems to me that once you decide what the limit is going to be for a candidate controlled committee, you should say "well that's the limit for any committee that the candidate controlled, whether it be a ballot measure committee or a legal defense fund." Now I know at

the state level there are no limits for the legal defense funds—so they have to report them, and it is strictly regulated. If I were writing . . . this is not what I wrote [inaudible]. . . this is after I left . . this is several years later that they imposed this, but I would impose limits at the state level as well on legal defense funds. Any committee the candidate controls, no matter what it is--for legal defense funds, ballot measure committee, general purpose committee, whatever--any committee should have contribution limits attached to that committee, as long as the candidate controls it.

Hur: Do you think that a limit on legal defense funds will deter in any way good folks from running for office?

Stern: No.

Hur: And if they know that they are faced with some frivolous lawsuit that they can't raise money to defend while they are taking a salary, you know that maybe is a lot less than otherwise what they could get in private sector to perform public service, isn't that potentially a problem?

Stern: I would assume most people don't think they are going to have a legal problem when they run for office; but secondly, if that person loses, that person is not going to be able to raise money from other than from friends or relatives. It's only those who win who are able to raise the money, and it's from the special interest. So I wouldn't . . I don't think so. Now the one thing in terms of the candidate controlled ballot measure committee is my guess would be, and this is a guess, that if you impose contribution limits on candidate controlled ballot measure committees, the candidates won't control the ballot measure committees. There won't be any, so it

won't be a major problem. But the symbol of it almost where a candidate should not be raising money for committees they control beyond the contribution limits, in my view.

Brett Andrews: Mr. Stern, do you have an outstanding egregious example of this . . . of someone who possibly participated in this kind of activity and what was the outcome? Did something . . . an example stick out to you on either one of them, the campaign . . . the candidate controlled committee or the legal defense?

Stern: Yeah, I'll let Mr. Cook talk about San Francisco, cause I'm not that familiar. But Mayor Villaraigosa in Los Angeles raised several hundred thousand dollars from people for a education ballot measure; and he would not have been allowed to do that if it were his own committee and the limits were a thousand dollars in Los Angeles. And he was raising $25,000, $50,000, $75,000 from the same people that would have given money to his campaign. Governor Schwarzenegger in 2005 was raising substantial amounts of money for his ballot measures and well above the limits from the special interests. Again, I think in many cases, not in all cases, but in many cases these contributors would not be giving to the ballot measure except for the fact that the office holder was asking for that money, and that's the problem that I see.

Renne: How is it any different than if the candidate told these donors "I want you to contribute to this campaign" and they go ahead and do it, isn't he getting the same benefit?

Stern: That's a really good question and you are absolutely right. It's almost getting the same benefit. The difference is that when they give to the

ballot measure committee, the candidate: (1) doesn't control the expenditures of that committee; and, (2) the candidate doesn't get the benefit of perhaps other expenses being made by that committee for lawyers and things that might in a sense help the candidate as well. But you're right. I mean, its . . . a candidate asks you to give to another committee that they don't control, you assume they feel obligated to do that. But I do see there's a difference between a committee that is controlled by the candidate and a committee that is not controlled by the candidate. And so I think it's a step in the right direction. If I had my druthers I would say the candidate shouldn't be asking for money from the special interest at all. But I'm not going that far.

Renne: How common is it in California, for example, at the local level like San Francisco, L.A., any other, where these separate committees are set up, candidate controlled committees, for ballot measures. My information was that we only have one in San Francisco and that's the Mayor who has it. But how common is it that these are done?

Stern: I don't think it's terribly common, but the Mayor of Los Angeles as well. So it looks like mayors and governors like to do this sort of thing, and I think that if there are no restrictions placed on candidate controlled committees, you are going to see more and more of it happening. And that's why I think you should step in and say "no, you don't think this should happen in San Francisco."

Renne: Now you said that when you tried to do it at the FPPC level, you were told you can't do it. Why do we have the power that the FPPC did not have?

Sterns: Well, you don't have the power to do it by regulation, I don't think. You do have the power, I think, to put it on the ballot and have the voters approve it and put it in the Charter or an ordinance. And that would be a different situation. And I think that would be okay. But to do it by . . . the FPPC just didn't . . . the courts didn't have the power to do it by regulation because the statute didn't give them . . . didn't talk about ballot measures. But if the statute does . . . the statute . . . it's not your power, it's part of the statute or ordinance or the charter amendment.

Renne: Any other questions from any of the other Commissioners? Ah . . . I don't want . . I want . . . we've got some time limits partly due to the room accommodations here in City Hall, but I will try to have public comment limited just to the testimony of Mr. Stern so that you can respond to it, so that . . . but it should be limited just to questions or comments addressed to the speaker. Do we have any public comments on that, on Mr. Stern's comments?

Hur: If I might just say, Chair Renne, Mr. Stern, thank you for your time . . . your name is often invoked here, and it was actually a pleasure to finally here you speak.

[PUBLIC COMMENTS FROM 21:37 TO 29:14]

[CONTINUE: 29:16]

Renne: I think you've exceeded your time. Mr. Stern, do you want to respond to argument that there's some constitutional problems raised by our putting a limit on a candidate controlled committee.

Stern: Clearly there's a constitutional question, there's no question about that. And I can't predict what this Supreme Court would do; but, it seems to me there's a major difference between a committee, a ballot measure committee, as was in the *Berkeley* case, and a committee controlled by a candidate. And it seems to me the Court would say there is no difference between a committee controlled by a candidate that is making expenditures for ballot measures as opposed to a committee controlled by a candidate making expenditures for the candidates we have. But, as I say, you can't predict the Supreme Court. I think it's . . . I think the Court would uphold it but there is a constitutional question.

Renne: Any other public comment at this point? Well thank you very much Mr. Stern. We appreciate, as Commissioner Hur said, that we appreciate your testimony, and we may be calling on you on other matters if we can.

Stern: Thank you again, thank you very much for inviting me to come up here. I really appreciate it. Please feel free to call on me at any time; I'm semiretired, I'm happy to answer any calls from your staff or from you, and feel free to give me a call. Thank you so much for allowing me to come up here.

Renne: Thank you. Professor Cook?

Prof. Cook: Thank you Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Thank you for the opportunity to be here. It's an honor to be here with Bob Stern who's work I've admired for quite a long time. I began studying campaign finance issues in California in 1994 when I did study research from the state legislature in my first job out of college on campaign finance issues. I've done research on public financing systems when I was in graduate

school, and I've studied political reform in California and in San Francisco in particular since becoming a political scientist at San Francisco State and out at USF. I cannot offer you a legal perspective, but more a political science one. So I can tell you sort of a little bit more about what the data say and also about sort of what political scientists will tell you happens with ballot measure campaigns. Beginning with *Berkeley*, as you know, the courts put the prevention of corruption and the appearance of corruption at the center of its campaign finance rulings, in an effort to regulate campaign finance. In the recent years the court has interpreted corruption according to our pretty narrow definition, focusing on bribery and political quid pro quos, which I would argue is an insufficiently broad way of understanding corruption. But nevertheless the focus has been on distinguishing between direct and indirect speech; and therefore, regulating contributions to candidate elected officials has been our primary focus in campaign finance. The logic of San Francisco's contribution limit set at $500 is to deal directly with its concern about corruption of the elected official or the candidate, or the appearance thereof. So in my view, in essence, allowing for unlimited and unregulated funds that flow through candidates and office holders to use on ballot campaigns is a clear circumvention of these limits and a clear distortion of the purpose of campaign finance law, as are behested payments, by the way, but I realize that's a subject for another day and I appreciate the extent to which San Francisco actually does have some transparency around behested payments that other jurisdictions do not. At least those are transparent. To be clear I'm not accusing any individual candidates of wrongdoing or suggesting that any candidate who has created a candidate controlled committee has participating in the quid pro quo. But

the appearance is certainly striking. And I would argue just as importantly is the opportunity for voters to increase their cynicism and disengagement from political processes as a result of these systems of financing. Candidate controlled committees are fairly widespread now at the state level. In 2009 a report by the FPPC estimated that that the state level, legislative and state-wide candidates, raised over $150 million for ballot measures in the first 8 years since Prop. 34 was in place. So that report was in 2009. The Commissioner asked for examples. Governor Schwarzenegger had Citizens to Save California--largest single contribution to that committee was $1.5 million. His expenditures have also gained some public attention. Reportedly, he spent $523,000 on executive jet services out of that committee which gave rise to the FPPC and the Court cases that Bob mentioned. The FPPC does at the state level at least regulate contributions to ballot measure committees controlled by candidates to the qualification, passage and defeat of a ballot measure, which is something that I understand San Francisco does not yet do. Still Schwarzenegger went the ballot repeatedly with some success and some very notable failures The current Governor has taken this to new heights. He raised $17 million for Props. 1 and 2 in his most recent go-around, and $42 million for Prop. 30. The largest donation of which is $1.5 million. One of my concerns is for voters is exceptionally difficult to discern or to keep track of these various contributions. For example, there'd be a $1.5 million contribution was from Californians Working Together to Restore and Protect Public Schools, Universities and Public Safety. And that donation was to Yes on Prop. 30 to protect our schools and public safety, a broad coalition of teachers, businesses, labor law enforcement and the Governor. So, it was a $1.5 million contribution

to a Jerry Brown controlled committee but for a voter you would have no idea where the money came from or really where it was going to. At the local level I've looked at 6 candidate controlled committees. And I think you have that spreadsheet in front of you. There is some variation between them. The smallest one was a Prop. E committee that raised $38,000 from 5 donors; the largest of which gave $15,000; the largest of which it was the Prop. D, Prop. B in 2010, that raised $1.1 million, the largest single donor was $172,000. So there's a lot of variation. Most of these were for single measure ballot campaigns; although there is the one that is currently in the Mayor's name, is a multi-measure committee. The thing that these things have in common is the top donors give a lot of money, as was stated earlier, the largest to the Mayor was $64,000, there was a $250,000 to Prop. D in 2011. Most of the donors give more than $500. So the majority would exceed the current contribution limits. The majority of donors also give to the candidates directly as well as to these committees. Most of the time these donors could have also contributed directly to the ballot committees that were not controlled by the candidate, and in many cases do give both to the candidate controlled committee and non-candidate controlled committee, which gets to Bob's point about what it is they might be after in giving to both. And in most of these cases the candidate took the money and then distributed the money to a variety of sources oftentimes to these other committees. So again from the public's view it's very difficult to track where the money went and to whom and for what purpose. But it is certainly in my view it gives rise to the potential for the appearance of corruption and the possibility, if not the reality of quid pro quos. There's a substantial political science literature on ballot propositions and the emerging phenomenon particularly since

the 1980s that began in California of elected officials and candidates turning to ballot campaigns to run sort of quasi campaigns on behalf of issues. The research shows that these campaigns have profound spillover effects, they set the agenda and prime voters. What I mean is that these ballot measures raise the salient issues that are on the ballot, so candidates around the country have figured out that if you can qualify ballot measures, that voters will actually primed on those issues and will have measureable effects in terms of how they view candidates, even when those candidates had nothing to do with those issues at stake. So you raise those issues around same-sex marriage, immigration, affirmative action, which are sort of the big ones we've seen around the country; and then it turns out that voters say those issues are important in their evaluation of "pick a candidate" race, even if that candidate had nothing to do with those issues. So a lot of the strategy around these things is to actually build the salience of these issues so it will affect other candidates on the ballot. We also know that voters will . . . these things will raise the awareness of candidates so candidates can bring ballot measures forward, take positions on these issues, and then their own public awareness will rise so that when they are on the ballot later, the public will see them in a different light. It's a way of sort of making a campaign expense about your future candidacy without actually having to do so. So my view as a good public policy decision to subject candidate control ballot measure committees to the City limits for candidate contributions. I think the court's concern is about the free speech rights of donors. I agree with Bob that in contributing to a candidate controlled committee that they are not speaking directly, they are speaking indirectly to the candidate; and as such, to me those don't raise those freedom of

speech concerns. I think there's always an opportunity to create independent ballot committees. They are independent from candidates that donors can contribute to address the concerns that were raised in the *Berkeley* decision that others . . . that candidate committees have been seen as, at least by the court, as not subject to this concern about corruption, at least the appearance of corruption, but those committees can exist independent of candidates, so that once you've actually allowed candidates to create committees, then they should be subject to the same contribution limits as if the candidate were running their own campaign. Thank you.

Renne: Questions from any of the Commissioners?

Hur: Dr. Cook, thanks for your testimony. If it turns out that a limit on candidate controlled ballot committees is unconstitutional, for the sake of argument, what else can be done to mitigate some of the concerns you found in your research.

Cook: Well I think what you are left with then is various forms of disclosure, right? So, if you cannot limit the contributions, then all you can do is make them is one is ensure they are actually being spent on, regulate where the money is being spent, that it's being spent to qualify or advocate for a particular ballot measure. And so then candidates can't sort of affect . . . these had been used in some jurisdictions as an effect another whole committee that can be coordinated with a candidate, right? And so at the very least, you can ensure that the money that is being spent on them is limited to being advocacy on those ballot measures. But I think also there's more you can certainly do around disclosure, because for me you

are going through, as probably all of you have done, playing with the state data on campaign finance, which is an adventure in and of itself, it's very difficult to discern where the money goes. And I think San Francisco has done a pretty good job particularly recently of trying to make data more accessible to voters so they can understand it and can track it. But it's kind of a maze for voters. And so at the least you need to be doing more around disclosure, but I think you also can regulate on the money side. If these are going to be allowable, then at the very least they have to be spent on what they are said to be spent for, and not being spent on sort of more generic things that might raise the profile of candidates or be used to pay other expenditures for campaign consultants that happen to work for both the candidate and the committee and things like that.

Hur: Are you aware of any jurisdiction that you've studied or know about that you think does this well?

Cook: Which part?

Hur: The disclosure . . .

Cook: No . . .

Hur: . . . and the regulating of where the money goes?

Cook: No. But I think you know in general I've been really generally pretty pleased with how San Francisco manages its public data and tries to do disclosure on campaign results and campaign data and things like that. It has gotten substantially better here in the last few years. I've been trying

to dig through older campaigns, as probably all of you are aware, trying to dig through older disclosure reports is kind of . . . is pretty mind numbing. So it's gotten a lot better here. But know, I think frankly most jurisdictions have not prioritized making those data available to the public in a clear and timely fashion. And the state is perhaps the worst offender.

Beverly Hayon: Well, call me crazy, but would it just be out and out unconstitutional to forbid candidate controlled ballot measures . . . ballot measure committees? Is that just a freedom of speech issue?

Cook: That's a great question. So I think we want candidates to be able to give their position on issues. And I actually think limiting the contributions that they can accept would not limit their freedom of speech to advocate on behalf of ballot measures. I do think you have a problem if you say candidates cannot . . . I guess if you were to do that you could argue that a candidate can accept contributions into their own committees to advocate on behalf of ballot measures. I'm actually . . . I don't think it would be wise. I don't actually know what the argument would be. Again, the contribution limits in San Francisco I think are sufficiently high--the candidates can run well-financed effective campaigns--and yet sufficiently low that they do avoid the appearance of corruption. So to me, we're sort of in the sweet spot of having them be high enough but you are not limiting the ability of candidates to run compelling campaigns, and yet not so high as to be utterly meaningless. You know to me I think you would run into First Amendment concerns if you said . . . or what you would do if you have had candidates who are raising money for a ballot . . . you know these general purpose candidate committees that I don't think you want. I think you are actually better off having separable committees if they are

going to advocate for ballot measures. I just think that money should be regulated.

Andrews: This may be hard to. . . Dr. Cook. . . to answer. Out of all or the majority of candidate controlled ballot measure committees that ultimately achieved the desired outcome for that candidate, what percent do you think actually would be in violation if we moved forward with these restrictions, whatever that campaign dollar amount would be?

Cook: Well I think . . . I ran the numbers for San Francisco, so that's actually fairly easy. For example, if you were to look at the committee for Prop. A that was, as I understand it, that the Peskin controlled committee in 2007, 148 of the 224 contributions were over $500. Mayor Lee's committee, 52 out of 53 contributions were over $500. Other committees, like the Prop. D committee, you know 78 out of the 188 donors were over $500. So, again it varies, but for the most part, these committees are not within contribution limits and that's true at the state level as well as at the local level.

Renne: Any other questions by the staff? Any public comments specifically directed to Professor Cook. And thank you very much Professor Cook and again, as I said we look forward to working with you, not only on this but on future occasions.

[STOP:45:09]

[PUBLIC COMMENTS START 45:11]