

ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PETER KEANE CHAIRPERSON **Date:** January 16, 2018

To:

From:

Re:

San Francisco Ethics Commission

Daina Chiu Vice-Chairperson

Kyle Kundert, Senior Policy Analyst

PAUL A. RENNE
COMMISSIONER

AGENDA ITEM 4 - Staff Memorandum regarding the status of the Whistleblower Protection Ordinance and possible Commission action.

QUENTIN L. KOPP COMMISSIONER

Summary This Memorandum provides a status update on the Whistleblower

Protection Ordinance and requests further action by the Commission to

advance its likelihood of enactment into law.

YVONNE LEE COMMISSIONER

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the Whistleblower

Protection Ordinance provided at Attachment 2.

LEEANN PELHAM
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Background

Action Requested

Following its review and analysis of the substantive recommendations contained in the 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury report ("Jury Report"), the Ethics Commission proposed changes to strengthen and clarify the City's Whistleblower Protection Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code § 4.100 *et seq.*, or "WPO"). The Commission adopted proposed amendments to the WPO at its meeting on March 28, 2016, and transmitted them to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) on April 11, 2016, for enactment. Throughout this Memorandum, Staff refers to the Commission's original proposal as the 2016 WPO and provides it as Attachment 1 to this Memorandum. BOS President London Breed introduced the WPOs sponsor of the legislation on June 14, 2016 (File No.160689).

Almost immediately after introducing the WPO as approved by the Commission, Supervisor Breed requested that Staff engage the Controller's Office, Department of Human Resources, and the City's bargain units to determine whether any of those departments had comments regarding the Commission's proposed changes. Over the next several months and into early 2017, Staff engaged the Controller's Office, the Department of Human Resources, and interested bargaining units as requested by Supervisor Breed. Responding to constructive comments from those entities, Staff developed revised WPO language to reflect those changes, which are discussed in detail below. DHR is now working with Staff and the bargaining units to schedule the final meet and confer meeting regarding the latest version of the WPO, which Staff will refer to as the 2017 WPO herein. The 2017 WPO is provided as Attachment 2 to this Memorandum.

Due to time needed for Staff's ongoing discussions with its partner agencies, Supervisor Breed listed the 2016 WPO as inactive on October 2, 2017, pursuant to BOS Rule 3.41. As sponsor of

25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 • San Francisco, CA 94102-6053 • Phone (415) 252-3100 • Fax (415) 252-3112 E-Mail Address: ethics.commission@sfgov.org Web site: https://www.sfethics.org

the legislation, President Breed has the ability to re-introduce either the 2016 WPO or the revised 2017 WPO at any time.

Staff summarizes and compares the two versions of the WPO below and provides a redlined/track changed version of the 2017 WPO as Attachment 3, so the Commission may review the proposed changes in detail.

The 2016 Version

As adopted by the Commission in March of 2016, the proposed amendments to the Whistleblower Protection Ordinance would have:

- 1. Expanded whistleblower retaliation protections to include contractors operating within the scope of a contract with the City and County for activities covered by the WPO.
- 2. Strengthened the law by clarifying and expanding the types of "improper governmental activities" complaints that are subject to protection against retaliation to include alleged" gross waste, fraud and abuse of City resources."
- 3. Strengthened accountability for investigations into alleged whistleblower retaliation by adding a new requirement that departments report to the Ethics Commission the results of a departmental investigation and actions taken in response to any Ethics Commission referral.
- 4. Clarified where the "preponderance of the evidence" standard applies in establishing that retaliation occurred: only in a civil action or an administrative proceeding before the Ethics Commission, not in the process of investigating the complaint.
- 5. Expanded remedies for retaliatory employment actions by authorizing the Ethics Commission to issue an Order following an administrative hearing in which a violation was found that calls for the cancellation of a retaliatory action.
- 6. Created new sanctions for the knowing disclosure of a Whistleblower's identity to reinforce confidentiality provisions.
- 7. Expanded whistleblower retaliation protections to include covered complaints filed with a supervisory employee at any city, county, state or federal agency, not just those filed with their own department.

The 2017 Version

After engaging the Controller's Office, Department of Human Resources, and interested bargaining units, the 2017 version of the WPO that reflects feedback from these discussions also would accomplish 1-6 above. The 2017 WPO proposes a change to narrow the agencies with whom whistleblower complainants could file complaints to exclude state and federal agencies.

In discussions following the introduction of the 2016 WPO, the Controller's Office and Department of Human Resources argued that encouraging complainants to file complaints alleging City waste outside the City would be nearly impossible to track and investigate. For the offices charged with investigating waste within the City, having firsthand information from the witness is paramount to a successful investigation. Moreover, most of the state or federal agencies with whom whistleblowers may file complaints alleging City waste would provide separate protection from retaliation under the applicable state and federal law. Finally, state and federal agencies are often prohibited from sharing information about an investigation with City officials. Therefore, complaints alleging retaliation would be difficult to investigate due to the lack of complete information. Based on its own additional investigative

experience and further assessment, Staff found this proposed change to be constructive and supports the revised version reflecting this change.

Notwithstanding this revision of one provision in the 2016 WPO, the 2017 WPO also would add the following, which that Staff believes would further clarify and strengthen the WPO's effectiveness in practice:

- 1. Expands the scope of protection for retaliation to include protection for employees who attempted to file a complaint in good faith with the required procedures set forth in the WPO, but did not file the complaint with the appropriate City department or official.
- 2. Requires supervisors to obtain training from the Controller, Ethics Commission, and DHR regarding their obligations under the WPO.
- 3. Expands investigatory authority for the Whistleblower Program to handle complaints alleging "improper government activity," to broadly include alleged violations of "any federal, state, or local law, regulation, or rule."
- 4. Clarifies that the WPO delineates responsibilities for two different functions of City government: the investigation of whistleblower complaints by the Controller's Whistleblower Program and separately the investigation of complaints alleging retaliation for engaging in protected activity by the Ethics Commission;
- 5. Incorporates the definition of "supervisor" from state law to eliminate any confusion among employees regarding who is obligated to assist employees with the filing of complaints alleging retaliation.
- 6. Obligates supervisors to complete a form proscribed by the Commission to assist them with the referral of complainants and complaints to the appropriate intake agency.
- 7. Permits the Commission to recommend—rather than cancel—retaliatory employment actions to ensure the Commission's official actions comply with existing City and state law as well as effective Memoranda of Understanding with the City's bargaining units.
- 8. Eliminates the Commission's authority to increase by regulation the civil penalties available to the City Attorney's Office to protect the Commission from legal liability for exercising authority beyond our statutory mandate.
- 9. Requires that any City officer or employee who retaliates against a City contractor for engaging in protected activity be disciplined.
- 10. Requires City officers and employees to treat as confidential the identity of any person who files a complaint under the WPO, as well as the investigative findings of an investigation into the whistleblower complaint.

DHR's Labor Relations Division and the City's Attorney's Office have concluded that the WPO is subject to meet and confer for two reasons. First, the proposed provision that would require supervisors in the City receive training on their obligations under the WPO affects their obligations under City law. Second, the proposed provision that would subject supervisors to administrative penalties for failing to refer to complaints alleging retaliation to the Ethics Commission affects their rights under City law.

Recommendation

In its 2015 report, San Francisco's Whistleblower Protection Ordinance is in Need of Change, the Civil Grand Jury recommended (R.1.3) that if the Ethics Commission proposed amendments to the WPO and the Board failed to act within a reasonable time, then the Commission consider submitting such an

amendment directly to the voters pursuant to its authority under Charter Section 15.102. Staff has confirmed with the City Attorney's Office that the Commission has authority to submit certain amendments to the WPO involving governmental ethics laws to the voters; however, several of the substantive changes proposed by the Commission would fall outside the general governmental ethics framework. Therefore, Staff would need to analyze which provisions would qualify for Commission action and which would need to be removed before the Commission could place the WPO on the ballot. In addition, Staff has confirmed with the City Attorney's Office that an ordinance enacted by ballot measure is subject to meet and confer requirements, which must be satisfied *before* the Commission votes to place the WPO on the ballot.

To initiate the good faith negotiations with the City's bargaining units and move the most effective WPO possible toward enactment into law, Staff recommends that the Commission act to approve the 2017 version of the WPO, which is provided as Attachment 2. The 2017 WPO reflects changes requested by the Controller's Office, Department of Human Resources, and the City's interested bargaining units, and Staff agrees those changes would strengthen the overall effectiveness of the WPO in practice.

1		ATTACHMENT 1
2		Proposed Whistleblower Ordinance Amendments
3		Adopted by the Ethics Commission at its Regular Meeting on March 28, 2016
4		
5 6 7		SAN FRANCISCO WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ORDINANCE CHAPTER 1:
8	REP	ORTING IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY; PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS
	Sec. 4.100.	Findings.
	Sec. 4.105.	Complaints of Improper Government Activity; Investigation Procedures; Referral to Other Agencies.
	Sec. 4.107.	Complaints by Citizens and Employees; Whistleblower Program.
	Sec. 4.110.	Definitions.
	Sec. 4.115.	Protection of Whistleblowers.
	Sec. 4.120.	Confidentiality.
	Sec. 4.123.	Confidentiality Protection for Whistleblower Program Complainants and Investigations.
	Sec. 4.125.	Furnishing False or Misleading Information; Duty to Cooperate.
	Sec. 4.130.	Reports to the Board of Supervisors.
	Sec. 4.135.	Limitation of Liability.
10	300. 4.133.	Elimitation of Elability.
11	SEC. 4.100. F	INDINGS.
12		d County of San Francisco has a paramount interest in protecting the integrity of its
13	•	nstitutions. To further this interest, individuals should be encouraged to report to the City's
14	Ethics Commi	ission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney and the complainant's department
15	possible viola	tions of laws, regulations and rules governing the conduct of City officers and employees.
16	This Chapte	r protects all City officers, and employees, and contractors operating within the scope of a
17	contract with	the City and County of San Francisco, from retaliation (1) for filing a complaint with, or
18	providing info	ormation to, the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney, or (2) for
19	filing a compl	aint with any supervisory employee at the complainant's department or at another City,
20	County, state	or federal agency.
21	This Chapte	r ensures that complaints that do not allege a violation of law over which the Ethics
22	Commission	or Controller has jurisdiction are directed to the appropriate agency for investigation and
23	possible disci	plinary or enforcement action.
24	Finally, this	Chapter implements Charter Appendix Section F1.107. Section F1.107 directs the
25	Controller, as	City Services Auditor, to administer a whistleblower program and investigate reports of
26	complaints co	oncerning the misuse of City funds, improper activities by City officers and employees,
27	deficiencies i	n the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City
28	government p	
29	· ·	d. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 29-02, File No. 020017, App.
30	3/15/2002; O	rd. 205-08, File No. 080019, 9/18/2008)

SEC. 4.105. COMPLAINTS OF IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY; INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES; REFERRAL TO OTHER AGENCIES.

(a) COMPLAINTS. Any person may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney or City Attorney, or with any supervisory employee at the complainant's department or at another City, County, state or federal agency, alleging that a City officer, employee or contractor operating pursuant to a contract with the City and County of San Francisco has engaged in improper government activity. alleging that a City officer or employee has engaged in improper government activity by Improper government activity means violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws, regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing gross waste, fraud and abuse of City resources; creating a specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest.

(b) ETHICS COMMISSION COMPLAINT PROCEDURES. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints filed under this Section that allege violations of local campaign finance lobbying, conflicts of interest and governmental ethics laws pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. Nothing in this subsection shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer or employee or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer or employee report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

3/15/2002)

(c) REFERRAL. The Ethics Commission shall refer complaints that do not allege a violation of law, regulation or rule that is within the Ethics Commission's jurisdiction to the appropriate agency for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Commission may conduct preliminary investigations into such complaints to determine whether the complaint contains sufficient information to warrant referral. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer or employee report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 29-02, File No. 020017, App.

SEC. 4.107. COMPLAINTS BY CITIZENS AND EMPLOYEES; WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM.

(a) WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM. The Controller shall administer and publicize a whistleblower and citizen complaint program for citizens and employees to report the misuse of City funds, improper activities by City officers and employees, deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City government practices. Subject to subsection (b), the Controller shall investigate and otherwise attempt to resolve complaints reported to the Whistleblower Program. The Controller shall administer a hotline telephone number and website and publicize the hotline and website through press releases, public advertising and communications to City employees.

- (b) REFERRAL OF CERTAIN COMPLAINTS. The Controller shall refer the following complaints as set forth in this Section:
- (i) Those which another City agency is required by federal, state, or local law to adjudicate: To that agency;
- (ii) Those which may be resolved through a grievance mechanism established by collective bargaining agreement or contract: To the official or agency designated in the agreement or contract;
- (iii) Those which involve allegations of conduct which may constitute a violation of criminal law: To the District Attorney or other appropriate law enforcement agency;
- (iv) Those which are subject to an existing, ongoing investigation by the District Attorney, City Attorney, or Ethics Commission, where the applicable official or Commission states in writing that investigation by the Controller would substantially impede or delay his, her or its own investigation of the matter: To the investigating office; and
- (v) Those which allege conduct that may constitute a violation of <u>local campaign finance</u>, <u>lobbying</u>, <u>conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws</u>, <u>regulations or rules</u>: to the Ethics Commission and the City Attorney.

Where the conduct that is the subject of the complaint may violate criminal law and any civil or administrative law, statute, ordinance or regulation, the Controller may take action on the noncriminal aspects of the matter under this Section even if a referral has been made to another agency under this Section.

If a complaint is referred under this Section, the Controller shall inform the complainant of the appropriate procedure for the resolution of the complaint.

(c) TRACKING AND INVESTIGATION. The Controller shall receive, track and investigate complaints made or referred to the Whistleblower Program. The investigation may include all steps that the Controller deems appropriate, including the review of the complaint and any documentary or other evidence provided with it, the gathering of any other relevant documents from any City department or other source, and interviews of the complainant and other persons with relevant information.

(d) INFORMATION PROVIDED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. In those instances in which the Controller deems it appropriate, the Controller may require that persons making complaints or providing information swear to the truth of their statements by taking an oath administered by the Controller, or an agent of the Controller, or through written declarations made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

(e) REFERRAL AND RECOMMENDATION BY CONTROLLER. The Controller may refer the complaint to a City department for investigation, either before conducting an initial investigation or after doing so, and may recommend that a City department take specific action based on the Controller's initial investigation. Within 60 days of receiving a complaint for investigation or a recommendation by the Controller for specific action, or such other time as the Controller shall specify, the City department shall report to the Controller in writing the results of the department's investigation and any action that the

department has taken in response to a recommendation by the Controller that the department take specific action.

(f) REPORT BY DEPARTMENT AND FURTHER ACTION BY CONTROLLER. If the Controller has recommended that a City department take disciplinary or other corrective action that the department has declined to take, the department shall report to the Controller its reasons for failing to do so within the timeframe that the Controller specifies for reporting on its investigation of the complaint. If the Controller determines that the department's reasons are inadequate and that further investigation may be appropriate, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney or District Attorney or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter.

(g) RESPONSIBILITY OF DEPARTMENTS. The department head shall be responsible for compliance by his or her department with these duties. If department staff fail to comply with the duties to investigate complaints referred by the Controller and to make the reports required by this Section, the Controller shall notify the department head. If the department head fails to take action to obtain the department's compliance with these duties, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney or District Attorney or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter. (Added by Ord. 205-08, File No. 080019, 9/18/2008)

SEC. 4.110. DEFINITIONS.

- For purposes of this Chapter, the following words and phrases shall have the following meanings:
- 22 (a) The term "City" means the City and County of San Francisco, its departments, commissions and boards.
 - (b) The term "complainant's department" includes the complainant's supervisor, the executive director or highest ranking officer in the complainant's department, and the board or commission overseeing the complainant's department.
 - (c) The term "preliminary investigation" shall be limited to, but need not include: review of the complaint and any documentary evidence provided with the complaint; interview of the complainant; interview of the respondent, counsel to respondent and any witnesses who voluntarily agree to be interviewed for this purpose; review of any relevant public documents and documents provided voluntarily to the Commission.
- 32 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 29-02, File No. 020017, App. 3/15/2002)

SEC. 4.115. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS.

(a) RETALIATION PROHIBITED. No City officer or employee may terminate, demote, suspend or take other similar adverse employment action against any City officer, or employee, or contractor operating within the scope of a contract with the City and County of San Francisco because the officer, or employee, or contractor has in good faith (i) filed a complaint with the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney or City Attorney, or a written complaint with any supervisory employee at the

complainant's department <u>or at another City, County, state or federal agency, alleging that a City officer or employee engaged in improper government activity by <u>or contractor operating pursuant to a contract with the City and County of San Francisco, by: violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws, regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing gross waste, fraud or abuse of City resources; creating a specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest, (ii) filed a complaint with the Controller's Whistleblower Program, or (iii) provided any information or otherwise cooperated with any investigation conducted under this Chapter.</u></u>

- (b) COMPLAINTS OF RETALIATION FOR HAVING FILED A COMPLAINT ALLEGING IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY.
 - (i) Administrative Complaints. Any city officer or employee, or former city officer or employee, who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of Subsection (a) of this Section may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation.

The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of Subsection (a) of this Section pursuant to the procedures specified in San Francisco Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints alleging violations of Subsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending with or have been finally resolved by another administrative or judicial body. Nothing in this Subsection shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer or employee, or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may refer matters to the Department of Human Resources with a recommendation. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer or employee report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

A. Within [90] days of receiving a referral from the Ethics Commission under this Subsection for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action, or such other time as the Ethics Commission shall specify, the City department shall report to the Ethics Commission in writing the results of the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's referral.

- (ii) **Civil Complaints.** Any City officer or employee who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of Subsection (a) of this Section may bring a civil action against the City officer or employee who committed the violation. Such action must be filed no later than two years after the date of the retaliation.
- (iii) **Burden of Establishing Retaliation.** In order to establish <u>that</u> retaliation <u>occurred</u> under this Section, a complainant <u>in a civil action must demonstrate</u>, <u>or the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding</u> must <u>demonstrate</u> <u>determine</u>, by a preponderance of the evidence that

Proposed Whistleblower Ordinance Amendments

Adopted by the Ethics Commission at its Regular Meeting on March 28, 2016 Page 6

the complainant's engagement in activity protected under Subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse employment action. The employer may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same employment action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 2

3

(c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES.

- (i) Charter Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates Subsection (a) of this Section may be subject to administrative penalties pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13.
- (ii) Discipline by Appointing Authority. Any City officer or employee who violates Subsection (a) of this Section shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal by his or her appointing authority. If no disciplinary action is taken by the appointing authority, the Ethics Commission may refer the matter to the Civil Service Commission for action pursuant to Charter Section A8.341.
- (iv) Civil Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates Subsection (a) of this Section may be personally liable in a civil action authorized under Subsection (b)(ii) of this Section for a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 \$10,000 and increase annually with the rate of inflation.
- (v) Cancellation of Retaliatory Job Action. Following an administrative hearing pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13 and making a finding of a violation of Subsection (a), the Ethics Commission may issue an order calling for the cancellation of a retaliatory employment termination, demotion, suspension or other similar adverse employment action taken against any City officer or employee who exercised his or her right to protection under this Ordinance.
- (d) RESERVATION OF AUTHORITY.
- (i) Civil Service Commission. Nothing in this Section shall interfere with the powers granted to the Civil Service Commission by the San Francisco Charter.
- (ii) Appointing Authority. Nothing in this Section shall interfere with the power of an appointing officer, manager, or supervisor to take action with respect to any City officer or employee, provided that the appointing officer, manager, or supervisor reasonably believes that such action is justified on facts separate and apart from the fact that the officer or employee filed a complaint with, or cooperated with, an Ethics Commission investigation of such complaint; or filed a complaint with or provided information to the Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney; or provided to any supervisory employee at the complainant's department or at another city, County, state or federal agency, a complaint alleging improper government activity by a that a City officer or employee-engaged in improper government activity by or contractor operating pursuant to a contract with the City and County of San Francisco.
- (e) NOTICE OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS. The Controller shall prepare, and each City department shall post a notice of whistleblower protections. The notice shall be posted in a location that is conspicuous and accessible to all employees.
- 36 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 29-02, File No. 020017, App. 37 3/15/2002; Ord. 205-08, File No. 080019, 9/18/2008)

38

39

SEC. 4.120. CONFIDENTIALITY.

(a) WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY. Any individual who files a complaint under Section 4.105 of this Chapter may elect to have his or her identity kept confidential as provided by Charter Section C3.699-13(a). Such election must be made at the time the complaint is filed.

(b) COMPLAINTS AND INVESTIGATIONS. The Ethics Commission shall treat as confidential complaints made under Section 4.105 of this Chapter, and related information, including but not limited to materials gathered and prepared in the course of investigation of such complaints, and deliberations regarding such complaints, as provided by Charter Section C3.699-13(a).

(c) SANCTIONS FOR DISCLOSURE. Excepting circumstances described in Sec. 4.120(d)(i), any City officer or employee who knowingly discloses the identity of any complainant who expressed the desire to remain anonymous to the extent permitted by law may be subject to an administrative enforcement action and administrative penalty authorized in Charter Section C3.699-13 for violating the confidentiality protections of this ordinance or SFC&GC Code Sec. 3.228.

(c) (d) EXCEPTIONS.

- (i) **Conduct of Investigations.** Nothing in this Section shall preclude the Ethics Commission from disclosing the identity of an individual or other information to the extent necessary to conduct its
- investigation.

 (ii) **Referrals.** Nothing in this Section shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer or employee, or to other government agencies
- 23 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000)

for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action.

SEC. 4.123. CONFIDENTIALITY PROTECTION FOR WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM COMPLAINANTS AND INVESTIGATIONS.

- (a) WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY AND INVESTIGATIONS. Every officer and employee of the City shall keep confidential:
- (i) The identity of any person who makes a complaint to the Whistleblower Program under Section 4.107 of this Chapter, and any information that would lead to the disclosure of the person's identity, unless the person who made the complaint provides written authorization for the disclosure.
- (ii) Complaints or reports to the Whistleblower Program and information related to the investigation of the matter, including drafts, notes, preliminary reports, working papers, records of interviews, communications with complainants and witnesses, and any other materials and information gathered or prepared in the course of the investigation.

The protection of confidentiality set forth in this Section applies irrespective of whether the information was provided in writing and whether the information was provided or is maintained in electronic, digital, paper or any other form or medium.

(b) INQUIRY REGARDING IDENTITY PROHIBITED. In order to assure effective implementation of the provisions of this Section providing confidentiality to whistleblowers, City officers and employees may not use any City resources, including work time, to ascertain or attempt to ascertain directly or indirectly the identity of any person who has made a complaint to the Whistleblower Program, unless such person has provided written authorization for the disclosure. Nothing in this Section shall preclude an officer or employee assigned to investigate a complaint under this Chapter from ascertaining the identity of a complainant to the extent necessary to conduct the investigation.

(c) EXCEPTIONS. Nothing in this Section shall preclude the Controller from (i) disclosing the identity of a person or other information to the extent necessary to conduct a civil or criminal investigation or to take any enforcement action, including any action to discipline an employee or take remedial action against a contractor, or (ii) releasing information as part of a referral when referring any matter to another City department, commission, board, officer or employee, or to other governmental agencies, for investigation and possible disciplinary, enforcement or remedial action, or (iii) releasing information to the Citizens Audit Review Board so that it may carry out its duty to provide advisory input to the Controller on the Whistleblower Program, provided that information is prepared so as to protect the confidentiality of persons making complaints and of investigations, or (iv) releasing information to inform the public of the nature of the actions taken by the Controller in the operation of the Whistleblower Program provided that information is prepared so as to protect the confidentiality of persons making complaints and of investigations.

SEC. 4.125. FURNISHING FALSE OR MISLEADING INFORMATION; DUTY TO COOPERATE.

- (a) FURNISHING FALSE OR MISLEADING INFORMATION PROHIBITED. When making or filing a complaint pursuant to this Chapter or participating in an investigation conducted by the Controller, Ethics Commission, District Attorney, City Attorney or any other department or commission, or any of their agents, as authorized under this Chapter, City officers and employees may not knowingly and intentionally furnish false or fraudulent evidence, documents, or information, misrepresent any material fact, or conceal any evidence, documents or information for the purpose of misleading any officer or employee or any of their agents.
- (b) COOPERATION REQUIRED. All City departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees shall cooperate with and provide full and prompt assistance to the Controller, Ethics Commission, District Attorney, City Attorney, and all other commissions and departments, and any of their agents, in carrying out their duties under this Chapter.
- 35 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; Ord. 205-08, File No. 080019, 9/18/2008)

SEC. 4.130. REPORTS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Added by Ord. 205-08, File No. 080019, 9/18/2008)

- The Ethics Commission shall provide an annual report to the Board of Supervisors which shall include the following:
 - (1) The number of complaints received;

- 1 (2) The type of conduct complained about;
- 2 (3) The number of referrals to the Civil Service Commission, other City departments, or other government agencies;
- 4 (4) The number of investigations the Ethics Commission conducted;
- 5 (5) Findings or recommendations on policies or practices resulting from the Ethics Commission's investigations;
 - (6) The number of disciplinary actions taken by the City as a result of complaints made to the Ethics Commission; and
- 9 (7) The number and amount of administrative penalties imposed by the Ethics Commission as a result of complaints made to the Commission.
- 11 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000)

12 13

14

15

16

7

8

SEC. 4.135. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.

- In adopting and enforcing this Chapter, the City undertakes to promote the general welfare. The City is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages.
- 17 (Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000)

18

F1.107. CITIZENS' COMPLAINTS; WHISTLEBLOWERS.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

- (a) The Controller shall have the authority to receive individual complaints concerning the quality and delivery of government services, wasteful and inefficient City government practices, misuse of City government funds, and improper activities by City government officers and employees. When appropriate, the Controller shall investigate and otherwise attempt to resolve such individual complaints except for those which:
 - (1) another City agency is required by federal, state, or local law to adjudicate,
- (2) may be resolved through a grievance mechanism established by collective bargaining agreement or contract,
 - (3) involve allegations of conduct which may constitute a violation of criminal law, or
- (4) are subject to an existing, ongoing investigation by the District Attorney, the City Attorney, or the Ethics Commission, where either official or the Commission states in writing that investigation by the Controller would substantially impede or delay his, her, or its own investigation of the matter.
- If the Controller receives a complaint described in items (1), (2), (3), or (4) of this paragraph, the Controller shall advise the complainant of the appropriate procedure for the resolution of such complaint.
- (b) If the Controller receives a complaint alleging conduct that may constitute a violation of criminal law or a governmental ethics law, he or she shall promptly refer the complaint regarding criminal conduct to the District Attorney or other appropriate law enforcement agency and shall refer complaints regarding violations of governmental ethics laws to the Ethics Commission and the City Attorney.

ATTACHMENT 1

Proposed Whistleblower Ordinance Amendments

Adopted by the Ethics Commission at its Regular Meeting on March 28, 2016

Page 10

Nothing in this Section shall preclude the Controller from investigating whether any alleged criminal conduct also violates any civil or administrative law, statute, ordinance, or regulation.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

(c) Notwithstanding any provision of this Charter, including, but not limited to Section C3.699-11, or any ordinance or regulation of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller shall administer a whistleblower and citizen complaint hotline telephone number and website and publicize the hotline and website through press releases, public advertising, and communications to City employees. The Controller shall receive and track calls and emails related to complaints about the quality and delivery of government services, wasteful and inefficient City government practices, misuse of government funds and improper activities by City government officials, employees and contractors and shall route these complaints to the appropriate agency subject to subsection (a) of this Section. The Board of Supervisors shall enact and maintain an ordinance protecting the confidentiality of whistleblowers, and protecting City officers and employees from retaliation for filing a complaint with, or providing information to, the Controller, Ethics Commission, District Attorney, City Attorney or a City department or commission about improper government activity by City officers and employees. The City may incorporate all whistleblower functions set forth in this Charter or by ordinances into a unified City call center, switchboard, or information number at a later time, provided the supervision of the whistleblower function remains with the Controller and its responsibilities and function continue unabridged. (Added November 2003)

Agenda Item 4, page 014

ATTACHMENT 2

Proposed Whistleblower Ordinance Amendments 2017 Proposal

	FILE NO.	ORDINANCE NO.
1	[Campaign and	Governmental Conduct Code - Expanding Whistleblower Protections]
3	Ordinance amo	ending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to broaden the
4	scope of whist	leblower complaints, provide retaliation protections for City contractors,
5	increase the re	medies available for whistleblowers who have suffered retaliation, and
6	establish great	er confidentiality protections for whistleblowers' identities.
7 8 9 0	NOTE:	Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
1		
2	Be it orda	ained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
3	Section 7	. The Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code is hereby amended by
4	revising Section	s 4.100, 4.105, 4.107, 4.115, and 4.120, and adding a new Section 4.117, to
5	read as follows:	
6	SEC. 4.1	00. FINDINGS.
7	The City	and County of San Francisco ("City") has a paramount interest in protecting
8	the integrity of i	s government institutions. To further this interest, individuals should be
9	encouraged to I	eport to the City's Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney
20	and the complain	ant's department possible violations of laws, regulations, and rules governing
21	the conduct of (City officers and employees, City contractors, and employees of City contractors.
22	<u>This Chap</u>	ster 1 fulfills the Charter's requirements for two City programs relating to
23	whistleblowers, a	s required by Charter Appendix Section F1.107. First, as required by the Charter, the
24	Office of the Con	troller receives and investigates whistleblower complaints concerning deficiencies in
25		
	Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPER	/ISORS Page 1

1	the quality and delivery of City government services, wasteful and inefficient City government
2	practices, misuse of City funds, and improper activities by City officers, employees, and contractors.
3	Second, as required by the Charter, this ordinance protects the confidentiality of
4	whistleblowers, and protects City officers and employees from retaliation for filing whistleblower
5	complaints or providing assistance with the investigation of such complaints. As set forth in this
6	Chapter 1, the Ethics Commission has primary responsibility for ensuring such protections.
7	This Chapter protects all City officers and employees from retaliation for filing a complaint
8	with, or providing information to, the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney
9	or complainant's department about improper government activity by City officers and employees.
10	This Chapter ensures that complaints that do not allege a violation of law over which the Ethics
11	Commission or Controller has jurisdiction are directed to the appropriate agency for investigation and
12	possible disciplinary or enforcement action.
13	Finally, this Chapter implements Charter Appendix Section F1.107. Section F1.107 directs the
14	Controller, as City Services Auditor, to administer a whistleblower program and investigate reports of
15	complaints concerning the misuse of City funds, improper activities by City officers and employees,
16	deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City
17	government practices.
18	
19	SEC. 4.105. COMPLAINTS OF IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY;
20	INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES; REFERRAL TO OTHER AGENCIES.
21	(a) COMPLAINTS. Any person may file a complaint for investigation with the Office of
22	the Controller's Whistleblower Program, Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, or City

Attorney, or a written complaint with the complainant's department alleging that a City officer or employee has engaged in improper government activity, misused City funds, caused deficiencies

in the quality and delivery of government services or engaged in wasteful and inefficient government

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

23

24 25

practices, or that a City contractor or employee of a City contractor has engaged in unlawful activity in connection with a City contract. by: violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws, regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing City resources; creating a specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest.

- (b) ETHICS COMMISSION COMPLAINT PROCEDURES. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints filed under this Section <u>4.105</u> that <u>allege contain potential</u> violations of local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics laws pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. Nothing in this subsection (<u>b)</u> shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer, or employee or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer, or employee report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.
- (c) REFERRAL. The Ethics Commission shall refer complaints that do not allege a violation of law, regulation or rule that is within the Ethics Commission's jurisdiction to the appropriate agency for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Commission may conduct preliminary investigations into such complaints to determine whether the complaint contains sufficient information to warrant referral. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer or employee to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's referral within a time-frame that the Ethics Commission shall specify, report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SEC. 4.107. COMPLAINTS BY CITIZENS AND EMPLOYEES; WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM.

- (a) WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM. The Controller shall administer and publicize a whistleblower and citizen complaint program for citizens and employees to report the misuse of City funds, improper *government* activities by City officers and employees, deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City government practices. *Subject to subsection (b)*, *Ft*he Controller shall investigate and otherwise attempt to resolve complaints reported to the Whistleblower Program. The Controller shall administer a hotline telephone number and website and publicize the hotline and website through press releases, public advertising, and communications to City employees.
- (b) REFERRAL OF CERTAIN COMPLAINTS. The Controller shall refer the following complaints as set forth in this <u>subs</u>Section (<u>b</u>):
- (i) (1) Those which another City agency is required by federal, state, or local law to adjudicate: To that agency;
- (ii) (2) Those which may be resolved through a grievance mechanism established by collective bargaining agreement or contract: To the official or agency designated in the agreement or contract;
- (iii) (3) Those which involve allegations of conduct which may constitute a violation of criminal law: To the District Attorney or other appropriate law enforcement agency;
- (iv) (4) Those which are subject to an existing, ongoing investigation by the District Attorney, City Attorney, or Ethics Commission, where the applicable official or Commission states in writing that investigation by the Controller would substantially impede or delay his, her, or its own investigation of the matter: To the investigating office; and

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(**) (5) Those which allege conduct that may constitute a violation of <u>local</u>

<u>campaign finance, lobbying, conflict of interest, or</u> governmental ethics law<u>s, regulations, or rules</u>:

#To the Ethics Commission and the City Attorney.

Where the conduct that is the subject of the complaint may violate criminal law and any civil or administrative law, statute, ordinance, or regulation, the Controller may take action on the noncriminal aspects of the matter under this Section <u>4.107</u> even if a referral has been made to another agency under this <u>subs</u>Section <u>(b)</u>.

If a complaint is referred under this \underline{subs} Section $\underline{(b)}$, the Controller shall inform the complainant of the appropriate procedure for the resolution of the complaint.

- (c) TRACKING AND INVESTIGATION. The Controller shall receive, track, and investigate complaints made or referred to the Whistleblower Program. The investigation may include all steps that the Controller deems appropriate, including the review of the complaint and any documentary or other evidence provided with it, the gathering of any other relevant documents from any City department or other source, and interviews of the complainant and other persons with relevant information.
- (d) INFORMATION PROVIDED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. In those instances in which the Controller deems it appropriate, the Controller may require that persons making complaints or providing information swear to the truth of their statements by taking an oath administered by the Controller, or an agent of the Controller, or through written declarations made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.
- (e) REFERRAL AND RECOMMENDATION BY CONTROLLER. The Controller may refer the complaint to a City department for investigation, either before conducting an initial investigation or after doing so-1 and may recommend that a City department take specific action based on the Controller's initial investigation. Within 60 days of receiving a complaint for investigation or a recommendation by the Controller for specific action, or such other time

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

as the Controller shall specify, the City department shall report to the Controller in writing the results of the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to a recommendation by the Controller that the department take specific action.

- (f) REPORT BY DEPARTMENT AND FURTHER ACTION BY CONTROLLER. If the Controller has recommended that a City department take disciplinary or other corrective action that the department has declined to take, the department shall report to the Controller its reasons for failing to do so within the *timeframe* time frame* that the Controller specifies for reporting on its investigation of the complaint. If the Controller determines that the department's reasons are inadequate and that further investigation may be appropriate, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney, or District Attorney, or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter.
- (g) RESPONSIBILITY OF DEPARTMENTS. The department head shall be responsible for compliance by his or her department with these duties. If department staff fail to comply with the duties to investigate complaints referred by the Controller and to make the reports required by this Section <u>4.107</u>, the Controller shall notify the department head. If the department head fails to take action to obtain the department's compliance with these duties, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney, or District Attorney, or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter.

SEC. 4.110. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Chapter \underline{I} , the following words and phrases shall have the following meanings:

(a) The term "City" or "City agency" shall means the City and County of San Francisco, its departments, commissions, task forces, committees, and boards.

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

$\underline{(b)}$ - The term "e \underline{C} omplainant's department" includes the complainant's supervisor, the
executive director or highest ranking officer in the complainant's department, and the board of
commission overseeing the complainant's department.
"Deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services" shall mean the failure to
perform a service, when performance is required under any law, regulation or policy, or under a City
contract or grant.
"Improper government activity" shall mean violation of any federal, state, or local law,
regulation, or rule, including but not limited to laws, regulations, or rules governing campaign finance
conflicts of interest, or governmental ethics laws; or action which creates a danger to public health or
safety by the failure of City officers or employees to perform duties required by their positions.
"Improper government activity" does not include employment actions for which other remedies exist.
"Misuse of City funds" shall mean any use of City funds for purposes outside of those directed
by the City.
(c) The term "pPreliminary investigation" shall be limited to, but need not include all of.
the following: review of the complaint and any documentary evidence provided with the
complaint; interview of the complainant; interview of the respondent, counsel to respondent
and any witnesses who voluntarily agree to be interviewed for this purpose; review of any
relevant public documents and documents provided voluntarily to the Commission.
"Supervisor" shall mean any individual having the authority, on behalf of the City, to hire,
transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or
the responsibility to routinely direct them, to adjust their grievances, or to effectively recommend such
action, if, in connection with the foregoing, the exercise of that authority is not merely routine or
clerical, but requires the use of independent judgment.
"Unlawful activity" shall mean violations of any federal, state or local law, regulation or rule
including but not limited to those laws, regulations or rules governing campaign finance, conflicts of

1	<u>interest or governmental ethics laws; or actions which create a danger to public health or safety by the</u>
2	failure of City officers or employees to perform duties imposed by a City contract.
3	"Wasteful and inefficient City government practices" shall mean the expenditure of City funds
4	that could be eliminated without harming public health or safety, or reducing the quality of government
5	<u>services.</u>
6	SEC. 4.115. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS - CITY EMPLOYEES.
7	(a) RETALIATION PROHIBITED. No City officer or employee may terminate, demote
8	suspend, or take other similar adverse employment action against any City officer or
9	employee because the officer or employee has in good faith:
10	(i) (1) filed a complaint as set forth in Section 4.105(a); with the Ethics Commission,
11	Controller, District Attorney or City Attorney, or a written complaint with the complainant's
12	department, alleging that a City officer or employee engaged in improper government activity by:
13	violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws,
14	regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing City resources; creating a
15	specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the
16	officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest, (ii
17	filed a complaint with the Controller's Whistleblower Program, or
18	(2) attempted to file a complaint through the procedures set forth in Section 4.105(a)
19	but, in good faith, did not file the complaint with the appropriate City department or official; or
20	(iii) (3) provided any information in connection with or otherwise cooperated with
21	any investigation conducted under this Chapter 1.
22	(b) COMPLAINTS OF RETALIATION-FOR HAVING FILED A COMPLAINT ALLEGING
23	IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY.
24	(i) (1) Administrative Complaints. Any eity City officer or employee, or former
25	eity City officer or employee, who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in

violation of $\underline{s_s}$ ubsection (a) of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation.

The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of <u>Ss</u>ubsection (a) of this Section <u>4.115</u> pursuant to the procedures specified in <u>San Francisco</u> Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints alleging violations of <u>Ss</u>ubsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending with or have been finally resolved by another administrative or judicial body. Nothing in this <u>Ss</u>ubsection (<u>b)(1)</u> shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer, or employee, or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may refer matters to the Department of Human Resources with a recommendation. The Ethics Commission may require <u>that</u> any City department, <u>commission, board, officer or employee to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's <u>referral within a time frame that the Ethics Commission shall specify.</u> report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.</u>

(ii) (2) **Civil Complaints.** Any City officer or employee who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of S_S ubsection (a) of this Section 4.115 may bring a civil action against the City officer or employee who committed the violation. Such action must be filed no later than two years after the date of the retaliation.

(iii) (3) **Burden of Establishing Retaliation.** In order to establish <u>under this</u>

<u>Section 4.115 that</u> retaliation <u>occurred under this Section</u>, a complainant <u>in a civil action</u> must demonstrate, <u>or the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding must determine</u>, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

$\underline{s_s}$ ubsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse employment action. The
employer respondent may rebut this claim if # the respondent demonstrates by a preponderance
of the evidence that $\underline{\textit{he, she, or}}$ it would have taken the same employment action irrespective of
the complainant's participation in protected activity.
(4) Duty to Assist with Retaliation Complaints. Supervisors who receive a complaint
alleging retaliation under this Chapter 1 must keep the complaint confidential and immediately assist
the complainant with the filing of a complaint with the Ethics Commission. In addition, supervisors
who receive a complaint alleging retaliation must complete a referral form that will be developed by
the Ethics Commission and made available on its website. Supervisors who fail to comply with this
subsection (b) are subject to the penalties and remedies set forth in subsection (c).
(c) PENALTIES <u>AND REMEDIES</u> .
(i) (1) Charter Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who
violates $\underline{s}_{\underline{s}}$ ubsection (a) of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ may be subject to administrative penalties
pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13.
(2) Redress for Retaliatory Employment Action. Following an administrative hearing
and after making a finding that an adverse employment action has been taken for purposes of
retaliation, the Ethics Commission may, subject to the Charter's budgetary and civil service provisions,
recommend the cancellation of the retaliatory termination, demotion, suspension or other adverse
employment action.
(ii) (3) Discipline by Appointing Authority. Any City officer or employee who
violates $\underline{s}_{\underline{s}}$ ubsection \underline{s} (a) $\underline{or(b)(4)}$ of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ shall be subject to disciplinary action up
to and including dismissal by his or her appointing authority. If no disciplinary action is taken
by the appointing authority, the Ethics Commission may refer the matter to the Civil Service
Commission for action pursuant to Charter Section A8.341.

1	$\frac{(iii)}{2}$ (4) Civil Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates $\underline{s_s}$ ubsection
2	(a) of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ may be personally liable in a civil action authorized under $\underline{Subsection}$
3	(b)(ii) subsection (b)(2) of this Section for a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 \$10,000.
4	(d) RESERVATION OF AUTHORITY.
5	$\stackrel{(i)}{}$ $\stackrel{(1)}{}$ Civil Service Commission. Nothing in this Section $\stackrel{4.115}{}$ shall interfere
6	with the powers granted to the Civil Service Commission by the San Francisco Charter.
7	$\frac{(ii)}{2}$ Appointing Authority. Nothing in this Section 4.115 shall interfere with
8	the power of an appointing officer, manager, or supervisor to take action with respect to any
9	City officer or employee, provided that the appointing officer, manager, or supervisor
10	reasonably believes that such action is justified on facts separate and apart from the fact that
11	the officer or employee filed a complaint as set forth in Section 4.105(a), attempted to file such a
12	complaint in good faith, or cooperated with an investigation of such a complaint. filed a complaint
13	with, or cooperated with, an Ethics Commission investigation of such complaint; or filed a complaint
14	with or provided information to the Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney or the complainant's
15	department.
16	(e) NOTICE OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS. The Controller shall prepare,
17	and each City department shall post a notice of whistleblower protections. The notice shall be
18	posted in a location that is conspicuous and accessible to all employees.
19	(f) WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION AWARENESS TRAINING.
20	(1) The Controller, in collaboration with the Ethics Commission, shall prepare, and all
21	City departments shall distribute, materials to publicize and promote whistleblower protections as part
22	of each department's new hire training programs.
23	(2) The Ethics Commission, in collaboration with the Controller and Department of
24	Human Resources, shall prepare, and all City departments shall distribute, materials to publicize and
25	promote supervisors' responsibilities under this Chapter 1. In addition, the Department of Human

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	Resources, in collaboration with the Controller and Ethics Commission, shall prepare web-based
2	training for supervisors regarding their responsibilities under this Chapter 1, which shall be
3	implemented by January 1, 2018. This training must be provided to all City supervisors annually by
4	April of each year thereafter.
5	SEC. 4.117. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS - CITY CONTRACTORS.
6	(a) RETALIATION PROHIBITED. No City officer or employee may take steps to terminate a
7	contract with a City contractor; refuse to use a City contractor for contracted services; request that a
8	City contractor terminate, demote, or suspend one of its employees; or take other similar adverse
9	action against any City contractor or employee of a City contractor because the contractor or the
10	contractor's employee:
11	(1) filed a complaint with any supervisor within a City agency alleging that a City
12	officer or employee engaged in improper government activity, misused City funds, caused deficiencies
13	in the quality and delivery of government services, or engaged in wasteful and inefficient government
14	practices;
15	(2) filed a complaint with any supervisor within a City agency alleging that another
16	City contractor, or employee of another City contractor, engaged in unlawful activity, misused City
17	funds, caused deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services or engaged in wasteful
18	and inefficient government practices; or
19	(3) provided any information in connection with or otherwise cooperated with any
20	investigation conducted under this Chapter 1.
21	(b) COMPLAINTS OF RETALIATION.
22	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor,
23	who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this
24	Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later
25	than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation.

Commented [AS1]: Will need to come up with new date

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of
2	this Section 4.117 pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the
3	regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints
4	alleging violations of subsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending
5	with or have been finally resolved by another administrative or judicial body. Nothing in this
6	subsection shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City
7	department, commission, board, officer, or employee, or to other government agencies for investigation
8	and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may refer matters to the
9	Department of Human Resources with a recommendation. The Ethics Commission may require any
10	City department to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action
11	that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's referral, within a time frame that
12	the Ethics Commission shall specify.
13	(2) Burden of Establishing Retaliation. In order to establish that retaliation occurred
14	under this Section 4.117, the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding must determine, by a
14 15	under this Section 4.117, the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding must determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under
15 16	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut
15 16 17	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same
15 16 17 18	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity.
15 16 17 18 19	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity. (c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES.
15 16 17 18 19 20	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity. (c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES. (1) Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates subsection (a)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity. (c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES. (1) Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may be subject to administrative penalties pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity. (c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES. (1) Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may be subject to administrative penalties pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13. (2) Redress for Retaliatory Adverse Action. Following an administrative hearing and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity. (c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES. (1) Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may be subject to administrative penalties pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13. (2) Redress for Retaliatory Adverse Action. Following an administrative hearing and after making a finding that an adverse action has been taken for purposes of retaliation, the Ethics

1	(3) Discipline by Appointing Authority. Any City officer or employee who violates
2	subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal
3	by his or her appointing authority. If no disciplinary action is taken by the appointing authority, the
4	Ethics Commission may refer the matter to the Civil Service Commission for action pursuant to Charter
5	<u>Section A8.341.</u>
6	(d) NOTICE OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS. The Controller shall prepare, and each
7	City department shall post, a notice of the whistleblower protections established by this Section 4.117.
8	City contractors shall distribute the notice of protections to all of their employees.
9	
10	SEC. 4.120. CONFIDENTIALITY.
11	(a) WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY. City officers and employees shall treat as confidential
12	the identity of any person who files a complaint as set forth in Section 4.105(a). A complainant may
13	voluntarily disclose his or her identity. Any individual who files a complaint under Section 4.105 of
14	this Chapter may elect to have his or her identity kept confidential as provided by Charter Section
15	C3.699-13(a). Such election must be made at the time the complaint is filed.
16	(b) COMPLAINTS AND INVESTIGATIONS. City officers and employees shall treat as
17	confidential complaints filed under Sections 4.105, 4.115, and 4.117, and related information,
18	including but not limited to materials gathered and prepared in the course of investigating such
19	complaints, and deliberations regarding such complaints. The Ethics Commission shall treat as
20	confidential complaints made under Section 4.105 of this Chapter, and related information, including
21	but not limited to materials gathered and prepared in the course of investigation of such complaints,
22	and deliberations regarding such complaints, as provided by Charter Section C3.699-13(a).
23	(c) PENALTIES. Except as provided in subsection (d), violations of subsections (a) and (b)
24	may be subject to the administrative proceedings and penalties set forth in Charter Section C3.699-13,
25	in addition to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal by his or her appointing authority.

1	(e) (d) EXCEPTIONS.
2	$\stackrel{(i)}{}$ $\stackrel{(1)}{}$ Conduct of Investigations. Nothing in this Section $\stackrel{4.120}{}$ shall preclude the
3	<u>Controller's Office</u> , Ethics Commission, <u>District Attorney</u> , and <u>City Attorney</u> from disclosing the
4	identity of an individual or other information to the extent necessary to conduct its
5	investigation.
6	(2) Legal Proceedings. Nothing in this Section 4.120 shall preclude City officers and
7	employees from disclosing the identity of an individual or other information relating to a complaint to
8	the extent required by the rules governing an administrative or court proceeding.
9	$\frac{(ii)}{2}$ Referrals. Nothing in this Section $\frac{4.120}{2}$ shall preclude the Ethics
10	Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board,
11	officer or employee, or to other government agencies for investigation and possible
12	disciplinary or enforcement action.
13	
14	Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
15	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
16	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
17	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
18	
19	Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
20	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
21	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
22	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
23	additions and Doord amandment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
24	the official title of the ordinance.

1 2	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
3	By: ANDREW SHEN
4	Deputy City Attorney
5	n:\legana\as2017\1600739\01206491.docx
6	
7	NOTE: This submission is not meant to remove existing Sections 4.123, 4.130, or 4.135 from
8	the Ordinance as written. Those sections are not changed by this submission, so they are not
9	reproduced here, but the adoption of these proposed changes would not result in deletion of
10	Sections 4.123, 4.130, or 4.135.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Agenda Item 4, page 030

ATTACHMENT 3

Redline comparison of Staff's Proposed Changes to the Whistleblower Protection Ordinance enacted by the Commission in 2016

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO. [Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Expanding Scope of Whistleblower Protection Ordinance | Protections 2 Formatted: BdSupsNrml12pt 3 Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to broaden the 4 scope of whistleblower complaints, provide retaliation protections for City contractors, 5 increase the remedies available for whistleblowers who have suffered retaliation, and 6 establish greater confidentiality protections for whistleblowers' identities. 7 NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. 8 Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italies Times New Roman font. 9 Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. 10 Asterisks (* * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables. 11 12 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. The Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code is hereby amended by 15 adding and revising Sections 4.100, 4.105, 4.107, 4.115, 4.117, and 4.120, and adding a new 6 Section 4.117, to read as follows: SEC. 4.100. FINDINGS. 18 Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic The City and County of San Francisco ("City") has a paramount interest in protecting 19 the integrity of its government institutions. To further this interest, individuals should be 20 encouraged to report to the City's Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney 21 and the complainant's department possible violations of laws, regulations, and rules governing 22 the conduct of City officers and employees, City contractors, and employees of City contractors. 23 Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Underline This Chapter sets forth 1 fulfills the Charter's requirements for two City programs relating to whistleblowers, as required by Charter Appendix Section F1.107. First, as required by the City's Formatted: Font: Times New Roman Italic, Italic, Underline Whistleblower Program Charter, the Office of the Controller receives and protects all City officers Supervisor Breed **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** Page 1

and employees, investigates whistleblower complaints concerning deficiencies in the quality and delivery of City government services, wasteful and inefficient City government practices, misuse of City funds, and improper activities by City officers, employees, and contractors,

Second, as required by the Charter, this ordinance protects the confidentiality of whistleblowers, and protects City officers and employees of City contractors from retaliation for reportingfiling whistleblower complaints or providing assistance with the investigation of such complaints. As set forth in this Chapter 1, the Ethics Commission has primary responsibility for ensuring such protections.

This Chapter protects all City officers and employees from retaliation for filing a complaint with, or providing information to, the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, City Attorney or complainant's department about improper government activity by City officers and employees or unlawful activity by City contractors and their employees

This Chapter ensures that complaints that do not allege a violation of law over which the Ethics Commission or Controller has jurisdiction are directed to the appropriate agency for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action.

Finally, this Chapter implements Charter Appendix Section F1.107. Section F1.107 directs the Controller, as City Services Auditor, to administer a whistleblower program and investigate reports of complaints concerning the misuse of City funds, improper activities by City officers and employees, deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City government practices.

SEC. 4.105. COMPLAINTS-OF IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY; INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES; REFERRAL TO OTHER AGENCIES.

(a) COMPLAINTS. Any person may file a complaint for investigation with the Office of the Controller's Whistleblower Program, Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney, or City

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman Italic, Italic, Underline

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Supervisor Breed **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

23

24

25

Attorney, or a written complaint with the complainant's department alleging that a City officer or employee has engaged in improper government activity, misused City funds, caused deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services or engaged in wasteful and inefficient government practices, or that a City contractor or employee of a City contractor has engaged in unlawful activity in connection with a City contract. by: violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws, regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing City resources; creating a specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest.

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

- (b) ETHICS COMMISSION COMPLAINT PROCEDURES. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints filed under this Section 4.105 that allege contain potential violations of local campaign finance. lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics laws pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. Nothing in this subsection (b) shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer, or employee or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer, or employee report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.
- (c) REFERRAL. The Ethics Commission shall refer complaints that do not allege a violation of law, regulation or rule that is within the Ethics Commission's jurisdiction to the appropriate agency for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Commission may conduct preliminary investigations into such complaints to determine whether the complaint contains sufficient information to warrant referral. The Ethics Commission may require that any City department, commission, board, officer or employee to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action that the department

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

<u>has taken in response t</u>	to
Commission shall spec	cij
SEC. 4.107.	С
PROGRAM.	
(a) WHISTLE	E
whistleblower and ci	tiz
of City funds, improp	Эе
quality and delivery	of
practices. Subject to	SI
resolve complaints re	eį
hotline telephone nu	m
releases, public adve	er
(b) REFERR	Α
complaints as set for	rtl
(i) (1)	Т
to adjudicate: To the	at
(ii) (2)	T
established by collec	cti

20 21

22

23

24 25 has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's referral within a time-frame that the Ethics

Commission shall specify. report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

SEC. 4.107. COMPLAINTS BY CITIZENS AND EMPLOYEES; WHISTLEBLOWER OGRAM.

- (a) WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM. The Controller shall administer and publicize a whistleblower and citizen complaint program for citizens and employees to report the misuse of City funds, improper *government* activities by City officers and employees, deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, and wasteful and inefficient City government practices. *Subject to subsection (b)*, *Ft*he Controller shall investigate and otherwise attempt to resolve complaints reported to the Whistleblower Program. The Controller shall administer a hotline telephone number and website and publicize the hotline and website through press releases, public advertising, and communications to City employees.
- (b) REFERRAL OF CERTAIN COMPLAINTS. The Controller shall refer the following complaints as set forth in this Section: subsSection (b):
- $\stackrel{(i)}{(1)}$ Those which another City agency is required by federal, state, or local law to adjudicate: To that agency;
- (ii) (2) Those which may be resolved through a grievance mechanism established by collective bargaining agreement or contract: To the official or agency designated in the agreement or contract;
- (iii) (3) Those which involve allegations of conduct which may constitute a violation of criminal law: To the District Attorney or other appropriate law enforcement agency;
- (iv) (4) Those which are subject to an existing, ongoing investigation by the District Attorney, City Attorney, or Ethics Commission, where the applicable official or

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

|

Commission states in writing that investigation by the Controller would substantially impede or delay his, her, or its own investigation of the matter: To the investigating office; and

(+) (5) Those which allege conduct that may constitute a violation of <u>local</u>

<u>campaign finance</u>, <u>lobbying</u>, <u>conflict of interest</u>, <u>or</u> governmental ethics law<u>s</u>, <u>regulations</u>, <u>or rules</u>:

<u>†To</u> the Ethics Commission and the City Attorney.

Where the conduct that is the subject of the complaint may violate criminal law and any civil or administrative law, statute, ordinance, or regulation, the Controller may take action on the noncriminal aspects of the matter under this Section <u>4.107</u> even if a referral has been made to another agency under this <u>Section</u> subsection (b).

If a complaint is referred under this Section, subsSection (b), the Controller shall inform the complainant of the appropriate procedure for the resolution of the complaint.

- (c) TRACKING AND INVESTIGATION. The Controller shall receive, track, and investigate complaints made or referred to the Whistleblower Program. The investigation may include all steps that the Controller deems appropriate, including the review of the complaint and any documentary or other evidence provided with it, the gathering of any other relevant documents from any City department or other source, and interviews of the complainant and other persons with relevant information.
- (d) INFORMATION PROVIDED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. In those instances in which the Controller deems it appropriate, the Controller may require that persons making complaints or providing information swear to the truth of their statements by taking an oath administered by the Controller, or an agent of the Controller, or through written declarations made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.
- (e) REFERRAL AND RECOMMENDATION BY CONTROLLER. The Controller may refer the complaint to a City department for investigation, either before conducting an initial investigation or after doing some and may recommend that a City department take specific

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 5

Formatted: Font: Arial, Not Italic, No underline

action based on the Controller's initial investigation. Within 60 days of receiving a complaint for investigation or a recommendation by the Controller for specific action, or such other time as the Controller shall specify, the City department shall report to the Controller in writing the results of the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to a recommendation by the Controller that the department take specific action.

- (f) REPORT BY DEPARTMENT AND FURTHER ACTION BY CONTROLLER. If the Controller has recommended that a City department take disciplinary or other corrective action that the department has declined to take, the department shall report to the Controller its reasons for failing to do so within the <u>time frame</u> that the Controller specifies for reporting on its investigation of the complaint. If the Controller determines that the department's reasons are inadequate and that further investigation may be appropriate, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney, or District Attorney, or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter.
- (g) RESPONSIBILITY OF DEPARTMENTS. The department head shall be responsible for compliance by his or her department with these duties. If department staff fail to comply with the duties to investigate complaints referred by the Controller and to make the reports required by this Section <u>4.107</u>, the Controller shall notify the department head. If the department head fails to take action to obtain the department's compliance with these duties, the Controller may refer the matter to the Mayor, City Attorney, or District Attorney, or to any officer or agency that has jurisdiction over the matter.

SEC. 4.110. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Chapter _1, the following words and phrases shall have the following meanings:

(a) The term "City" or "City agency" shall means the City and County of San Francisco, its departments, commissions, task forces, committees, and boards.

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

|

Page 6

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

(b) The term "eComplainant's department" includes the complainant's supervisor, the executive director or highest ranking officer in the complainant's department, and the board or commission overseeing the complainant's department.

"Deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services" shall mean the failure to perform a service, when performance is required under any law, regulation or policy, or under a City contract or grant.

"Improper government activity" shall mean violations violation of any federal, state, or local law, regulation, or rule, including but not limited to laws, regulations, or rules governing campaign finance, conflicts of interest, or governmental ethics laws; or actions action which ereatecreates a danger to public health or safety by the failure of City officers or employees to perform duties required by their positions. "Improper government activity" does not include personnel employment actions for which other remedies exist.

"Misuse of City funds" shall mean any use of City funds for purposes outside of those directed by the City, or local, state and federal law.

(c) The term "pPreliminary investigation" shall be limited to, but need not include all of. the following: review of the complaint and any documentary evidence provided with the complaint; interview of the complainant; interview of the respondent, counsel to respondent and any witnesses who voluntarily agree to be interviewed for this purpose; review of any relevant public documents and documents provided voluntarily to the Commission.

"Supervisor" or "supervisory employee" shall mean any individual having the authority, on behalf of the City, to hire, transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or the responsibility to routinely direct them, to adjust their grievances, or to effectively recommend such action, if, in connection with the foregoing, the exercise of that authority is not merely routine or clerical, but requires the use of independent judgment.

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

"Unlawful activity" shall mean violations of any federal, state or local law, regulation or rule including but not limited to those laws, regulations or rules governing campaign finance, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws; or actions which create a danger to public health or safety by the failure of City officers or employees to perform duties imposed by a City contract.

"Wasteful and inefficient City government practices" shall mean the expenditure of City funds
that could be eliminated without harming public health or safety, or reducing the quality of government
services.

SEC. 4.115. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS - CITY EMPLOYEES.

(a) RETALIATION PROHIBITED. No City officer or employee may terminate, demote, suspend, or take other similar adverse employment action against any City officer or employee because the officer or employee has in good faith:

(i) (1) filed a complaint with any supervisory employee within a City agency alleging that a City officer or employee engaged in improper government activity, misused City funds, caused deficiencies in the quality or delivery of government services or engaged in wasteful and inefficient government practices; as set forth in Section 4.105(a); with the Ethics Commission, Controller, District Attorney or City Attorney, or a written complaint with the complainant's department, alleging that a City officer or employee engaged in improper government activity by: violating local campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest or governmental ethics laws, regulations or rules; violating the California Penal Code by misusing City resources; creating a specified and substantial danger to public health or safety by failing to perform duties required by the officer or employee's City position; or abusing his or her City position to advance a private interest, (ii) filed a complaint with the Controller's Whistleblower Program, or

(ii) (2) filed a complaint with <u>any supervisory employee within a City agency alleging</u>

hat a City contractor, or employee of a City contractor, engaged in unlawful activity, misused City

1g

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 8

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman Italic, Italic, Strikethrough

funds, caused deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services or engaged in wasteful and inefficient government practices; the Controller's Whistleblower Program, Of

(2) attempted to file a complaint through the procedures set forth in Section 4.105(a) but, in good faith, did not file the complaint with the appropriate City department or official; or

(iii) (3) provided any information in connection with or otherwise cooperated with any investigation conducted under this Chapter 1.

(b) COMPLAINTS OF RETALIATION FOR HAVING FILED A COMPLAINT ALLEGING IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY.

(i) (1) Administrative Complaints. Any eity City officer or employee, or former eity City officer or employee, who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of Ssubsection (a) of this Section 4.115 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation.

The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of <u>S</u>_Subsection (a) of this Section <u>4.115</u> pursuant to the procedures specified in <u>San Francisco</u> Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints alleging violations of <u>S</u>_Subsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending with or have been finally resolved by another administrative or judicial body. Nothing in this <u>S</u>_Subsection (b)(1) shall preclude the Ethics Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, officer, or employee, or to other government agencies for investigation and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may refer matters to the Department of Human Resources with a recommendation. The Ethics Commission may require <u>that</u> any City department; commission, board, officer or employee to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Underline

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

referral within a time-frame that the Ethics Commission shall specify. report to the Ethics Commission on the referred matter.

(ii) (2) **Civil Complaints.** Any City officer or employee who believes he or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of $\underline{s_s}$ ubsection (a) of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ may bring a civil action against the City officer or employee who committed the violation. Such action must be filed no later than two years after the date of the retaliation.

Section 4.115 that retaliation occurred under this Section 4.115, a complainant in a civil action must demonstrate, or the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding must determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under Ssubsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse employment action. The employer respondent may rebut this claim if it the respondent demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that he, she, or it would have taken the same employment action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity.

(4) Duty to ReportAssist with Retaliation Complaints to the Ethics Commission.

Supervisory employees. Supervisors who receive a complaint of alleging retaliation under this Chapter

1 must keep the complaint confidential and immediately report assist the complainant with the filing of a complaint to with the Ethics Commission. In addition, supervisors who receive a complaint alleging retaliation must complete a referral form that will be developed by the Ethics Commission:

Supervisory employees and made available on its website. Supervisors who fail to report complaints of retaliation comply with this subsection (b) are subject to the penalties and remedies set forth in subsection (c)(2) of Section 4.115.).

(c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES.

Supervisor Breed
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 10

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Underline

1	
2	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1
4	
5	9
6	! !
7	į
8	9
9	
10	,
11	1
12	ı
13	(
14	
12 13 14 15 16	
16	(

8

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

(i) (1) Charter Administrative Penalties. A	Any City officer or employee who
violates $\underline{s}_{\underline{s}}$ ubsection (a) of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ may be su	ubject to administrative penalties
pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13.	

(2) Redress for Retaliatory Employment Action. Following an administrative hearing and after making a finding that an adverse employment action has been taken for purposes of retaliation, the Ethics Commission may, subject to the Charter's budgetary and civil service provisions, recommend the cancellation of the retaliatory termination, demotion, suspension or other adverse employment action.

(ii) (23) Discipline by Appointing Authority. Any City officer or employee who violates S_{Σ} ubsection \underline{s} (a) $\underline{or(b)(4)}$ of this Section $\underline{4.115}$ shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal by his or her appointing authority. If no disciplinary action is taken by the appointing authority, the Ethics Commission may refer the matter to the Civil Service Commission for action pursuant to Charter Section A8.341.

(iii) (34) Civil Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates Ssubsection (a) of this Section 4.115 may be personally liable in a civil action authorized under $\frac{Subsection}{2}$ (b)(ii) subsection (b)(2) of this Section for a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 \$10,000. The Ethics Commission may adjust annually by regulation the penalties imposed by this subsection (e)(3) to reflect the change in the California Consumer Price Index for that year, provided that such adjustments shall be rounded off to the nearest \$100.

(d) RESERVATION OF AUTHORITY.

 $\frac{(i)}{(1)}$ Civil Service Commission. Nothing in this Section 4.115 shall interfere with the powers granted to the Civil Service Commission by the San Francisco Charter.

 $\frac{(ii)}{2}$ Appointing Authority. Nothing in this Section $\frac{4.115}{2}$ shall interfere with the power of an appointing officer, manager, or supervisor to take action with respect to any City officer or employee, provided that the appointing officer, manager, or supervisor

Supervisor Breed **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Page 11

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Underline

1	reasonably believes that such action is justified on facts separate and apart from the fact that
2	the officer or employee took any of the actions listed in subsections 4.115(a)(1) (3) filed a complaint
3	as set forth in Section 4.105(a), attempted to file such a complaint in good faith, or cooperated with an
4	investigation of such a complaint. filed a complaint with, or cooperated with, an Ethics Commission
5	investigation of such complaint; or filed a complaint with or provided information to the Controller,
6	District Attorney, City Attorney or the complainant's department.
7	(e) NOTICE OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS. The Controller shall prepare,
8	and each City department shall post a notice of whistleblower protections. The notice shall be
9	posted in a location that is conspicuous and accessible to all employees.
10	(f) WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION AWARENESS TRAINING.
11	(1) The Controller, in collaboration with the Ethics Commission, shall prepare, and all
12	City departments shall distribute, materials to publicize and promote whistleblower protections as part
13	of each department's new hire training programs.
14	(2) The Ethics Commission, in collaboration with the Controller, and Department of
15	Human Resources, shall collaborate to ensure that whistleblower protection information prepare, and
16	all City departments shall distribute, materials to publicize and promote supervisors' responsibilities
17	under this Chapter 1. In addition, the Department of Human Resources, in collaboration with the
18	Controller and Ethics Commission, shall prepare web-based training is developed and for supervisors
19	regarding their responsibilities under this Chapter 1, which shall be implemented by January 1, 2018
20	This training must be provided to all City supervisors annually by April of each year thereafter.

SEC. 4.117. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS - CITY CONTRACTORS.

contract with a City contractor; refuse to use a City contractor for contracted services; request that a

City contractor terminate, demote, or suspend one of its employees; or take other similar adverse

(a) RETALIATION PROHIBITED. No City officer or employee may take steps to terminate a

Commented [AS1]: Need new date.

Supervisor Breed BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

21

22

23

24

25

Page 12

1	action against any City contractor or employee of a City contractor because the contractor or the
2	contractor's employee:
3	(1) filed a complaint with any supervisory employeesupervisor within a City agency
4	alleging that a City officer or employee engaged in improper government activity, misused City funds,
5	caused deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services, or engaged in wasteful and
6	inefficient government practices;
7	(2) filed a complaint with any supervisory employeesupervisor within a City agency
8	alleging that another City contractor, or employee of another City contractor, engaged in unlawful
9	activity, misused City funds, caused deficiencies in the quality and delivery of government services or
10	engaged in wasteful and inefficient government practices; or
1	(3) provided any information in connection with or otherwise cooperated with any
2	investigation conducted under this Chapter 1.
3	(b) COMPLAINTS OF RETALIATION FOR HAVING FILED A COMPLAINT ALLEGING
4	IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY OR UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY.
4 15	<u>IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY OR UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY.</u> (1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor,
1	
15	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor.
15 16	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this
15 16 17	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later
15 16 17 18	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation.
15 16 17 18	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of
15 16 17 18 19	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the
15 16 17 18 19 20	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints alleging violations of subsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(1) Administrative Complaints. Any City contractor or employee of a City contractor, who believes it, he, or she has been the subject of retaliation in violation of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 may file a complaint with the Ethics Commission. The complaint must be filed no later than two years after the date of the alleged retaliation. The Ethics Commission shall investigate complaints of violations of subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 pursuant to the procedures specified in Charter Section C3.699-13 and the regulations adopted thereunder. The Ethics Commission may decline to investigate complaints alleging violations of subsection (a) if it determines that the same or similar allegations are pending with or have been finally resolved by another administrative or judicial body. Nothing in this

1	and possible disciplinary or enforcement action. The Ethics Commission may refer matters to the
2	Department of Human Resources with a recommendation. The Ethics Commission may require any
3	City department to provide a written report regarding the department's investigation and any action
4	that the department has taken in response to the Ethics Commission's referral, within a time-frame
5	that the Ethics Commission shall specify.
6	(2) Burden of Establishing Retaliation. In order to establish that retaliation occurred
7	under this Section 4.117, the Ethics Commission in an administrative proceeding must determine, by a
8	preponderance of the evidence, that the complainant's engagement in activity protected under
9	subsection (a) was a substantial motivating factor for the adverse action. The respondent may rebut
10	this claim if it demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same
11	adverse action irrespective of the complainant's participation in protected activity.
12	(c) PENALTIES AND REMEDIES.
13	(1) Administrative Penalties. Any City officer or employee who violates subsection (a)
14	of this Section 4.117 may be subject to administrative penalties pursuant to Charter Section C3.699-13.
15	(2) Redress for Retaliatory Adverse Action. Following an administrative hearing and
16	after making a finding that an adverse action has been taken for purposes of retaliation, the Ethics
17	Commission may, subject to the Charter's budgetary and contracting provisions, order the cancellation
18	of retaliatory adverse action taken against a City contractor or employee of a City contractor.
9	(3) Discipline by Appointing Authority. Any City officer or employee who violates
20	subsection (a) of this Section 4.117 shall be subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal
21	by his or her appointing authority. If no disciplinary action is taken by the appointing authority, the
22	Ethics Commission may refer the matter to the Civil Service Commission for action pursuant to Charter
23	Section A8.341.
24	

25

(d) NOTICE OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS. The Controller shall prepare, and each City department; shall post, a notice of the whistleblower protections established by this Section 24.117. City contractors shall distribute the notice of protections to all of their employees.

SEC. 4.120. CONFIDENTIALITY.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21 2

23

24 25

(a) WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY. (a) WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY. City officers and employees shall treat as confidential the identity of any person who files a complaint as set forth in Section 4.105(a). A complainant may voluntarily disclose his or her identity. Any individual who files a complaint under Section 4.105 of this Chapter may elect to have his or her identity kept confidential as provided by Charter Section C3.699 13(a). Such election must be made at the time the complaint is filed.

(b) COMPLAINTS AND INVESTIGATIONS. City officers and employees shall treat as confidential complaints filed under Sections 4.105, 4.115, and 4.117, and related information, including but not limited to materials gathered and prepared in the course of investigating such complaints, and deliberations regarding such complaints. The Ethics Commission shall treat as confidential complaints made received under Soctions Section 4.105, 4.115 and 4.117 of this Chapter, and related information, including but not limited to materials gathered and prepared in the investigation of such complaints, and deliberations regarding such complaints, as provided by Charter Section C3.699-13(a).

(c) PENALTIES FOR DISCLOSURE OF WHISTLEBLOWER IDENTITY. Except as provided in subsection (d), any City officer or employee who discloses the identity violations of any complainant with the knowledge that the complainant elected to keep his or her identity confidential subsections (a) and (b) may be subject to the administrative proceedings and penalties set forth in Charter Section C3.699-13, in addition to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal by his or her appointing authority.

Supervisor Breed **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Strikethrough

(e) (d) EXCEPTIONS. 1 2 $\frac{(i)}{2}$ Conduct of Investigations. Nothing in this Section <u>4.120</u> shall preclude the 3 Controller's Office, Ethics Commission, District Attorney, and City Attorney from disclosing the 4 identity of an individual or other information to the extent necessary to conduct its 5 investigation. 6 (ii) (2) Referrals. Nothing in this Section(2) Legal Proceedings. Nothing in this 7 Section 4.120 shall preclude City officers and employees from disclosing the identity of an individual or 8 other information relating to a complaint to the extent required by the rules governing an 9 administrative or court proceeding. 10 (ii) (3) Referrals. Nothing in this Section 4.120 shall preclude the Ethics 11 Commission from referring any matter to any other City department, commission, board, 12 officer, or employee, or to other government agencies, for investigation and possible 13 disciplinary or enforcement action. 14 Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after 15 16 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board 17 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance. 18 9 20

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Italic, Underline

2 Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5" # 3 Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors 4 5 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal 6 7 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment 8 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under 9 the official title of the ordinance. 10 11 APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney 12 13 By: ANDREW SHEN 14 Deputy City Attorney 15 n:\legana\as2016as2017\1600739\0115969101206491.docx 6 7 NOTE: This submission is not meant to remove existing Sections 4.123, 4.130, or 4.135 from 8 the Ordinance as written. Those sections are not changed by this submission, so they are not 9 reproduced here, but the adoption of these proposed changes would not result in deletion of 20 Sections 4.123, 4.130, or 4.135. Formatted: Normal, Tab stops: Not at 0.5" + 3" 23 24 25 Supervisor Breed

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS