

**San Francisco
Ethics Commission**



**25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone 252-3100 Fax 252-3112**

San Francisco Charter section C3.699-11 authorizes the Ethics Commission to audit campaign statements that are filed with the Commission, along with other relevant documents, to determine whether a committee materially complied with applicable requirements of State and local laws. San Francisco Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Section 1.150(a) requires audits of all candidates who receive public financing and authorizes other audits to be initiated of other committees irrespective of whether the committee received any public funds. As also provided in Sec. 1.150(a) at the request of the Executive Director, the Controller shall assist in conducting these audits.

The Commission posts audit reports to its web-site and, in cases of apparent violations of law, forwards them to the appropriate enforcement agency.

This report was issued by the Office of the City Controller pursuant to a request by the Commission under Section 1.150. The Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor Division (CSA) conducted the assessments of candidates' compliance with state and city campaign finance laws for the 12 candidates who received public financing in connection with the November 8, 2016, general election held in the City and County of San Francisco. CSA engaged Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP to conduct these assessments.

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

**CANDIDATE COMMITTEE ASSESSMENT REPORT:
Ben Matranga for District 7 Supervisor 2016**

December 8, 2015, Through December 31, 2016



Certified
Public
Accountants



Ben Matranga Committee Assessment Report

Chief Audit Executive
City and County of San Francisco

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) presents its report concerning the assessment of the Ben Matranga for District 7 Supervisor Committee (Candidate Committee, or Committee) for December 8, 2015, through December 31, 2016, as follows:

Background

The Committee was formed on December 8, 2015, to support the election of Ben Matranga to the City and County of San Francisco (City) Board of Supervisors, to represent District 7, in the general election of November 8, 2016. During the period the assessment covered, the Committee's treasurer was Jesse Mainardi.

MGO was engaged to assess candidate committees per the City's Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance, Section 1.150(a), which requires the Ethics Commission to audit all candidate committees that have received public financing.

Objectives and Scope

The objectives of this assessment were to reasonably conclude whether the Committee:

- Accurately reported all campaign contributions and expenditures, as required by city campaign finance law.
- Supported all contributions and expenditures with sufficient documentation, as required by city campaign finance law.
- Accepted only contributions that comply with state and city campaign finance laws.
- Made only expenditures that comply with state and city campaign finance laws.

The scope of our assessment included contributions and expenditures reported from December 8, 2015, through December 31, 2016.¹ The assessment included determining whether funds remaining in the Campaign Contribution Trust Account on December 8, 2016, were subsequently remitted to the Ethics Commission, as city campaign finance law requires.

Methodology

To meet the objectives of this assessment, we tested and reconciled contributions listed on the Form 460s² to deposits listed on the bank statements and vouched them to the Committee's verified records. We also reconciled expenditures listed on the Form 460s to the bank statements and vouched to the Committee's verified records. We performed other tests to determine whether the Committee complied with state and city campaign finance laws. We performed the following procedures: reviewed the Form 460s the

¹ Although the assessment period ended December 31, 2016, we reviewed documentation that supported contributions and expenditures after this date to ensure compliance with campaign finance laws during the reporting period.

² California Form 460 – Recipient Committee Campaign Statement.

Committee filed and the supporting documentation; conducted non-statistical testing of a random selection of contributions and expenditures to confirm that proper documentation was obtained; and verified the Committee’s timeliness in submitting the Form 460s.

We conducted this assessment in accordance with the statements on standards for consulting services as issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the terms of our contract agreement. Those standards require that we plan and perform the engagement to obtain sufficient, appropriate data to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our objectives. We believe that the data obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our assessment objectives.

Assessment Results

From December 8, 2015, through December 31, 2016, the Committee received \$79,273 in monetary contributions, \$609 in in-kind contributions, and \$113,478 in public funds—or a total of \$199,360—and expended \$182,870. The Committee owes no unexpended funds to the City. We found that the Committee, in general:

- Accurately and completely reported campaign contributions and expenditures.
- Supported reported contributions and expenditures with sufficient documentation.
- Accepted only contributions that comply with state and city campaign finance laws.
- Made only expenditures that comply with state and city campaign finance laws.

The exception is noted below:

Observation 2016-01 – The Committee did not have support for 11 reported expenditures totaling \$465.

The Committee could not provide supporting documentation for 11 expenditures, totaling \$465 or less than 1 percent of \$182,870 in total expenditures. The Treasurer explained that the original purchaser lost the receipts/invoices related to these expenditures. Exhibit 1 details the unsupported expenditures.

Exhibit 1 Expenditures Reported Without Supporting Documentation

Bank Date	Amount	Payee
10/2/2016	\$ 75	Mozzarella Di Bufala
10/5/2016	\$ 55	Mozzarella Di Bufala
10/23/2016	\$ 38	Mozzarella Di Bufala
10/23/2016	\$ 16	Starbucks
10/26/2016	\$ 26	Goat Hill Pizza
10/26/2016	\$ 122	U-Haul Downtown Box Store
10/27/2016	\$ 16	Papenhausen Hardware
10/28/2016	\$ 23	Goat Hill Pizza
10/29/2016	\$ 3	Mozzarella Di Bufala
11/4/2016	\$ 66	Paw*Big Joe's
11/7/2016	\$ 25	Guerra Quality Meats
Total	\$ 465	

According to the California Government Code, Section 84104, "It shall be the duty of each candidate, treasurer, political officer, and elected officer to maintain detailed accounts, records, bills, and receipts necessary to prepare campaign statements, to establish that campaign statements were properly filed, and to otherwise comply with the provisions of this chapter." Without the supporting documentation for the expenditures listed, it cannot be determined whether the expenditures are allowable per campaign finance laws. Also, insufficient documentation increases the risk that questionable or illegal expenditures may have occurred without detection.

Conclusion

Notwithstanding the exception noted in observation 2016-01, we conclude that the Committee substantially complied with state and city campaign finance laws as outlined in the objectives and scope section of this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone else.

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP

Walnut Creek, California
December 28, 2017