

## ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Noreen Ambrose	Date:	July 2, 2	2021		
Chair Yvonne Lee	То:	Membe	ers of the Ethics Commission		
VICE-CHAIR	From:	Jeff Pie	rce, Director of Enforcement & Legal Affairs		
Daina Chiu Commissioner	Subject:		OA ITEM 4: Proposed SARP Stipulation, Decision and Order the Matter of Yes on Proposition C – Universal Childcare in San		
Larry Bush Commissioner		Francisco, Norman Yee, and Nancy Warren (SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128).			
JAMES BELL Commissioner	Summary		This memorandum provides information regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation appearing in this agenda item and what the Commission may do next regarding this Proposed Stipulation.		
LEEANN PELHAM Executive Director	Action Requested		The Commission may approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote, or it may provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation.		
	Pursuant to the Commission's Enforcement Regulations, the Executive Director may enter negotiations with a respondent at any time to resolve the factual and legal allegations in a complaint by way of a stipulated order (i.e. a negotiated settlement). Enf. Reg. § 12(A). The Regulations require that the stipulated order set forth the pertinent facts and may include an agreement as to anything that could be ordered by the Commission under its authority pursuant to Charter section C3.699-13. <i>Id</i> .				
	Immediately after the Executive Director enters a stipulated order with a respondent, the Executive Director must inform the Commission of the proposed stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(E). Thereafter, any member of the Commission may request that the stipulated order be reviewed in public session by the full panel of the Commission during its next meeting. <i>Id.</i>				
	order in publ Consent Cale	ic sessio ndar. Th	nissioner had requested review of the attached streamlined stipulated n by the full panel of the Commission. It therefore appears on the ne Commission may approve the stipulation by majority vote, or it may Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation. Enf. Reg.§		
	Members of	the publ	ic may comment on the Proposed SARP Stipulation.		

Ш

1 2	LeeAnn Pelham Executive Director Eric Willett Senior Investigative Analyst	
3 4 5 6 7	San Francisco Ethics Commission 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 252-3100 Telephone (415) 252-3112 Facsimile BEFORE THE	SAN FRANCISCO OMMISSION
8		
9	In the Matter of	) SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>12</li> </ol>	YES ON PROPOSITION C – UNIVERSAL CHILDCARE IN SAN FRANCISCO, NORMAN YEE, AND NANCY WARREN Respondents.	) ) ) ) Streamlined Administrative Resolution Program ) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER )
13		)
14		
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:	
16	1. This Streamlined Administrative Re	solution Program Stipulation, Decision, and Order
17	(Stipulation) is made and entered into by and betw	een Yes on Proposition C – Universal Childcare in San
18 19	Francisco, Norman Yee, and Nancy Warren ("Respo	ondents" collectively) and the San Francisco Ethics
20	Commission (the Commission).	
21	2. Respondents and the Commission	agree to settle and resolve all factual and legal issues
22	in this matter and to reach a final disposition throu	gh the Commission's Streamlined Administrative
23	Resolution Program and without an administrative	hearing. Upon approval of this Stipulation and full
24	performance of the terms outlined in this Stipulation	on, the Commission will take no future action against
25	Respondents, and this Streamlined Stipulation shal	l constitute the complete resolution of all claims by
26	the Commission against Respondents related to the	e violations of law described in Exhibit A.
27   28		1
∠o		

1	Respondents understand and knowingly and voluntarily waive all rights to judicial review of this
2	Streamlined Stipulation and any action taken by the Commission or its staff on this matter.
3	3. Respondents acknowledge responsibility for and agree to pay an administrative penalty
4	as set forth in Exhibit A. Respondents agree that the administrative penalty set forth in Exhibit A is a
5	reasonable administrative penalty.
6	4. Within ten business days of the Commission's approval of this Stipulation, Respondents
7	shall either pay the penalty through the City's online payment portal or otherwise deliver to the
8	following address the sum as set forth in Exhibit A in the form of a check or money order made payable
9	to the "City and County of San Francisco":
10	San Francisco Ethics Commission
11 12	Attn: Enforcement & Legal Affairs Division 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220
12	San Francisco, CA 94102
14	5. If Respondents fail to comply with the terms of this Stipulation, then the Commission
15	may reopen this matter and prosecute Respondents under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco
16	Charter for any available relief.
17	6. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waives, any and all
18	procedural rights under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco Charter and the Commission's
19	Enforcement Regulations with respect to this matter. These include, but are not limited to, the right to
20	appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at
21	Respondents' expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing and to
22	subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing.
23	7. Respondents understand and acknowledge that this Stipulation is not binding on any
24 25	other government agency with the authority to enforce the San Francisco Campaign & Governmental
23 26	Conduct Code section 1.100 <i>et seq.</i> , and does not preclude the Commission or its staff from cooperating
27	
28	2
	SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION and

with or assisting any other government agency in its prosecution of Respondent for any allegations set forth in Exhibit A, or any other matters related to those violations of law set forth in Exhibit A.

8. This Stipulation is subject to the Commission's approval. In the event the Commission declines to approve this Stipulation, the Stipulation shall become null and void, except Paragraph 9, which shall survive.

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9. In the event the Commission rejects this Stipulation, and further administrative proceedings before the Commission are necessary, Respondents agree that the Stipulation and all references to it are inadmissible. Respondents moreover agree not to challenge, dispute, or object to the participation of any member of the Commission or its staff in any necessary administrative proceeding for reasons stemming from his or her prior consideration of this Stipulation.

10. This Stipulation, along with the attached Exhibit A, reflects the entire agreement 12 between the parties hereto and supersedes any and all prior negotiations, understandings, and 13 14 agreements with respect to the transactions contemplated herein. This Stipulation may not be amended 15 orally. Any amendment or modification to this Stipulation must be in writing duly executed by all parties 16 and approved by the Commission at a regular or special meeting.

This Stipulation shall be construed under, and interpreted in accordance with, the laws 11. of the State of California. If any provision of the Stipulation is found to be unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain valid and enforceable.

12. The parties hereto may sign different copies of this Stipulation, which will be deemed to have the same effect as though all parties had signed the same document.

28

		06-28-2021   12:48:58 PDT	DocuSigned by: LeeAnn Pelham	
1	Dated: _		2ACC7A331EF7459	
2			LEEANN PELHAM, EXECUTIVE DIRECT	
3				
4		06-28-2021   11:44:29 PDT	Norman Yu	
5	Dated: _		B876CE8E74604F0	
6			NORMAN YEE, PRINCIPAL OFFICER	
7				
8		06-28-2021   10:34:00 PDT	Nancy Warren	
9	Dated: _		2F4E0BA56738424	
10			NANCY WARREN, TREASURER	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		Complaint No. 1718-128	4	SARP STIPULATION, DEC
			nda Item 4 - Page 005	ORDER

1	DECISION AND ORDER
2	The foregoing Stipulation of the parties in the matter of "Yes on Proposition C – Universal
3	Childcare in San Francisco and Nancy Warren, SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128," including the attached
4	Exhibit A, is hereby accepted as the final Decision and Order of the San Francisco Ethics Commission,
5	effective upon execution below by the Chairperson.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	
9	
10	
11	Dated:
12	NOREEN AMBROSE, CHAIRPERSON SAN FRANCISCO ETHICS COMMISSION
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	5
	SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION and ORDER ORDER

	Exhibit A
1	
2	<b>Description of Respondent:</b> Yes on Proposition C – Universal Childcare San Francisco (the Committee) was a primarily formed ballot measure committee formed to support Proposition C in the June 2018 election. Norman Yee was the Committee's Principal Officer and Nancy Warren was the Committee's Treasurer.
3	
4	
5 6	<b>Description of Violation:</b> The Committee created seven campaign advertisements without proper disclaimers for seven counts in violation of SF C&GCC section 1.161:
7	<ol> <li>The Committee distributed a mailer that failed to identify the Committee's top 3 donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&amp;GCC § 1.161(a).</li> </ol>
8	<ul> <li>2) The Committee distributed a doorhanger that failed to identify the Committee's top 3 donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&amp;GCC § 1.161(a).</li> </ul>
9	<ul> <li>3) The Committee distributed a flyer that failed to identify the Committee's top 3 donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&amp;GCC § 1.161(a).</li> </ul>
10	<ul> <li>4) The Committee's website failed to identify the Committee's top 3 donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&amp;GCC § 1.161(a).</li> </ul>
11	5) The Committee made an English language video that failed to identify the Committee's top 2
12	<ul> <li>donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&amp;GCC § 1.161(a).</li> <li>6) The Committee made a Chinese language video that failed to identify the Committee's top 2</li> </ul>
13	donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&GCC § 1.161(a).
14	7) The Committee made an English language video that failed to include required formatting when identifying the Committee's top 2 donors of \$5,000 or more. SF C&GCC § 1.161(a).
15	
16	Specific Eligibility Requirements: Respondents must sign and return this stipulated agreement within 90
17	days of first contact by the Commission's Enforcement Division.
18	
19	Specific Financial Penalty Modifiers:
20	✓ \$3,500 if signed and returned by July 9, 2021.
21	\$3,500 + 2% of the cost of the advertisements if signed and returned by August 9, 2021.
22	\$7,000 + 3% of the cost of the advertisements if signed and returned by September 7, 2021.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	6 SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128 SFEC Complaint No. 1718-128 OPDER