

# San Francisco Ethics Commission

### October 4, 2021

То:	Members of the Ethics Commission
From:	Eric Willett, Senior Investigator and Streamlined Program Administrator
Subject:	AGENDA ITEM 6: Proposed SARP Stipulation, Decision and Order • In the Matter of Jennifer Stojkovic (SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011).

## Summary

This memorandum provides information regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation appearing in this agenda item and what the Commission may do next regarding this Proposed SARP Stipulation.

## **Action Requested**

The Commission may approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote, or it may provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation.

## **Regulatory Background**

Pursuant to the Commission's Enforcement Regulations, the Executive Director may enter negotiations with a respondent at any time to resolve the factual and legal allegations in a complaint by way of a stipulated order (*i.e.* a negotiated settlement). Enf. Reg. § 12(A). The Regulations require that the stipulated order set forth the pertinent facts and may include an agreement as to anything that could be ordered by the Commission under its authority pursuant to Charter section C3.699-13. *Id.* 

Immediately after the Executive Director enters a stipulated order with a respondent, the Executive Director must inform the Commission of the proposed stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(E). Thereafter, any member of the Commission may request that the stipulated order be reviewed in public session by the full panel of the Commission during its next meeting. *Id.* 

This proposed stipulation was reached pursuant to the Commission's <u>Streamlined Administrative</u> <u>Resolution Program</u>. Here, Commissioner Bush requested that the attached Proposed SARP Stipulation be reviewed in public session by the full panel of the Commission during its next meeting. The Commission may therefore discuss this item, and it may either approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote or provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(F).

Members of the public may comment on the Proposed SARP Stipulation.

1	LeeAnn Pelham					
2	Executive Director Eric Willett Senior Investigative Analyst San Francisco Ethics Commission 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220					
3						
4						
5	San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 252-3100 Telephone (415) 252-3112 Facsimile					
6						
7	BEFORE THE SAN FRANCISCO					
8	ETHICS COMMISSION					
9	In the Matter of	) SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011				
10	JENNIFER STOJKOVIC,	)				
11	Respondent.	<ul><li>Streamlined Administrative Resolution Program</li><li>STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER</li></ul>				
12		)				
13		, )				
14						
15	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:					
16	1. This Streamlined Administrative Re	esolution Program Stipulation, Decision, and Order				
17	(Stipulation) is made and entered into by and between Jennifer Stojkovic (Respondent) and the San					
18	Francisco Ethics Commission (the Commission).					
19	2. Respondent and the Commission a	agrees to settle and resolve all factual and legal issues				
20	in this matter and to reach a final disposition throu	ugh the Commission's Streamlined Administrative				
21	Resolution Program and without an administrative	hearing. Upon approval of this Stipulation and full				
22	performance of the terms outlined in this Stipulati	on, the Commission will take no future action against				
23	Respondent, and this Streamlined Stipulation shall	constitute the complete resolution of all claims by				
24		e violations of law described in Exhibit A. Respondent				
25	understands and knowingly and voluntarily waives					
26	Stipulation and any action taken by the Commissio					
27		1				
28	SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011	SARP STIPULATION, DECISION ar				

3. Respondent acknowledges responsibility for and agree to pay an administrative penalty 1 as set forth in Exhibit A. Respondent agrees that the administrative penalty set forth in Exhibit A is a 2 reasonable administrative penalty. 3 4 4. Within ten business days of the Commission's approval of this Stipulation, Respondent 5 shall either pay the penalty through the City's online payment portal or otherwise deliver to the 6 following address the sum as set forth in Exhibit A in the form of a check or money order made payable 7 to the "City and County of San Francisco": 8 San Francisco Ethics Commission 9 Attn: Enforcement & Legal Affairs Division 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 10 San Francisco, CA 94102 11 5. If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Stipulation, then the Commission 12 may reopen this matter and prosecute Respondent under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco 13 Charter for any available relief. 14 6. Respondent understands, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waives, any and all 15 procedural rights under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco Charter and the Commission's 16 Enforcement Regulations with respect to this matter. These include, but are not limited to, the right to 17 18 appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at 19 Respondent's expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing and to 20 subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing. 21 7. Respondent understands and acknowledges that this Stipulation is not binding on any 22 other government agency with the authority to enforce the San Francisco Campaign & Governmental 23 Conduct Code section 1.100 et seq., and does not preclude the Commission or its staff from cooperating 24 with or assisting any other government agency in its prosecution of Respondent for any allegations set 25 forth in Exhibit A, or any other matters related to those violations of law set forth in Exhibit A. 26 27

28

8. This Stipulation is subject to the Commission's approval. In the event the Commission
declines to approve this Stipulation, the Stipulation shall become null and void, except Paragraph 9,
which shall survive.

9. In the event the Commission rejects this Stipulation, and further administrative proceedings before the Commission are necessary, Respondent agrees that the Stipulation and all references to it are inadmissible. Respondent moreover agrees not to challenge, dispute, or object to the participation of any member of the Commission or its staff in any necessary administrative proceeding for reasons stemming from his or her prior consideration of this Stipulation.

10. This Stipulation, along with the attached Exhibit A, reflects the entire agreement
between the parties hereto and supersedes any and all prior negotiations, understandings, and
agreements with respect to the transactions contemplated herein. This Stipulation may not be amended
orally. Any amendment or modification to this Stipulation must be in writing duly executed by all parties
and approved by the Commission at a regular or special meeting.

11. This Stipulation shall be construed under, and interpreted in accordance with, the laws of the State of California. If any provision of the Stipulation is found to be unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain valid and enforceable.

12. The parties hereto may sign different copies of this Stipulation, which will be deemed to have the same effect as though all parties had signed the same document.

4

5

6

7

8

9

		09-27-2021   13:21:14 PDT	DocuSigned by: LeeAnn Pelbam	
1	Dated:		2ACC7A331EF7459	
2			LEEANN PELHAM, EXECUTIVE DIRECT SAN FRANCISCO ETHICS COMMISSION	
3				
4		09-22-2021   14:18:11 PDT	Docusigned by: Junifer Stopkovic EA4AAD3592634F7	
5	Dated:		EA4AAD3592634F7	
6			Jennifer Stojkovic	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			4	
	SFEC	C Complaint No. 1920-011	Agenda Item 6 - Page 005	SARP STIPULATION, DEC

1	DECISION AND ORDER		
2	The foregoing Stipulation of the parties in the matter of "Jennifer Stojkovic, SFEC Complaint No.		
3	1920-011," including the attached Exhibit A, is hereby accepted as the final Decision and Order of the		
4	San Francisco Ethics Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chairperson.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	TT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9			
10	Dated:		
11	Yvonne Lee, Acting Chairperson San Francisco Ethics Commission		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20 27			
27	5		
20	SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION and ORDER ORDER		
	Agenua item 0 - Fage 000		

	Exhibit A
1	Description of Description (to the factor is in the Executive Director et of sitiland has used a lable sit
2	<b>Description of Respondent:</b> Jennifer Stojkovic is the Executive Director at sf.citi and has made lobbyist contacts for her employer since at least July 2017.
3	
4	
5	
6	<b>Description of Violation:</b> Since she qualified as a contact lobbyist in January of 2018, Jennifer Stojkovic has made 40 lobbyist contacts on behalf of her employer, sf.citi and has failed to disclose one contact
	that she made for her employer and the compensation that she received from her employer for her
7	lobbying activity in violation of SF C&GCC section 2.110(c).
8	
9	
10	<b>Specific Eligibility Requirements:</b> Jennifer Stojkovic must file 22 amended monthly lobbyist reports and disclose one previously unreported contact that she made for her employer and the \$2,871 in total
11	compensation that she received from her employer on the following reports:
12	1) May 2021
13	2) February 2021 3) October 2020
	4) September 2020
14	5) June 2020
15	6) May 2020 7) January 2020
16	8) October 2019
17	9) September 2019
17	10) June 2019 11) May 2019
18	12) March 2019
10	13) February 2019
19	14) January 2019
20	15) November 2019 16) October 2018
21	17) September 2018
<u> </u>	18) June 2018
22	19) May 2018
22	20) April 2018
23	21) March 2018
24	22) January 2018
25	and then Jennifer Stojkovic must sign and return this stipulated agreement within 90 days of contact by the Commission's Enforcement Division.
26	
27	
	6
28	SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION a ORDER

	Spe	ecific Financial Penalty Modifiers:
1		
2		\$931 if corrective action is taken and the stipulation is signed and returned by September 27, 2021
3		\$1,418 if corrective action is taken and the stipulation is signed and returned by October 27, 2021
4		\$1,905 if corrective action is taken and the stipulation is signed and returned by November 26, 2021
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-	7 SFEC Complaint No. 1920-011 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION and
		Agenda Item 6 - Page 008 ORDER