

San Francisco Ethics Commission

October 4, 2021

 To:
 Members of the Ethics Commission

 From:
 Eric Willett, Senior Investigator and Streamlined Program Administrator

 Subject:
 AGENDA ITEM 7: Proposed SARP Stipulation, Decision and Order

 •
 In the Matter of No Plan, No Accountability, No on Prop C, Sponsored by the San

Francisco Chamber of Commerce and Andrew Sinn (SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042).

Summary

This memorandum provides information regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation appearing in this agenda item and what the Commission may do next regarding this Proposed SARP Stipulation.

Action Requested

The Commission may approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote, or it may provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation.

Regulatory Background

Pursuant to the Commission's Enforcement Regulations, the Executive Director may enter negotiations with a respondent at any time to resolve the factual and legal allegations in a complaint by way of a stipulated order (*i.e.* a negotiated settlement). Enf. Reg. § 12(A). The Regulations require that the stipulated order set forth the pertinent facts and may include an agreement as to anything that could be ordered by the Commission under its authority pursuant to Charter section C3.699-13. *Id.*

Immediately after the Executive Director enters a stipulated order with a respondent, the Executive Director must inform the Commission of the proposed stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(E). Thereafter, any member of the Commission may request that the stipulated order be reviewed in public session by the full panel of the Commission during its next meeting. *Id*.

This proposed stipulation was reached pursuant to the Commission's <u>Streamlined Administrative</u> <u>Resolution Program</u>. Here, Commissioner Bush requested that the attached Proposed SARP Stipulation be reviewed in public session by the full panel of the Commission during its next meeting. The Commission may therefore discuss this item, and it may either approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote or provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(F).

Members of the public may comment on the Proposed SARP Stipulation.

L

1	LeeAnn Pelham Executive Director Eric Willett		
3	Senior Investigative Analyst		
4	San Francisco Ethics Commission 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220		
	San Francisco, CA 94102		
5	(415) 252-3100 Telephone (415) 252-3112 Facsimile		
6	BEFORE THE	SAN FRANCISCO	
7	ETHICS COMMISSION		
8			
9	In the Matter of) SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042	
10	NO PLAN, NO ACCOUNTABILITY, NO ON PROP C, SPONSORED BY THE SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER)	
11	OF COMMERCE AND ANDREW SINN.	 Streamlined Administrative Resolution Program STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER 	
12	Respondents.)	
13)	
14)	
15)	
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
17	,]		
18			
19	(Stipulation) is made and entered into by and between No Plan, No Accountability, No on Prop C,		
20	sponsored by the San Francisco Chamber of Comm	erce and Andrew Sinn ("Respondents" collectively)	
21	and the San Francisco Ethics Commission (the Com	mission).	
22	2. Respondents and the Commission	agree to settle and resolve all factual and legal issues	
23	in this matter and to reach a final disposition throu	gh the Commission's Streamlined Administrative	
24	Resolution Program and without an administrative	hearing. Upon approval of this Stipulation and full	
25	performance of the terms outlined in this Stipulation	on, the Commission will take no future action against	
26	Respondents, and this Streamlined Stipulation shall constitute the complete resolution of all claims by		
27			
28	SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042	1 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION	

1	the Commission against Respondents related to the violations of law described in Exhibit A.			
2	Respondents understand and knowingly and voluntarily waive all rights to judicial review of this			
3	Streamlined Stipulation and any action taken by the Commission or its staff on this matter.			
4	3. Respondents acknowledge responsibility for and agree to pay an administrative penalty			
5	as set forth in Exhibit A. Respondents agree that the administrative penalty set forth in Exhibit A is a			
6	reasonable administrative penalty.			
7	4. Within ten business days of the Commission's approval of this Stipulation, Respondents			
8	shall either pay the penalty through the City's online payment portal or otherwise deliver to the			
9 10	following address the sum as set forth in Exhibit A in the form of a check or money order made payable			
10	to the "City and County of San Francisco":			
12	San Francisco Ethics Commission			
13	Attn: Enforcement & Legal Affairs Division 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220			
14	San Francisco, CA 94102			
15	5. If Respondents fail to comply with the terms of this Stipulation, then the Commission			
16	may reopen this matter and prosecute Respondents under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco			
17	Charter for any available relief.			
18	6. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all			
19	procedural rights under Section C3.699-13 of the San Francisco Charter and the Commission's			
20	Enforcement Regulations with respect to this matter. These include, but are not limited to, the right to			
21	appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at			
22	Respondents' expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing and to			
23 24	subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing.			
24	7. Respondents understand and acknowledge that this Stipulation is not binding on any			
26	other government agency with the authority to enforce the San Francisco Campaign & Governmental			
27	Conduct Code section 1.100 <i>et seq.</i> , and does not preclude the Commission or its staff from cooperating			
28	2			
	SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION a ORDER			

with or assisting any other government agency in its prosecution of Respondent for any allegations set forth in Exhibit A, or any other matters related to those violations of law set forth in Exhibit A.

8. This Stipulation is subject to the Commission's approval. In the event the Commission declines to approve this Stipulation, the Stipulation shall become null and void, except Paragraph 9, which shall survive.

6 || 7 ||

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9. In the event the Commission rejects this Stipulation, and further administrative proceedings before the Commission are necessary, Respondents agree that the Stipulation and all references to it are inadmissible. Respondents moreover agree not to challenge, dispute, or object to the participation of any member of the Commission or its staff in any necessary administrative proceeding for reasons stemming from his or her prior consideration of this Stipulation.

10. This Stipulation, along with the attached Exhibit A, reflects the entire agreement between the parties hereto and supersedes any and all prior negotiations, understandings, and agreements with respect to the transactions contemplated herein. This Stipulation may not be amended orally. Any amendment or modification to this Stipulation must be in writing duly executed by all parties and approved by the Commission at a regular or special meeting.

11. This Stipulation shall be construed under, and interpreted in accordance with, the laws of the State of California. If any provision of the Stipulation is found to be unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain valid and enforceable.

12. The parties hereto may sign different copies of this Stipulation, which will be deemed to have the same effect as though all parties had signed the same document.

28

l

		DocuSigned by:	
1	09-28-2021 04:49:04 PDT Dated:	LucAnn Pelham 2ACC7A331EF7459	
2		LEEANN PELHAM, DEPUTY DIRECTOR	
3		San Francisco Ethics Commission	
4			
5	09-27-2021 19:12:48 EDT Dated:	Indrew Sinn 08B6BC6D3ACD492	
6 7		Andrew Sinn, individually and on beh Accountability, No on Prop C, sponse	
8		FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28	SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042	4\$4	ARP STIPULATION, DECISION and
			RDER

1	DECISION AND ORDER		
2	The foregoing Stipulation of the parties in the matter of "No Plan, No Accountability, No on Prop		
3	C, Sponsored by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and Andrew Sinn, SFEC Complaint No. 2021-		
4	042," including the attached Exhibit A, is hereby accepted as the final Decision and Order of the San		
5	Francisco Ethics Commission, effective upon execution below by the Chairperson.		
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9			
10	Dated:		
11	Yvonne Lee, Acting Chairperson		
12	SAN FRANCISCO ETHICS COMMISSION		
13 14			
14			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28	5		
20	SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION and Agenda Item 7 - Page 006 ORDER		

1

Exhibit A

1				
2	Description of Respondent: No Plan, No Accountability, No on Prop C, Sponsored by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, FPPC Identification Number 1408152 ("the Committee") was a primarily-formed			
3	committee to oppose a ballot measure for "additional tax on gross receipts of business to fund			
4	homeless services: TBD", later designated as Measure C, set to appear on the November 6, 2018, San Francisco ballot. Following the July 2018 designation of ballot measuring lettering by the San Francisco			
5	Department of Elections, the Committee filed an amended Form 410 in September 2018 to re-name the Committee as "No Plan, No Accountability, No on Prop C, Sponsored by the San Francisco Chamber of			
6	Commerce." Following the 2018 City election, the Committee was selected for audit for the period from January 1 through December 31, 2018, and Auditors determined that the Committee reported			
7	\$1,968,882 in expenditures.			
8				
9				
10	Description of Violation: Based on the Commission's audit of the Committee, Investigators concluded that there was one finding with respect to the Committee:			
11	1) The Committee failed to include the required language in a disclaimer notice, "Who Funded this Ad"			
12	as a hyperlink in a committee communication sent by text message, entitled "E-Day Text Message" and produced on November 5, 2018. SF C&GCC § 1.161(a).			
13				
14				
15	Specific Eligibility Requirements: Respondents must sign and return this stipulated agreement within 90			
16	days of contact by the Commission's Enforcement Division.			
17				
18	Specific Financial Penalty Modifiers:			
19	✓ \$500 if the stipulation is signed and returned by September 27, 2021.			
20	 \$670 if the stipulation is signed and returned by October 27, 2021. 			
21				
22	\$1,255 if the stipulation is signed and returned by November 26, 2021.			
23				
24				
25 26				
26				
27	6			
28	SFEC Complaint No. 2021-042 SARP STIPULATION, DECISION ar			