

Attachment 3

Ethics Commission Draft Ballot Argument For Ethics Proposition on March 2024 Ballot	Words Per Line:
Proposition TBD was placed on the ballot by a unanimous vote of the San Francisco Ethics Commission in response to recent investigations into corruption on the part of City officials and those doing business with the City. The Ethics Commission voted to place Proposition TBD on the ballot to address issues identified through these investigations, provide more consistent rules across City departments, and to promote government decisions that are, and appear to be, made on a fair and impartial basis.	12 6 12 10 9 10 13 2 T = 72
Gifts and Bribery: Proposition TBD would clarify and expand an existing rule that prohibits City officials from accepting gifts from certain restricted sources, such as people who have attempted to influence them and those doing business with their department. Proposition TBD would also clarify and expand the City's anti-bribery rule and require department heads to disclose gifts given to City departments.	10 10 10 9 12 9 T = 60
Ethics Training: Proposition TBD would require annual ethics training for all City officers and employees who participate in making governmental decisions and are required to disclose their financial interests.	9 10 9 T = 28
Incompatible Activities: Proposition TBD would provide standardized rules for all City officials prohibiting outside activities that are inconsistent, incompatible, or in conflict with their City duties. This includes activities that are subject to their department's control and the misuse of City resources or official positions for private gain.	6 10 10 11 10 T = 47
Disclosure of Relationships: Proposition TBD would allow for penalties to be imposed on City officials who do not disclose, as required by law, any personal, professional, or business relationships they have with anyone who is the subject of a government decision being made by the official.	8 14 9 13 1 T = 45
Safeguarding Ethics Laws: Proposition TBD would amend several chapters of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to require any future legislative amendments be approved by supermajorities of both the Ethics Commission and the Board of Supervisors. The power of the voters to amend these chapters would not be affected.	7 6 9 9 10 T = 41
<i>San Francisco Ethics Commission</i>	1
Total: 72+60+28+47+45+41+1 =	294

Word Limit and Word Count Rules

CAEC §9(a); SFMEC §§550, 575

Official Proponent Arguments and Opponent Arguments may not exceed 300 words, Rebuttal Arguments are limited to 250 words. Paid Ballot Arguments may not exceed 300 words. The Department uses the following guidelines for counting words. The decision of the Director of Elections concerning word count is final. Argument authors are encouraged to consult the Department regarding word count prior to submission.

Examples	Number of Words
Title of document, signature	n/a
Punctuation	n/a
Abbreviations or acronyms (SFSU, PTA, SFPD, U.S.M.C.)	1
Proper nouns, including geographical names (San Francisco, San Franciscans, Haight Ashbury)	1
Dates, whether numbers or a combination of words and numbers (3/5/2024 or March 5, 2024)	1
Numbers consisting of one or more digits (1,000,000), percentages (12%), fractions ($\frac{1}{2}$)	1
Numbers that are spelled out (one hundred)	Varies; count each word
Characters used in place of a word or number (&, #)	1
Phone numbers or internet addresses	1
True Source of Funds	n/a