



# San Francisco Ethics Commission

25 Van Ness Avenue, STE 220  
San Francisco, CA 94102-6053  
ethics.commission@sfgov.org  
415-252-3100 | sfethics.org

January 13, 2025

To: Members of the Ethics Commission

From: J. Olabisi Matthews, Director of Enforcement

Subject: **AGENDA ITEM 8: Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Proposed SARP Stipulation, Decision and Order In the Julio Ramos and Julio Ramos for College Board 2024**

---

## Summary

This memorandum provides information regarding the Proposed SARP Stipulation appearing in this agenda item and what the Commission may do next regarding this Proposed Stipulation.

## Action Requested

The Commission may approve the Proposed SARP Stipulation by majority vote, or it may provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed Stipulation.

## Regulatory Background

Pursuant to the Commission's Enforcement Regulations, the Executive Director may enter negotiations with a respondent at any time to resolve the factual and legal allegations in a complaint by way of a stipulated order (*i.e.* a negotiated settlement). Enf. Reg. § 12(A). The Regulations require that the stipulated order set forth the pertinent facts and may include an agreement as to anything that could be ordered by the Commission under its authority pursuant to Charter section C3.699-13. *Id.*

Immediately after the Executive Director enters a stipulated order with a respondent, the Executive Director must inform the Commission of the proposed stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(E).

Per the request of the Commission that all Proposed Stipulations be placed on the Regular Calendar for possible discussion, this Stipulation appears on the Regular Calendar for possible discussion and action. The Commission may approve the stipulation by majority vote, or it may provide guidance to Commission Staff regarding the Proposed Stipulation. Enf. Reg. § 12(F).