

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

Tel: (415) 554-7724
Fax: (415) 554-5163
TTD/TTY: (415) 554-5227



City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

May 5, 2026

Olabisi Matthews, Director of Enforcement
bisi.matthews@sfgov.org
Ethics Commission
City and County of San Francisco
25 Van Ness Ave., Ste. 220
San Francisco, CA 94102

Sent Via Email

RE: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Enforcement Referral [Administrative Code Section 67.35(d)] – Complaint File #25088

Dear Director Matthews,

Please accept this letter as an official referral for enforcement proceedings under San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.35(d) against the Office of the Mayor for its failure to comply with an order issued by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (“SOTF”) related to SOTF Complaint File #25088.

At its April 1, 2026 Regular Meeting, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force approved the following motion:

Action: Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Sugarman, to find that the Office of the Mayor failed to fully comply with the SOTF Order of Determination and refers the matter to the Ethics Commission under Administrative Code, Section 67.35.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 – Yankee, Sugarman, LaHood, Kumar, Anderson, Hyland, Wolfe, Stein

Noes: 1 – Sangirardi

Absent: 2 – Schmidt, Pilpel

Timeline of Events

1. On **October 24, 2025**, Rick Sanders (Petitioner) submitted a public records request to the Office of the Mayor requesting:

“... all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor’s office (or other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor’s office (or between the Mayor’s office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor’s office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor’s executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct 22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor’s statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).
- Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding

- *Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor’s office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).*
- *Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.*

*Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025.***”

2. On **October 29, 2025**, the Office of the Mayor responded to the Petitioner’s public records request but **did not** provide any records. The response stated, “*We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.*”

Later that same day, Mr. Sanders filed a complaint petition with both the Supervisor of Records (under Administrative Code Section 67.21(d)) and the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (under Administrative Code Section 67.21(e)) claiming that the Office of the Mayor failed to respond to the public records request in a “timely or complete manner.”

3. Acting in its capacity to hold hearings on complaints to review jurisdiction and the merits of complaints in order to provide recommendations to the Full Task Force, the SOTF's Complaint Committee heard File #25088 at its **December 16, 2025** Regular Meeting and approved the following motion:

Action: Moved by Chair Schmidt, seconded by Member Sugarman, to find that the Task Force has jurisdiction, that the requested records are public, and refer the matter to the full Task Force with a recommendation that the Office of the Mayor violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.21, by applying inappropriate standards for withholding privileged documents and that Respondent be order to apply the correct standards in a second attempt to respond and to produce the documents.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 – Schmidt, Sugarman, Stein

Noes: 0 – None

Absent: 0 – None

4. The Sunshine Ordinance Task Force conducted a full hearing on File #25088 at its **January 7, 2026** Regular Meeting, which was attended by both Rick Sanders (Petitioner) and Dexter Darmali (Respondent, Office of the Mayor). Throughout the discussion, SOTF Members expressed their concerns that responsive records very likely existed which were not subject to the attorney-client privilege exemption, but they were not provided to the Petitioner. For example, SOTF Members noted that a copy of the electronic telephone call log and communications between the Office of the Mayor and other City officials planning the Mayor’s October 23, 2025 press conference were not provided. After substantial deliberations, the SOTF approved the following motion:

Action: Moved by Member Sugarman, seconded by Member Kumar, to find that the Office of the Mayor violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.21(b), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner, and 67.21(c), by failing to assist a

requester in identifying the records or information available, order Respondent to apply the correct standards in a second attempt at a response and to produce the records, and refer the matter to a Committee for compliance.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 9 – Sugarman, Kumar, Schmidt, Anderson, Hyland, Pilpel, Wolfe, Stein, Yankee

Noes: 0 – None

Absent: 1 – LaHood

5. Despite previously claiming that non-exempt responsive records did not exist, on **January 26, 2026**, Mr. Darmali, on behalf of the Office of the Mayor, provided copies of text messages between the Office of the Mayor and various City officials coordinating the Mayor’s October 23, 2025 press conference related to the potential deployment of federal agents/troops to San Francisco. These records were clearly responsive to part #2 of the Petitioner’s original public records request.
6. The SOTF's Education, Outreach and Training Committee conducted a follow up hearing on File #25088 at its **January 27, 2026** Regular Meeting to monitor compliance with the order issued by the SOTF, and the Committee continued the matter to the call of the Chair.
7. The Sunshine Ordinance Task Force approved its written Order of Determination for File #25088 at its **February 4, 2026** Regular Meeting.
8. Also on **February 4, 2026**, Mr. Darmali provided what he considered “*additional texts on the thread outside of the responsive documents as previously provided on 1/26/26.*” Mr. Darmali further stated that, “[t]he Office of the Mayor has thoroughly conducted its search and now considers this item closed.”
9. The Sunshine Ordinance Task Force conducted a follow-up compliance hearing on File #25088 at its **April 1, 2026** Regular Meeting, which was again attended by both Rick Sanders (Petitioner) and Dexter Darmali (Respondent, Office of the Mayor). At the hearing, many SOTF Members reiterated their concerns that additional responsive records very likely existed but still had not been provided to the Petitioner. In particular, SOTF Members asked Mr. Darmali about the electronic call log of the telephone conversation between Mayor Lurie and President Trump on October 22, 2025. Mr. Darmali testified that the telephone conversation occurred on Mayor Lurie’s personal cell phone, but he did not adequately explain why a copy of the call log had not been provided. Nearly every cell phone has records of each incoming and outgoing call, and if those records somehow go missing, related records should be available from the cellular service provider. Furthermore, even though the telephone conversation occurred on a personal cell phone of the Mayor, the electronic record of it is still considered to be public, and it must be preserved and provided accordingly. (See Attachment: City Attorney’s Memorandum dated March 24, 2017 regarding the “New Supreme Court Case: Public Records on Personal Devices”)


Analysis & Conclusion

Section 67.21(e) of the San Francisco Administrative Code (“Sunshine Ordinance”) empowers the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force to conduct hearings concerning denials of public records when petitioned

by a member of the public. Additionally, Section 67.21(e) requires that, “[u]pon the determination that the record is public, the Sunshine Task Force shall immediately order the custodian of the public record to comply with the person's request.” Regarding File #25088, the SOTF held such a hearing and issued an order pursuant to the Sunshine Ordinance.

Section 67.35(d) of the San Francisco Administrative Code states that, “Any person may institute proceedings for enforcement and penalties under this act in any court of competent jurisdiction or before the Ethics Commission if enforcement action is not taken by a City or State official 40 days after a complaint is filed.” Well over 40 days have passed since the complaint (File #25088) was filed and the SOTF issued an order. Based on the evidence and testimony outlined above, a majority of SOTF Members continue to believe additional responsive records very likely exist. However, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, unlike the Ethics Commission, does not possess subpoena powers. Therefore, the SOTF respectfully requests that the Ethics Commission investigate the matter under their authority found in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, Article XV, Section 15.100 and enforce the SOTF’s order in accordance with Section 10B of its Enforcement Regulations.

Sincerely,



Matt Yankee
Chair, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Attachment

Complaint File #25088

https://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/sites/default/files/sotf_040126_item16.pdf

Order of Determination – File #25088

https://media.api.sfgov.org/documents/SOTF_ORDER_25088.pdf

SOTF and Committee Audio Archive

<https://sfgov.org/sunshine/audio-archive-full-sotf>

<https://sfgov.org/sunshine/audio-archive-committees>

Meeting Minutes

https://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/meeting/2025/minutes/complaint_121625_minutes

https://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/meeting/2026/minutes/sotf_010726_minutes

https://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/meeting/2026/minutes/eotc_012726_minutes

https://www.sf.gov/documents/55041/sotf_040126_minutes.pdf

CC: Rick Sanders, Petitioner for Complaint File #25088
Dexter Darmali, Office of the Mayor, Respondent
Daniel Lurie, Mayor
Patrick Ford, Executive Director, Ethics Commission
Patricia Petersen, SOTF Administrator
Alisa Somera, Deputy Director, Legislative Services
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Members, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

File No. 25088

Item No. 16

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE AGENDA PACKET CONTENT LIST

Committee: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Date: 4/1/2026

- Order of Determination Page: 2
- Initial Request Page: _____
- Initial Response Page: _____
- Complaint Summary Page: _____
- Complaint Page: _____
- Complainant's Supporting Documents Page: 5
- Respondent's Supporting Documents Page: 66
- Other Documents *(Notice of Hearing, Communications, etc.)* Page: 124
- Public Correspondence Page: _____

OTHER

- [Agenda Packet – Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on January 7, 2026](#) Page: _____
- _____ Page: _____
- _____ Page: _____
- _____ Page: _____
- _____ Page: _____

** An asterisked item indicates a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file and available on a disk.*

Completed By: Patricia H. Petersen (3/26/2026)

Order of Determination

**SUNSHINE ORDINANCE
TASK FORCE**



City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. (415) 554-7854
TTD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

DATE DECISION ISSUED: 1/7/2026

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rick Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

HEARING AND ACTION ON THE COMPLAINT

On 12/16/2025, the Complaint Committee, acting in its capacity to hear petitions/complaints, heard the matter.

Action: Moved by Chair Schmidt, seconded by Member Sugarman, to find that the Task Force has jurisdiction, that the requested records are public, and refer the matter to the full Task Force with a recommendation that the Office of the Mayor violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.21, by applying inappropriate standards for withholding privileged documents and that Respondent be order to apply the correct standards in a second attempt to respond and to produce the documents.

The motion **PASSED** by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 – Schmidt, Sugarman, Stein

Noes: 0 – None

Absent: 0 – None

On 1/7/2026, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force held a hearing to review the recommendation from the Committee and/or review the merits of the petition/complaint.

Action: Moved by Member Sugarman, seconded by Member Kumar, to find that the Office of the Mayor violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.21(b), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner, and 67.21(c), by failing to assist a requester in identifying the records or information available, order Respondent to apply the correct standards in a second attempt at a response and to produce the records, and refer the matter to a Committee for compliance

The motion **PASSED** by the following vote:

Ayes: 9 – Sugarman, Kumar, Schmidt, Anderson, Hyland, Pilpel, Wolfe, Stein, Yankee

Noes: 0 - None

Absent: 1 - LaHood

This Order of Determination was approved
by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
on: 2/4/2026

###

Complainant's Supporting Documents

From: [Rick Sanders](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Matthew Yankee \(myankee.sotf@gmail.com\)](#)
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:34:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Hi can you please let me know the next hearing date for File 25088? The mayor's office has not properly complied.

Thanks.

On Mon, Jan 12, 2026 at 4:52 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello, Mr. Sanders –

We anticipate agendaing **File 25088** at the 1/27/2026 Regular Meeting of the Education, Outreach, and Training Committee (EOTC) for compliance. The Office of the Mayor will be expected to apply the correct standards for reviewing your records request, undertake a second attempt to respond, and to produce any responsive records.

Your follow-on questions raised in Item #3 may be addressed to the EOTC on 1/27/2026.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Rick Sanders <sfprecs0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 9:08 PM
To: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Matthew Yankee (myankee.sotf@gmail.com) <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)

Hi folks, thank you for your attention to matter tonight, I greatly appreciate it. Could you please expand on what the next course of action is for File 25088? I understand it will be referred to committee but I have follow up questions:

- 1) What committee will it be referred to and when will it be taken up there?
- 2) What will happen once the committee takes it up? Will the committee follow up with the mayor to comply with the order to produce the documents?
- 3) At what point would the failure to comply be considered willful and thus subject to referral to the ethics commission? When Mayor Breed was found to have deleted text messages the task force stated that the petitioner (I'm paraphrasing but this is almost an exact quote) "is not going to get this (the record). I think we need to refer this to ethics. I don't think the city attorney's office is going to change." If Mayor Lurie follows suit and fails to provide the phone record for example, will the SOTF consider sending this to ethics? I would very much urge you to as I do not believe the city will change unless it faces enforcement.

Thank you

On Tue, Dec 30, 2025 at 3:13 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Received and noted, Mr. Sanders ... thank you.

-P

From: Rick Sanders <sfdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2025 3:00 PM
To: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Matthew Yankee (myankee.sotf@gmail.com) <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi I'd like to include this [Mission Local article](#) below in the case documentation. It cites several First Amendment experts who disagree with the Mayor's arguments in this SOTF case, including Karl Olson, a longtime public records attorney who has argued in critical public records court cases including California Supreme Court in City of San Jose v. Superior Court. About Mayor Lurie's failure to disclose the Trump call records, Olson states "I just really don't see any basis for claiming attorney-client privilege."

Also this:

"If there are any communications between the mayor's office and any one else in the federal government, that is not attorney-client privilege," added David Loy, an attorney and the legal director of the First Amendment Coalition.

<https://missionlocal.org/2025/12/sf-daniel-lurie-donald-trump-ice-call/>


Mayor Lurie broke law by keeping Trump call records secret, S.F. committee says

Must Lurie release details of how he sweet-talked Trump out of invading San Francisco?



by [JOE RIVANO BARROS](#) December 18, 2025, 4:00 am

-




Mayor

Daniel Lurie attends a San Francisco Board of Supervisors meeting on Oct. 21, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

Mayor Daniel Lurie’s office has violated California public-records law by refusing to release information about the [mayor’s October call with President Donald Trump](#), a committee of city public-records commissioners ruled on Tuesday. The pivotal conversation between the two men preceded the president cancelling a [planned “surge”](#) of immigration agents to the Bay Area.

A three-person committee of the city’s [Sunshine Ordinance Task Force](#), the body that monitors city officials’ compliance with public-records laws, said Tuesday that Lurie’s office had broken the California Public Records Act by claiming records related to the Oct. 22 call, including any logs, transcripts, and notes, are exempt under “attorney-client privilege.”

While it was possible that some records related to the call were exempt, the committee concluded, it was unlikely that all of them were.



Want the latest on the Mission and San Francisco? Sign up for our **free daily newsletter** below.


Sign up

The committee ruled unanimously that the mayor’s office applied “inappropriate standards for withholding privileged documents,” said Dean Schmidt, an attorney and chair of the committee. It recommended that the full task force take up the issue and order Lurie to “produce all non-privileged documents” related to the phone call.

Lurie’s office denies it violated the law and says the city attorney’s office has weighed in and cleared the exemption.

Lurie and Trump spoke on the phone the evening of Wednesday, Oct. 22, after a coterie of billionaires [reportedly](#) facilitated a detente when Trump [promised](#) a Bay Area-wide immigration enforcement blitz.

Though the content of the call was widely reported, the sources of information for that reporting have been people within the mayor’s office. Supervisor Jackie Fielder and others have [publicly expressed](#) concern that not everything discussed between Lurie and Trump has been disclosed.



Rev. Jorge Bautista outside Coast Guard Island in Oakland after he was shot by a chemical weapon on Oct. 23, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia

Lurie has said no deals were struck with the White House. But it remains unclear why San Francisco has been the only city to get a reprieve after a Trump order to send in immigration agents and the National Guard.

The committee's ruling came in response to a [complaint](#) lodged against Lurie on Oct. 29 by Hazel Williams, a community organizer and frequent filer of public-records requests.

Five days before filing the complaint and just two days after Lurie's call, Williams had asked for "all records related to the mayor's phone call with the President this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco."

Williams' public-records request was broad. It sought "phone-call records" like audio recordings and transcripts, emails, text messages, "records of the planned federal deployment," "documentation of the decision to call off the deployment," and "any agreements or understanding" related to the call.

Under California law, communiques like those between Lurie and Trump are generally considered public records. They can be obtained by anyone seeking them.

But Lurie's office fought Williams' request. It claimed on Oct. 29 that the records sought were protected from disclosure under attorney-client privilege because city lawyers were "included" in the documents.



Protesters rallying against the federal deployment on Coast Guard Island on Oct. 23, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

“Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege,” wrote Dexter Darmali, a legislative and ethics secretary for Lurie.


Several First Amendment experts were skeptical of Lurie’s reasoning. [California law](#) only exempts records involving attorneys from disclosure if they involve confidential communication, disciplinary proceedings, and certain kinds of work-product. It is unclear how city attorneys were involved in the records and whether the claimed exemption would pass legal muster.

“I’ve dealt with some pretty bogus assertions of attorney-client privilege in my long career,” said Karl Olson, a longtime public records attorney. “I just really don’t see any basis for claiming attorney-client privilege.”

“If there are any communications between the mayor’s office and any one else in the federal government, that is not attorney-client privilege,” added David Loy, an attorney and the legal director of the [First Amendment Coalition](#).

Attorney-client privilege is a very narrow exemption under the California Public Records Act, the experts said. For example: if Lurie sought legal advice from a city attorney before or after the call, that would be considered confidential. Any other call records, including who was present for the phone call and what was said or promised, should be public, they said.

The call between Lurie and Trump, Olson said, was a “matter of great public importance, and the Public Records Act has to be construed broadly, and exemptions have to be construed narrowly.” The mayor’s assertion of secrecy, he continued, appeared to be a “knee-jerk attempt” to keep potentially “sensitive” information private.



Federal police arresting protesters outside the immigration courthouse at 630 Sansome St. on Dec. 16, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

Documents related to the call are public even if “an attorney [was] listening in on the call,” Loy said. “It has to be a confidential communication between an attorney and client,” he said, for the document to be exempt.

Williams used a similar argument in an email exchange with a mayoral staffer seven minutes after being told their request was denied. “The request is for records between the Mayor and the President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship,” Williams wrote to Darmali. “The president is not the mayor’s attorney. The mayor is not the president’s attorney.”

Williams then launched a formal complaint with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force. The city attorney’s office has “determined that the Mayor’s office did not improperly withhold records,” mayoral staffer Darmali wrote.

The Sunshine committee disagreed. It now goes to the task force’s 10 voting members. If they vote in support of Williams, the matter could be brought before the ethics commission, though that body’s power is [limited](#).

It’s not the first time the group, which is composed of different First Amendment and press freedom experts, has censured a sitting mayor.

Last year, the Sunshine Task Force [ruled unanimously](#) that Mayor London Breed and City Attorney David Chiu violated state and local law by [deleting text messages](#) that dealt with city business. That practice was also uncovered after Williams filed a complaint.

So far, Breed and Chiu appear to have [eluded consequences](#) for that alleged rule-breaking. It remains to be seen if the same will be true for Lurie.

On Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 4:57 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)

Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102

Time: Meeting Convenes – 4:00 p.m.

Public Comment, Consent Agenda, and Hearings on Complaints – begin 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,


or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

Must I Attend?

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.



IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

■ Petitioner's Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.

■ Respondent's Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet

by **5:00 p.m., Tuesday January 30, 2026.**

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25075: Complaint filed by Jared Stratton against San Francisco Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

File No. 25079 (25-6804): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25081 (25-6969): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25082 (27-7231): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25085: Complaint filed by Michael Turon against the San Francisco Planning Department, Hugo Cabrera and Chan Son for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner, Section(s) 67.25, by failing to respond in a timely and/or complete manner to an immediately disclosure request, Section(s) 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section(s) 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rick Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

softf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to

provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Rick Sanders](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Matthew Yankee \(myankee.sotf@gmail.com\)](#)
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:20:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Hi just checking on this thanks.

On Mon, Feb 16, 2026 at 7:32 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi can you please let me know the next hearing date for File 25088? The mayor's office has not properly complied.

Thanks.

On Mon, Jan 12, 2026 at 4:52 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello, Mr. Sanders –

We anticipate agendizing **File 25088** at the 1/27/2026 Regular Meeting of the Education, Outreach, and Training Committee (EOTC) for compliance. The Office of the Mayor will be expected to apply the correct standards for reviewing your records request, undertake a second attempt to respond, and to produce any responsive records.

Your follow-on questions raised in Item #3 may be addressed to the EOTC on 1/27/2026.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 9:08 PM

To: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Matthew Yankee (myankee.sotf@gmail.com) <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)

Hi folks, thank you for your attention to matter tonight, I greatly appreciate it. Could you please expand on what the next course of action is for File 25088? I understand it will be referred to committee but I have follow up questions:

- 1) What committee will it be referred to and when will it be taken up there?
- 2) What will happen once the committee takes it up? Will the committee follow up with the mayor to comply with the order to produce the documents?
- 3) At what point would the failure to comply be considered willful and thus subject to referral to the ethics commission? When Mayor Breed was found to have deleted text messages the task force stated that the petitioner (I'm paraphrasing but this is almost an exact quote) "is not going to get this (the record). I think we need to refer this to ethics. I don't think the city attorney's office is going to change." If Mayor Lurie follows suit and fails to provide the phone record for example, will the SOTF consider sending this to ethics? I would very much urge you to as I do not believe the city will change unless it faces enforcement.

Thank you

On Tue, Dec 30, 2025 at 3:13 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Received and noted, Mr. Sanders ... thank you.

-P

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2025 3:00 PM
To: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Matthew Yankee (myankee.sotf@gmail.com) <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1/7/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi I'd like to include this [Mission Local article](#) below in the case documentation. It cites several First Amendment experts who disagree with the Mayor's arguments in this SOTF case, including Karl Olson, a longtime public records attorney who has argued in critical public records court cases including California Supreme Court in *City of San Jose v. Superior Court*. About Mayor Lurie's failure to disclose the Trump call records, Olson states "I just really don't see any basis for claiming attorney-client privilege."

Also this:

"If there are any communications between the mayor's office and any one else in the federal government, that is not attorney-client privilege," added David Loy, an attorney and the legal director of the First Amendment Coalition.

<https://missionlocal.org/2025/12/sf-daniel-lurie-donald-trump-ice-call/>


Mayor Lurie broke law by keeping Trump call records secret, S.F. committee says

Must Lurie release details of how he sweet-talked Trump out of invading San Francisco?



by [JOE RIVANO BARROS](#) December 18, 2025, 4:00 am

-




Mayor

Daniel Lurie attends a San Francisco Board of Supervisors meeting on Oct. 21, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

Mayor Daniel Lurie’s office has violated California public-records law by refusing to release information about the [mayor’s October call with President Donald Trump](#), a committee of city public-records commissioners ruled on Tuesday. The pivotal conversation between the two men preceded the president cancelling a [planned “surge”](#) of immigration agents to the Bay Area.

A three-person committee of the city’s [Sunshine Ordinance Task Force](#), the body that monitors city officials’ compliance with public-records laws, said Tuesday that Lurie’s office had broken the California Public Records Act by claiming records related to the Oct. 22 call, including any logs, transcripts, and notes, are exempt under “attorney-client privilege.”

While it was possible that some records related to the call were exempt, the committee concluded, it was unlikely that all of them were.



Want the latest on the Mission and San Francisco? Sign up for our **free daily newsletter** below.

Sign up

The committee ruled unanimously that the mayor's office applied "inappropriate standards for withholding privileged documents," said Dean Schmidt, an attorney and chair of the committee. It recommended that the full task force take up the issue and order Lurie to "produce all non-privileged documents" related to the phone call.

Lurie's office denies it violated the law and says the city attorney's office has weighed in and cleared the exemption.

Lurie and Trump spoke on the phone the evening of Wednesday, Oct. 22, after a coterie of billionaires reportedly facilitated a detente when Trump promised a Bay Area-wide immigration enforcement blitz.

Though the content of the call was widely reported, the sources of information for that reporting have been people within the mayor's office. Supervisor Jackie Fielder and others have publicly expressed concern that not everything discussed between Lurie and Trump has been disclosed.



Rev. Jorge Bautista outside Coast Guard Island in Oakland after he was shot by a chemical weapon on Oct. 23, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia

Lurie has said no deals were struck with the White House. But it remains unclear why San Francisco has been the only city to get a reprieve after a Trump order to send in immigration agents and the National Guard.

The committee's ruling came in response to a [complaint](#) lodged against Lurie on Oct. 29 by Hazel Williams, a community organizer and frequent filer of public-records requests.

Five days before filing the complaint and just two days after Lurie's call, Williams had asked for "all records related to the mayor's phone call with the President this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco."

Williams' public-records request was broad. It sought "phone-call records" like audio recordings and transcripts, emails, text messages, "records of the planned federal deployment," "documentation of the decision to call off the deployment," and "any agreements or understanding" related to the call.

Under California law, communiques like those between Lurie and Trump are generally considered public records. They can be obtained by anyone seeking them.

But Lurie's office fought Williams' request. It claimed on Oct. 29 that the records sought were protected from disclosure under attorney-client privilege because city lawyers were "included" in the documents.



Protesters rallying against the federal deployment on Coast Guard Island on Oct. 23, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

“Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege,” wrote Dexter Darmali, a legislative and ethics secretary for Lurie.


Several First Amendment experts were skeptical of Lurie’s reasoning. [California law](#) only exempts records involving attorneys from disclosure if they involve confidential communication, disciplinary proceedings, and certain kinds of work-product. It is unclear how city attorneys were involved in the records and whether the claimed exemption would pass legal muster.

“I’ve dealt with some pretty bogus assertions of attorney-client privilege in my long career,” said Karl Olson, a longtime public records attorney. “I just really don’t see any basis for claiming attorney-client privilege.”

“If there are any communications between the mayor’s office and any one else in the federal government, that is not attorney-client privilege,” added David Loy, an attorney and the legal director of the [First Amendment Coalition](#).

Attorney-client privilege is a very narrow exemption under the California Public Records Act, the experts said. For example: if Lurie sought legal advice from a city attorney before or after the call, that would be considered confidential. Any other call records, including who was present for the phone call and what was said or promised, should be public, they said.

The call between Lurie and Trump, Olson said, was a “matter of great public importance, and the Public Records Act has to be construed broadly, and exemptions have to be construed narrowly.” The mayor’s assertion of secrecy, he continued, appeared to be a “knee-jerk attempt” to keep potentially “sensitive” information private.



Federal police arresting protesters outside the immigration courthouse at 630 Sansome St. on Dec. 16, 2025. Photo by Mariana Garcia.

Documents related to the call are public even if “an attorney [was] listening in on the call,” Loy said. “It has to be a confidential communication between an attorney and client,” he said, for the document to be exempt.

Williams used a similar argument in an email exchange with a mayoral staffer seven minutes after being told their request was denied. “The request is for records between the Mayor and the President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship,” Williams wrote to Darmali. “The president is not the mayor’s attorney. The mayor is not the president’s attorney.”

Williams then launched a formal complaint with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force. The city attorney’s office has “determined that the Mayor’s office did not improperly withhold records,” mayoral staffer Darmali wrote.

The Sunshine committee disagreed. It now goes to the task force’s 10 voting members. If they vote in support of Williams, the matter could be brought before the ethics commission, though that body’s power is [limited](#).

It’s not the first time the group, which is composed of different First Amendment and press freedom experts, has censured a sitting mayor.

Last year, the Sunshine Task Force [ruled unanimously](#) that Mayor London Breed and City Attorney David Chiu violated state and local law by [deleting text messages](#) that dealt with city business. That practice was also uncovered after Williams filed a complaint.

So far, Breed and Chiu appear to have [eluded consequences](#) for that alleged rule-breaking. It remains to be seen if the same will be true for Lurie.

On Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 4:57 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)

Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102

Time: Meeting Convenes – 4:00 p.m.

Public Comment, Consent Agenda, and Hearings on Complaints – begin 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,


or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

Must I Attend?

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.



IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

- Petitioner's Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.

- Respondent's Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet by **5:00 p.m., Tuesday January 30, 2026**.

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25075: Complaint filed by Jared Stratton against San Francisco Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

File No. 25079 (25-6804): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25081 (25-6969): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25082 (27-7231): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25085: Complaint filed by Michael Turon against the San Francisco Planning Department, Hugo Cabrera and Chan Son for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner, Section(s) 67.25, by failing to respond in a timely and/or complete manner to an immediately disclosure request, Section(s) 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section(s) 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rick Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

soft@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

||||

From: [Rick Sanders](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Records, Supervisor \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 2:49:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hi just following up with the SOTF on file 25088, can we schedule a follow-up hearing? The mayor's office has failed to comply with the last order by the SOTF. Meanwhile reporting continues to point to a likely quid pro quo as a result of the trump phone call:
<https://sfstandard.com/2026/03/05/kristi-noem-daniel-lurie-donald-trump-enforcement-cities/>

On Thu, Feb 5, 2026 at 8:00 AM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Your communications has been received and has been added to **File 25088**.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for

inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:26 PM
To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088

Just noting for the record that this does not satisfy the concerns discussed at the most recent SOTF subcommittee hearing. There is nothing here about the call with the President, it's just a few responses to the mass text about the press conference. I am very confused about why the Mayor's office and City Attorney decided to redact these additional texts in the first place as they are largely rote pleasantries by SF City Supervisors.

On Wed, Feb 4, 2026 at 7:14 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello,

Attached are additional documents per the verbal request from Mr. Sanders and the SOTF at the 1/27/26 Education, Outreach, and Training hearing re file no. 25088. The request was to see the additional texts on the thread outside of the responsive documents as previously provided on 1/26/26. The Office of the Mayor has thoroughly conducted its search and now considers this item closed. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him)*

Legislative & Ethics Secretary

Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 2:30 PM
To: 'Rick Sanders' <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

The Office of the Mayor is responding to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's determination dated January 7, 2026. At the direction of the Task Force, we conducted an additional, exhaustive search responsive to your request. The additional responsive records are attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. This concludes the response to this matter.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)

Legislative & Ethics Secretary

Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

I would like to further clarify our previous email which stated, “We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.”

Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege. This is an acceptable withholding of documents per the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. We are not withholding additional documents that do not have attorneys included. I hope this help resolve any questions.

Thank You,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him/his)*

Legislative & Ethics Secretary

Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:41 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>

Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

Dear City Attorney, please open a case to review the Mayor's refusal to disclose the records below. The request is for records between the Mayor and President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. The president is not the mayor's attorney. The mayor is not the president's attorney. And many of the records I requested are not even communications but documents related to the communications. I look forward to having this issue resolved promptly

Dear SOTF, please open a complaint for the request in the forwarded email below for failing to respond in a timely or complete manner.

Thanks.

On Wed, Oct 29, 2025 at 4:34 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your patience as we have reviewed your request. We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records. Please let us know if you have any questions. We now consider this request closed.

Thank You,

Office of the Mayor of San Francisco

Sunshine Requests

mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please respond to acknowledge receipt of this request.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 11:52 AM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Please confirm receipt of this request, thank you.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 12:19 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a public records request for all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor's office (or other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor's office (or between the Mayor's office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor's office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor's executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct 22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor's statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).
- Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the

cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding

- Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor's office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).
- Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.

Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025**.

Thanks.



News

Ousted DHS chief Noem praises Lurie, says he works 'very well' with feds

"He probably doesn't want me to talk about it a lot," said Noem on Thursday at a conference. "We have great conversations and talk quite often."



Ousted Secretary of Homeland Security Kristi Noem praised Mayor Daniel Lurie. | Getty Images and SF Standard

By **Gabe Greschler** and **Jonah Owen Lamb**

Published Mar. 5, 2026 • 12:18pm

Share

Speaking Thursday during a police conference in Nashville, minutes after being fired as Department of Homeland Security Secretary, Kristi Noem praised San Francisco Mayor Daniel Lurie's cooperation with the federal government pertaining to its local enforcement efforts.

During the Q&A, San Francisco Police Officers Association Vice President Chris Galligan asked Noem whether or not the federal government could help reimburse local law enforcement for the work they do at events like the recent Super Bowl.

"You maybe have some challenges in San Francisco just because of your state laws and local laws," Noem replied. "Although you've got a mayor that works with us very well. He probably doesn't want me to talk about it a lot, actually. But he has been cooperative and we have great conversations and talk quite often."

● LIVE: Kristi Noem Speak at Sergeant Benevolent Association Major Cities Co...



While Noem did not specifically mention immigration enforcement in San Francisco, DHS has carried out such efforts across the Bay Area.

"I think the city is seeing some dramatic improvements under this leadership," said Noem.

More about [Daniel Lurie](#)

Woman and daughter identified after ICE arrest at SFO



POWER PLAY

Lurie-linked PAC gets rolling. Plus: Former supervisors' sisterhood



EXCLUSIVE

Lurie fires official in charge of permitting reform, technology innovation

The mayor's office declined to comment. DHS did not immediately respond to a



2 free posts left

Already a member? [Sign in now](#)



In October, Lurie was able to ward off immigration raids in San Francisco after speaking with President Donald Trump by phone. Tech scions also reached out to the president and convinced him that the city's public safety issues were under control. The exact nature of the call, which lasted 25 minutes on the evening of Oct. 22, is not known, and the mayor's office has declined to release any records related to it, citing attorney-client privilege.

Following the threatened surge from the president, SFPD brass acknowledged that increased drug enforcement operations were motivated by the conversations Lurie had with Trump.

Lurie has had to walk a fine line since then, reiterating San Francisco's sanctuary laws that bar it from working with immigration authorities while talking up the city's work with federal law enforcement on public safety. Lurie said he has spoken with Attorney General Pam Bondi about working with federal law enforcement to tackle street issues, and he is in favor of "stronger coordination" between SFPD and the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Drug Enforcement Agency. The partnership has drawn ire from progressive circles, including Supervisor Jackie Fielder, who told the mayor in October

that welcoming federal agencies into the city could lead to increased immigration action.

Listen to **Pacific Standard Time**

5 DAYS AGO

PST: Our pod on parenting in SF — outrageously expensive, but also amazing

Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 13

PST: We need to talk about SF real estate

Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 6

Behind the story: How we investigated alleged sex crimes of an SF social climber

Listen



The mayor has taken a more cautious approach to Trump than other Democratic mayors, who have often denounced the president over his immigration policies and other moves.

Trump fired Noem Thursday and said she would be replaced by Oklahoma Sen. Markwayne Mullin. Noem did not address the firing at the event in Tennessee. Later, she addressed the job change in a social media post.

More about the authors



Gabe Greschler

Politics Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾



Jonah Owen Lamb

Senior Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾

Filed under

[Daniel Lurie](#) [Donald Trump](#) [News](#) [Politics](#) [Trump Vs California](#)

More News



Street ambassadors are working, so SF says it's adding more



Stonestown Galleria mall reopens after threat reports forced evacuation



SFUSD delays summer vacation, approves return of algebra



San Francisco once led the fight against police surveillance. Now it's a laboratory for it



By **Gabe Greschler**

SF sheriff blasts supervisor after jail audit demand reported by The Standard

Sheriff Paul Miyamoto says Jackie Fielder ignored an invitation to tour the jail where deputies allegedly filmed a mass strip search of female inmates.

POWER PLAY

Lurie-linked PAC gets rolling. Plus: Former supervisors' sisterhood

Also in today's Power Play: SF Zoo clarifies panda rumors.

EXCLUSIVE

A dildo pic, a strip show, and misused funds: Lawsuit details toxic police watchdog agency

Janelle Caywood, a former employee of the Department of Police Accountability, describes an agency in chaos.

Most read **today**



A family was killed in this San Francisco home. It's now listed for \$1.5M



Inside the grind: The SF startup racing to build an AI software engineer





SFUSD called the school lottery 'predictable.' This family got their 8th choice

8



People keep saying SF's music scene is dead. They're not looking very hard

2



Zoox expands into Marina, North Beach, and Chinatown



-
-
-
-
-
-
-

About Us

Staff

Standards & Ethics

Newsletters

RSS Feeds

Jobs

Contact Us

Tips

Advertising Inquiries

Sign In

Membership

Membership FAQs

© The San Francisco Standard. All Rights Reserved.

[Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [California Resident Rights](#) | [Privacy Center](#)  

From: [Rick Sanders](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Matthew Yankee \(myankee.sotf@gmail.com\)](mailto:myankee.sotf@gmail.com)
Subject: Re: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 4/1/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Date: Friday, March 20, 2026 6:06:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, this is confirmation that I will be there.

My addition to the record is that the SOTF voted on 1/27/2026 that "additional documentation be provided within 5 business days by the office of the mayor" regarding the call with the President.

The mayor's follow up on Feb 4th does not satisfy this request nor concerns discussed at the most recent SOTF subcommittee hearing. There is nothing released about the call with the President, only a few responses to a mass text about the subsequent press conference. I am very confused about why the Mayor's office and City Attorney decided to redact these additional texts in the first place as they are largely rote pleasantries by SF City Supervisors.

I would like to formally request that the SOTF file an ethics complaint for file 25088 on the basis that the mayor's office willfully withheld the texts it released on 1/27/2026 which they have now released, and on the basis that it has ignored the SOTF's order to release documents relating to the phone call itself. This clearly rises to the level of *willful violation* as the mayor's office has failed to comply with a direct order from the SOTF and given the context and reporting surrounding the call which would lead any reasonable observer to conclude that there must be some relevant public records.

Meanwhile reporting by the SF Standard on Nov. 24, 2025 by Jennifer Wadsworth, Jonah Owen Lamb, and David Sjostedt implies there was a quid pro quo with the President:

"San Francisco has ramped up late-night drug raids to keep President Donald Trump from deploying federal forces to the city, a top police official told officers in a union meeting last week.

According to minutes from the Nov. 19 Police Officers Association board meeting, obtained by the Standard, Deputy Chief Nicole Jones said the SFPD's "show of force" in the Tenderloin and SoMa was directly tied to "keeping President Trump from deploying the National Guard and ICE" in San Francisco.

The comments offer the first clear acknowledgment from inside the department that the sudden escalation in nighttime drug enforcement is a response to White House pressure."

<https://sfstandard.com/2025/11/24/sfpd-police-trump-drug-crackdown-tenderloin/>

And reporting on Mar. 5, 2026 by Gabe Greschler and Jonah Owen Lamb indicates Lurie may have communicated and collaborated on a regular basis with the Trump administration.

<https://sfstandard.com/2026/03/05/kristi-noem-daniel-lurie-donald-trump-enforcement-cities/>

This is very obviously a matter of great public interest and the mayor's response has been a willful violation of public records law.

Thank you.

On Fri, Mar 20, 2026 at 4:53 PM SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)

Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102

Time: Meeting Convened – 4:00 p.m.

Public Comment, Consent Agenda, and Hearings on Complaints – begin 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,


or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

Must I Attend?

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.



IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

- Petitioner's Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.
- Respondent's Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet by **5:00 p.m., Wednesday, March 25, 2026**.

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rich Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.


***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



News

San Francisco police ramp up late-night drug raids to appease Trump and feds

Officials say the crackdown is linked to the president's threats to deploy the National Guard and ICE.



The city has assigned more officers to nighttime enforcement operations in the Tenderloin, SoMa, and Mission in recent weeks. | Courtesy SFPD

By Jennifer Wadsworth, Jonah Owen Lamb, and David Sjostedt

Published Nov. 24, 2025 • 6:00am

➔ Share

San Francisco has ramped up late-night drug raids to keep President Donald Trump from deploying federal forces to the city, a top police official told officers in a union meeting last week.

According to minutes from the Nov. 19 Police Officers Association board meeting, obtained by the Standard, Deputy Chief Nicole Jones said the SFPD's "show of force" in the Tenderloin and SoMa was directly tied to "keeping President Trump from deploying the National Guard and ICE" in San Francisco.

The comments offer the first clear acknowledgment from inside the department that the sudden escalation in nighttime drug enforcement is a response to White House pressure.

The behind-the-scenes explanation contrasts with Mayor Daniel Lurie's public message. While Lurie has highlighted the stepped-up police presence — even touting it on Instagram — he has stopped short of linking the crackdown to Trump's threat, insisting alongside police and prosecutors that San Francisco can handle its drug crisis without federal intervention.

Related

Trump says Jensen Huang, Marc Benioff convinced him not to send feds to SF

Trump calls off federal deployment to San Francisco after call with Mayor Lurie

Lurie asked feds to assist on drug cases, other crimes. Here's how they already team up

But the timing is unmistakable.

Since Lurie's Oct. 22 call with Trump — when the president agreed not to send federal agents after being told the mayor was "making substantial progress" on fighting crime — the SFPD has rapidly expanded drug raids, moving officers onto late-night shifts and accelerating arrests.

Behind the scenes, police leaders have been more blunt about what's behind the push.

Longer hours, expanded operations

During the SFPD union gathering, Jones — a rumored finalist for top cop — drew a line between the intensified crackdown and the White House.

Her statement linking increased nighttime drug enforcement to the threat of federal deployment came in response to a member's question about how the crackdown was affecting routine patrols.

Jones said the Drug Market Agency Coordination Center, which partners local cops with federal agents to combat illegal narcotics in the city's toughest neighborhoods — would be staffed with backfill to support the expanded operations.

✓ ✓ 1 free post left
Already a member? [Sign in now](#)



Stay ahead of the stories shaping SF

Sign up for The Standard's must-read newsletters



The Daily

Your 24-hour rundown of what matters in San Francisco, delivered everyday first thing



The Weekly

The week's best reads and biggest stories, curated by our editors — delivered Sundays and whenever coverage warrants it

Your email address	Sign Up
--------------------	---------

By signing up, you agree to our [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google [Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms of Service](#) apply.



**Drug Enforcement
Operation:
Wednesday Night**

San Francisco, we are

[View more on Instagram](#)

1,161 likes

danielluriesf

San Francisco is supercharging our nighttime drug enforcement, targeting those who are dealing drugs on our streets. This week, I stopped by DMACC—our Drug Market Agency Coordination Center—which brings together city departments with state and federal partners to coordinate drug enforcement. I spoke with officers as they prepared for their nighttime operation. Thank you to [@sfpdofficial](#) and all our partners for your continued commitment to getting drugs off the streets and keeping San Franciscans safe.

When later asked about her comments, Jones confirmed that the SFPD has “intensified operations,” leaning on officers to work longer hours to make up for staffing shortages.

The results have been promising, she added.

More about **Daniel Lurie**



EXCLUSIVE

4,324 contacts. 236 lobbyists. One very busy City Hall



POWER PLAY

Muni streetcar crashed into Lurie’s Rivian. Plus: Sunset supe angers YIMBYs



Woman and daughter identified after ICE arrest at SFO

“They’ve seized an unprecedented amount of narcotics and made hundreds of arrests in these last three weeks,” Jones said in a statement sent through the mayor’s office over the weekend. “We’ve made it clear that the SFPD, along with our state and federal law enforcement partners, can do this work without additional outside intervention. Our rank-and-file understands that this mission is critical for our city.”

Lurie shared a similar message in a video filmed a few days ago at the DMACC hub as officers prepared for an overnight shift.

“This entity, DMACC, has been doing great work during the day,” he said in the clip, posted Friday to Instagram, “and now we’re going to do it at night.”

'Supercharged enforcement'

A video of the operation, which began Wednesday and lasted through early Thursday, shows SFPD officers working with the FBI and other federal agents, serving warrants and issuing warnings.

According to the video, the DMACC operation resulted in 13 drug-dealing arrests and 18 user arrests.



Night Operations - SFPD's Drug Enforcement Efforts Continue With Multi-Agency Collaboration

San Francisco Police

According to a [Nov. 13 SFPD press release](#), the “supercharged enforcement,” which includes buy busts and fugitive apprehension, began in late October and focused on the Tenderloin, SoMa, and Mission. During the last week of October, Yep reassigned officers from several investigative units and patrol to help DMACC.

In the first two weeks of what Yep described as a “[doubling down](#)” on DMACC, the effort netted more than 350 arrests, 12 pounds of drugs seized, and 16 guns.

Listen to [Pacific Standard Time](#)

6 DAYS AGO

PST: Our pod on parenting in SF — outrageously expensive, but also amazing

Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 13

PST: We need to talk about SF real estate

Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 6

Behind the story: How we investigated alleged sex crimes of an SF social climber

Listen



Initially, the multiagency task force focused on Seventh and Mission streets and United Nations Plaza. More recently, the task force has broadened its scope to the Sixth Street corridor in SoMa and areas around the 16th and 24th Street BART stations in the Mission

DMACC was founded in spring 2023 under Mayor London Breed to focus on the Tenderloin and SoMa. Breed and then-SFPD Chief Bill Scott said the task force made more than 3,000 arrests and seized roughly 200 kilos of narcotics in the first year.

By the first half of this month, according to police, DMACC operations resulted in seizures of 960 pounds of narcotics, including 342 pounds of fentanyl, and 11,123 arrests — 2,258 of them for drug dealing.

In a May 29, 2024, news release celebrating the first anniversary of DMACC, Breed and Scott touted nighttime operations as a key part of the effort. It's unclear when, exactly, the city scaled back those overnight operations.

When The Standard analyzed police data over the summer, a half year into Lurie's tenure as mayor and a few months after DMACC expanded into the Mission, it showed

that drug arrests fell dramatically in the Tenderloin once the clock struck midnight. Enforcement peaked each day between 1 and 2 p.m., but by 4 a.m., it tended to stop almost entirely.

Related



By day, SFPD smothers open-air drug markets. By night, the arrests plummet

Since at least 2018, the SFPD had focused on drug enforcement during daylight hours.

When The Standard visited several hot spots for open-air drug dealing between midnight and 1 a.m. Friday, the streets were far quieter than in recent months, with police swarming the usual locations in the Tenderloin, SoMa, and Mission.

One officer, who was playing video games in his squad car at Seventh and Market streets while on watch, told The Standard his shift had been rescheduled to end at 4 a.m. instead of midnight, in an effort to deter late-night drug activity.

The efforts seemed to have temporarily displaced the illegal market, as the usual crowd of dealers and users was gone.

In announcing his decision to withdraw the threat of federal forces last month, the president said he thought Lurie was “making a mistake” and that it would be easier if the feds cracked down “faster, stronger, and safer.”

“But let’s see how you do,” Trump added.

The Truth Social message ended on a note of cheerful foreboding: “Stay tuned!”

The irony of the White House pressuring SF to crack down on drugs is that federal prosecutions have plummeted since Trump took office.

Research shows a steep decline in federal charging rates this year — both in San Francisco and nationally — as the president steers law enforcement away from drugs, trafficking, and child exploitation cases toward immigration enforcement that largely rounds up people who do not have serious criminal records.

More about the authors



Jennifer Wadsworth

Senior News Editor · [Contact](#) ▾



Jonah Owen Lamb

Senior Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾



David Sjostedt

News Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾


Filed under

[Crime](#) [Crisis In Streets](#) [Daniel Lurie](#) [Donald Trump](#) [News](#) [SFPD](#) [Trump Vs California](#)

More News




SF State graduate, 22, identified as victim in Sunset shooting



SF’s new high-injury map shows where streets are getting safer — and more

dangerous



EXCLUSIVE
4,324 contacts. 236 lobbyists. One very busy City Hall



Street ambassadors are working, so SF says it's adding more



By **Jennifer Wadsworth**

Two of SF's biggest hotels sell at a 75% discount

The sale, hailed as another sign of downtown's resurgence, marks one of the city's most consequential real estate transactions of the year.

Feds sue California to keep law enforcement agents masked

State Sen. Scott Wiener called the Trump administration's lawsuit a desperate ploy to uphold "unchecked power to kidnap and intimidate."

Gunfire kills one, critically wounds one behind a Waymo in the Mission

The robotaxi captured footage of the shooting, which erupted early Sunday after a fight at 16th and Valencia streets.

Most read **today**



California's latest weapon against speeders? GPS



San Francisco once led the fight against police surveillance. Now it's a laboratory for it



A family was killed in this San Francisco home. It's now listed for \$1.5M



EXCLUSIVE

Tony Vitello is bringing Ron Wotus back to the Giants' dugout this season



State of the 49ers: The Fred Warner-Dre Greenlaw pairing is back — but for how long?



About Us

Staff

Standards & Ethics

Newsletters

RSS Feeds

Jobs

Contact Us

Tips

Advertising Inquiries

Sign In

Membership

Membership FAQs

© The San Francisco Standard. All Rights Reserved.

[Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [California Resident Rights](#) | [Privacy Center](#) 



News

Ousted DHS chief Noem praises Lurie, says he works 'very well' with feds

"He probably doesn't want me to talk about it a lot," said Noem on Thursday at a conference. "We have great conversations and talk quite often."



Ousted Secretary of Homeland Security Kristi Noem praised Mayor Daniel Lurie. | Getty Images and SF Standard

By **Gabe Greschler** and **Jonah Owen Lamb**

Published Mar. 5, 2026 • 12:18pm

Share

Speaking Thursday during a police conference in Nashville, minutes after being fired as Department of Homeland Security Secretary, Kristi Noem praised San Francisco Mayor Daniel Lurie's cooperation with the federal government pertaining to its local enforcement efforts.

During the Q&A, San Francisco Police Officers Association Vice President Chris Galligan asked Noem whether or not the federal government could help reimburse local law enforcement for the work they do at events like the recent Super Bowl.

"You maybe have some challenges in San Francisco just because of your state laws and local laws," Noem replied. "Although you've got a mayor that works with us very well. He probably doesn't want me to talk about it a lot, actually. But he has been cooperative and we have great conversations and talk quite often."

 **LIVE: Kristi Noem Speak at Sergeant Benevolent Association Maj**
Talk Shows Central by DRM

While Noem did not specifically mention immigration enforcement in San Francisco, DHS has carried out such efforts across the Bay Area.


"I think the city is seeing some dramatic improvements under this leadership," said Noem.

More about **Daniel Lurie**



POWER PLAY

Muni streetcar crashed into Lurie's Rivian. Plus: Sunset supe angers YIMBYs



EXCLUSIVE

4,324 contacts. 236 lobbyists. One very busy City Hall



Woman and daughter identified after ICE arrest at SFO

The mayor's office declined to comment. DHS did not immediately respond to a



1 free post left

Already a member? [Sign in now](#)



Stay ahead of the stories shaping SF

Sign up for The Standard's must-read newsletters



The Daily

Your 24-hour rundown of what matters in San Francisco, delivered everyday first thing



The Weekly

The week's best reads and biggest stories, curated by our editors — delivered Sundays and whenever coverage warrants it

Sign Up

By signing up, you agree to our [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google [Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms of Service](#) apply.

Lurie has had to walk a fine line since then, reiterating San Francisco's sanctuary laws that bar it from working with immigration authorities while talking up the city's work with federal law enforcement on public safety. Lurie said he has spoken with Attorney General Pam Bondi about working with federal law enforcement to tackle street issues, and he is in favor of "stronger coordination" between SFPD and the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Drug Enforcement Agency. The partnership has drawn ire from progressive circles, including [Supervisor Jackie Fielder](#), who told the mayor in October

that welcoming federal agencies into the city could lead to increased immigration action.

Listen to **Pacific Standard Time**

6 DAYS AGO

PST: Our pod on parenting in SF — outrageously expensive, but also amazing

Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 13

PST: We need to talk about SF real estate


Listen



FRIDAY, MAR. 6

Behind the story: How we investigated alleged sex crimes of an SF social climber

Listen



The mayor has taken a more cautious approach to Trump than other Democratic mayors, who have often denounced the president over his immigration policies and other moves.

Trump fired Noem Thursday and said she would be replaced by Oklahoma Sen. Markwayne Mullin. Noem did not address the firing at the event in Tennessee. Later, she addressed the job change in a social media post.

More about the authors



Gabe Greschler

Politics Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾



Jonah Owen Lamb

Senior Reporter · [Contact](#) ▾


Filed under

[Daniel Lurie](#) [Donald Trump](#) [News](#) [Politics](#) [Trump Vs California](#)


More News



SF State graduate, 22, identified as victim in Sunset shooting



SF's new high-injury map shows where streets are getting safer — and more dangerous



EXCLUSIVE



Street ambassadors are working, so SF says it's adding more

4,324 contacts. 236 lobbyists. One very busy City Hall



By **Gabe Greschler**

POWER PLAY

Muni streetcar crashed into Lurie's Rivian. Plus: Sunset supe angers YIMBYs

Also in today's Power Play: Not one, but two dustups involving Marie Hurabiell.

EXCLUSIVE

Dolores Huerta: Don't name streets, schools after me

San Francisco and other cities are trying to figure out what to do with institutions honoring Cesar Chavez.

POWER PLAY

Lurie-linked PAC gets rolling. Plus: Former supervisors' sisterhood

Also in today's Power Play: SF Zoo clarifies panda rumors.

Most read **today**



California's latest weapon against speeders? GPS





San Francisco once led the fight against police surveillance. Now it's a laboratory for it



A family was killed in this San Francisco home. It's now listed for \$1.5M



EXCLUSIVE

Tony Vitello is bringing Ron Wotus back to the Giants' dugout this season



State of the 49ers: The Fred Warner-Dre Greenlaw pairing is back — but for how long?



S

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

About Us

Staff

Standards & Ethics

Newsletters

RSS Feeds

Jobs

Contact Us

[Tips](#)

[Advertising Inquiries](#)

[Sign In](#)

[Membership](#)

[Membership FAQs](#)

© The San Francisco Standard. All Rights Reserved.

[Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [California Resident Rights](#) | [Privacy Center](#)  

Respondent's Supporting Documents

From: [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#)
To: [Rick Sanders](#)
Cc: [SOTF \(BOS\); Records, Supervisor \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:14:12 PM
Attachments: [Binder4.pdf](#)

Hello,

Attached are additional documents per the verbal request from Mr. Sanders and the SOTF at the 1/27/26 Education, Outreach, and Training hearing re file no. 25088. The request was to see the additional texts on the thread outside of the responsive documents as previously provided on 1/26/26. The Office of the Mayor has thoroughly conducted its search and now considers this item closed. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him)*
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 2:30 PM
To: 'Rick Sanders' <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

The Office of the Mayor is responding to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's determination dated January 7, 2026. At the direction of the Task Force, we conducted an additional, exhaustive search responsive to your request. The additional responsive records are attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. This concludes the response to this matter.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him)*
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

I would like to further clarify our previous email which stated, “We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.”

Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege. This is an acceptable withholding of documents per the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. We are not withholding additional documents that do not have attorneys included. I hope this help resolve any questions.

Thank You,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him/his*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:41 PM
To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

Dear City Attorney, please open a case to review the Mayor's refusal to disclose the records below. The request is for records between the Mayor and President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. The president is not the mayor's attorney. The mayor is not the president's attorney. And many of the records I requested are not even communications but documents related to the communications. I look forward to having this issue resolved promptly

Dear SOTF, please open a complaint for the request in the forwarded email below for failing to respond in a timely or complete manner.

Thanks.

On Wed, Oct 29, 2025 at 4:34 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)

<mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your patience as we have reviewed your request. We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records. Please let us know if you have any questions. We now consider this request closed.

Thank You,

Office of the Mayor of San Francisco

Sunshine Requests

mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please respond to acknowledge receipt of this request.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 11:52 AM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Please confirm receipt of this request, thank you.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 12:19 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a public records request for all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor's office (or other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor's office (or between the Mayor's office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor's office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor's executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct 22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor's statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).

Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding

- Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor's office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).
- Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.

Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025**.

Thanks.



D5 x Adam

in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring departmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:34 AM

Jessica Gutierrez

Thank you, Adam. The Supervisor has a scheduling conflict at that time



Text Message • SMS



continuing department training, and
pre... training, and
en... departmental,
community, and regional
coordin... that the City and
our wo... are ready for any
scenari...



D5 x Adam

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:34 AM

Jessica Gutierrez

Thank you, Adam. The Supervisor has a scheduling conflict at that time



Ok

Tue, Oct 28 at 8:48 AM

During a little late... we



Text Message • SMS today?



...speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.
The
Adam



D7 x Adam

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

Thank you Adam

You're welcome Supervisor, if you're able to join please let me know and I'll update the Mayor. Thank you.

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

I am on an MTC retreat w AliciaJohn Baptiste until tomorrow



Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it but I know you're at MTC today.

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

Yea we are in this public meeting in Walnut Creek until noon



Tue, Oct 28 at 11:45 AM



Text Message • RCS





forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next few days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.



D2 x Adam

Had a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Adam

Supervisor Stephen Sherrill



Ok I will be there


Thank you Supervisor



Text Message • SMS



Out of respect to internal City things, I should be removed from



D1 x Adam

in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring departmental, community and regional coordination. At the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Adam

Supervisor Connie Chan



Thank you noted, I will be there

Thank you Supervisor

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:17 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 30 at 2:38 PM



Text Message • SMS





D6 x Adam

We find it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Supervisor Matt Dorsey



Adam and I just spoke, I am 100% supporting the mayor, but will not be able to attend the event.

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Bryan Dahl



Thanks Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:37 AM



Sur...

iMessage



Here's my statement

1:26

5G+ 80



We believe it's important to speak with a voice during this time. We appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

D9 <> Adam

Thank you,
Adam

Jackie Fielder



Can I see the directive?

1 Reply

Jackie Fielder

In The Mayor's remarks, is there a mention of
- immigrants
- people's rights to not speak to federal agents, people's rights to remain silent, people's right to ask to speak to a lawyer, people's rights to ask for a warrant signed by a judge
- explicit mention of "sanctuary city"
- reminding people to report photos and videos to the rapid response line



1 Reply

Wed, Oct 22 at 1:10 PM

Sasha D9



iMessage



- reminding photos and videos to the rapid response line

D9 <> Adam >

JF

1 Reply

Wed, Oct 22 at 1:10 PM

Sasha D9

S

Adam, can you confirm

JF

Can I see the directive?

Walking over with a draft copy in 10 ish mins

JF

In The Mayor's remarks, is there a mention of - immigrants - people's rights to not speak to federal agents,...

Yes covered in ED. Need to check re: remarks



Confirming rapid response network in mdl remarks and left a draft feng

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Fielder joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.





We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you staying with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.



D10 x Adam >

Thank you,
Adam

Percy Burch D10

Hi Adam.

I'm on my way back to CH. I'll come find you.



Ok, let me know when you're here and I'll stop by, thanks Percy.

Tracy Gallardo (D10)

Please send over the executive order before the event



I will as soon as it's approved by the City Attorney's office

Left a copy with Tracy

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.



Tracy Gallardo iMessage



He's not back I just texted him give me 3 min

Ok, let me know when you're here. I'll stop by, thank you. Percy



Tracy Gallardo

D10 x Adam

Please send over the executive order before the event

I will as soon as it's approved by the City Attorney's office

Left a copy with Tracy

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.

Tracy Gallardo (D10)

He's not back I just texted him give me 3 min

Ok

Percy Burch D10

Hi Adam. We will not be in attendance this afternoon. You do not need to include us in the remarks. Thank you.



Ok 👍

Thu, Oct 23 at 9:31 AM



iMessage



1:28

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening

5G+ 79



Tracy Gallardo (D10)

D10 x Adam



He's not... him give me 3 min

Ok

Percy Burch D10



Hi Adam. We will not be in attendance this afternoon. You do not need to include us in the remarks. Thank you.

Ok 👍

Thu, Oct 23 at 9:31 AM

Yesterday, I spoke to San Franciscans about a potential federal deployment in our city. I said then what I have said since taking office, that keeping San Franciscans safe is my top priority.

Late last night, I received a phone call from the President of the United States. I

Daniel Lurie 丹尼爾·羅偉 (@DanielLurie)


 x.com

1:29

5G 79



...speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.



3 People

Thank you,

Adam

Jackie Prager



Which is the international room?

When you walk into the Mayor's lobby, it's the room immediately to the left. It's usually where we have protocol events and flag raising ceremonies.

Jackie Prager



Thanks

Wed, Oct 22 at 2:22 PM



Text Message · RCS




Jackie Prager

raising ceremonies.

1 Thanks

JP

SC



We... PM

3 People



Thank you for joining Supervisor

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:20 AM



Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Sun, Nov 23 at 5:36 PM



From: [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#); sfpdrecords0@gmail.com
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Matthew Yankee \(myankee.sotf@gmail.com\)](mailto:Matthew.Yankee.sotf@gmail.com)
Subject: RE: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 4/1/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 2:14:24 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
Sensitivity: Confidential

Hi Pat,

I will be in attendance.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)

Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2026 4:53 PM
To: sfpdrecords0@gmail.com; MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Matthew Yankee (myankee.sotf@gmail.com) <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>
Subject: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 4/1/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Sensitivity: Confidential

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)
Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102
Time: Meeting Convenes – 4:00 p.m.
Public Comment, Consent Agenda, and Hearings on Complaints – begin 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,

or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

- **Must I Attend?**

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

- Petitioner’s Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.
- Respondent’s Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet by **5:00 p.m., Wednesday, March 25, 2026**.

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rich Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Darmali, Dexter \(MYR\)](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Records Request re: President
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 2:21:50 PM
Attachments: [Binder1_Final.pdf](#)

Hi Pat, I am going to send two threads that I kindly ask to be included with file no. 25088. This is the first thread with documents.

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 2:30 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

The Office of the Mayor is responding to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's determination dated January 7, 2026. At the direction of the Task Force, we conducted an additional, exhaustive search responsive to your request. The additional responsive records are attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. This concludes the response to this matter.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

I would like to further clarify our previous email which stated, “We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.”

Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege. This is an acceptable withholding of documents per the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. We are not withholding additional documents that do not have attorneys included. I hope this help resolve any questions.

Thank You,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him/his*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:41 PM
To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

Dear City Attorney, please open a case to review the Mayor's refusal to disclose the records below. The request is for records between the Mayor and President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. The president is not the mayor's attorney. The mayor is not the president's attorney. And many of the records I requested are not even communications but documents related to the communications. I look forward to having this issue resolved promptly

Dear SOTF, please open a complaint for the request in the forwarded email below for failing to respond in a timely or complete manner.

Thanks.

On Wed, Oct 29, 2025 at 4:34 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your patience as we have reviewed your request. We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records. Please let us know if you have any questions. We now consider this request closed.

Thank You,

Office of the Mayor of San Francisco

Sunshine Requests

mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please respond to acknowledge receipt of this request.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 11:52 AM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Please confirm receipt of this request, thank you.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 12:19 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a public records request for all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor's office (or

other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor's office (or between the Mayor's office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor's office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor's executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct 22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor's statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).
- Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding


- Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor's office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).

- Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.

Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025**.

Thanks.

Wed, Oct 22 at 11:52 AM



Hi Supervisor and team,

D3 x Adam

Based on recent news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Text Message • SMS





Randy >

Wed, Oct 22 at 1:05 PM



DA will be there for the 2pm

Wed, Oct 22 at 10:50 AM

David is cancelling his trip. He'd like to be at the avail later today. Doesn't need to speak. Just wants to be there to show a united front, etc.

Of course. That's great

Thank you

Thanks!

Really glad he will be there. We have specific shoutout to him and your office's work

That's great. Thanks!

LMK when you have time location. (Saying that fully knowing you're still waiting for stuff from our folks.)

2pm room 200

Thanks



2 People >

Wed, Oct 22 at 12:03 PM

Jackie Thornhill



Sorry could you confirm timing of that presser Staci mentioned?

Han Zou (Work)



2PM

Jackie Thornhill

Thx



Let me know if you need anything



Larry >

Wed, Oct 22 at 11:51 AM

Sheriff out of town, working on getting US there, probably Chief of Staff, but just one person there or multiple?

Wednesday, October 22, 2025

8:45am-9:00am	COS Check-in Location: via phone
10:00am-1:15pm	Big City Mayors Summit Location: Ferry Building
1:30pm-2:00pm	Mayoral Staff Meeting re: Briefing Prep Location: City Hall, Rm 200, Mayor's Office
2:00pm-2:30pm	Public Safety Remarks Location: City Hall, International Room
2:30pm-3:30pm	Meeting with Community Leaders re: Federal issues Location: City Hall, Rm 201
3:35pm-4:00pm	Big City Mayors Summit Press Conference Prep Location: City Hall, Rm 201
4:00pm-5:00pm	Big City Mayors Summit Press Conference Location: City Hall, Polk Street Steps
5:00pm-6:00pm	Big City Mayors Summit Reception Location: City Hall, Room 200
6:00pm-6:10pm	Asian Art Museum Gala Location: Location: Asian Art Museum, 200 Larkin Street
6:30pm-7:00pm	Big City Mayors Summit Dinner Location: Original Joe's, 601 Union Street
7:30pm-7:55pm	Phone Call with Donald Trump, President of the United States re: calling off potential federal deployment in San Francisco Location: via phone Attendee: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Donald Trump, President of the United States

Text Message • RCS
Wed, Oct 26 at 11:51 AM



1

Hi Sup and team,

3 People >

Based on news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Text Message • RCS



Wed, Oct 22 at 11:52 AM



Hi Sup and team,



D10 x Adam

As news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.


Thank you,



iMessage



Wed, Oct 11 11:52 AM



Hi Super and team,

D9 <> Adam >

Based on recent news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



iMessage



Looking forward to it!!



5:06

5G+ 38%

Wed, Oct 22 at 11:52 AM



Hi Susan and team,

D6 x Adam >

Based on recent news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



iMessage



Text Message • SMS
Wed, Oct 11 11:51 AM



Hi Supervisor and team,

D1 x Adam

Based on news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Text Message • SMS



Wed, Oct 20, 10:52 AM

Hi Superintendant and team,

D2 x Adam

Based on recent news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,




Text Message • SMS



Please enjoy! That sound like the perfect trip before 57P
LUT 😊

Wed, Oct 12 10:52 AM



Hi San Francisco mid team,

D7 x Adam >

Based on recent news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you



Text Message • RCS



JG

5:11

Yes

5G+ 50%

Wed, Oct 22 at 11:52 AM



1

Hi Sup **SM** and team,

JG

SL

Based on **D5 x Adam** news reports, we are preparing as if federal forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next couple of days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.

The Mayor will hold a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Text Message • SMS



Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

From: [Darmali, Dexter \(MYR\)](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 2:22:19 PM
Attachments: [Binder4.pdf](#)

This is the second thread with documents I ask to be included with file no. 25088

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:14 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088

Hello,

Attached are additional documents per the verbal request from Mr. Sanders and the SOTF at the 1/27/26 Education, Outreach, and Training hearing re file no. 25088. The request was to see the additional texts on the thread outside of the responsive documents as previously provided on 1/26/26. The Office of the Mayor has thoroughly conducted its search and now considers this item closed. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 2:30 PM
To: 'Rick Sanders' <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

The Office of the Mayor is responding to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's determination dated January 7, 2026. At the direction of the Task Force, we conducted

an additional, exhaustive search responsive to your request. The additional responsive records are attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. This concludes the response to this matter.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

I would like to further clarify our previous email which stated, “We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.”

Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege. This is an acceptable withholding of documents per the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. We are not withholding additional documents that do not have attorneys included. I hope this help resolve any questions.

Thank You,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him/his*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:41 PM
To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor

(CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>

Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

Dear City Attorney, please open a case to review the Mayor's refusal to disclose the records below. The request is for records between the Mayor and President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. The president is not the mayor's attorney. The mayor is not the president's attorney. And many of the records I requested are not even communications but documents related to the communications. I look forward to having this issue resolved promptly

Dear SOTF, please open a complaint for the request in the forwarded email below for failing to respond in a timely or complete manner.

Thanks.

On Wed, Oct 29, 2025 at 4:34 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)

<mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your patience as we have reviewed your request. We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records. Please let us know if you have any questions. We now consider this request closed.

Thank You,

Office of the Mayor of San Francisco

Sunshine Requests

mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please respond to acknowledge receipt of this request.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 11:52 AM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Please confirm receipt of this request, thank you.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 12:19 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a public records request for all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor's office (or other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor's office (or between the Mayor's office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor's office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor's executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct

22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)

- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor's statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).
- Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding

- Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor's office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).
- Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.

Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025**.

Thanks.



D5 x Adam

in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring departmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:34 AM

Jessica Gutierrez

Thank you, Adam. The Supervisor has a scheduling conflict at that time



Text Message • SMS



continuing department training, and
pre... training, and
en... departmental,
community, and regional
coordin... that the City and
our wo... are ready for any
scenari...



D5 x Adam >

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:34 AM

Jessica Gutierrez



Thank you, Adam. The Supervisor has a scheduling conflict at that time

Ok

Tue, Oct 28 at 8:48 AM

During a little late... we



Text Message • SMS today?





...speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.
The
Adam



D7 x Adam

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

Thank you Adam

You're welcome Supervisor, if you're able to join please let me know and I'll update the Mayor. Thank you.

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

I am on an MTC retreat w AliciaJohn Baptiste until tomorrow



Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it but I know you're at MTC today.

Supervisor Myrna Melgar

SM

Yea we are in this public meeting in Walnut Creek until noon



Tue, Oct 28 at 11:45 AM



Text Message • RCS





forces may arrive in San Francisco within the next few days. While we don't yet have confirmation, we believe it's best to be prepared.



D2 x Adam

I had a live stream on SFGOVTV at 2 p.m. in the International Room to introduce an Executive Directive outlining the role of local law enforcement in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring interdepartmental, community, and regional coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Adam

Supervisor Stephen Sherrill




Ok I will be there

Thank you Supervisor



Text Message • SMS





D1 x Adam

in the event federal forces are deployed to San Francisco. The directive will also focus on continuing department preparedness and training, and ensuring departmental, community and regional coordination. At the City and our workforce are ready for any scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Adam

Supervisor Connie Chan



Thank you noted, I will be there

Thank you Supervisor

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:17 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Thu, Oct 30 at 2:38 PM



Text Message • SMS





D6 x Adam

We find it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam

Supervisor Matt Dorsey



Adam and I just spoke, I am 100% supporting the mayor, but will not be able to attend the event.

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:19 AM

Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Bryan Dahl



Thanks Adam

Thu, Oct 23 at 11:37 AM



coordination so that the City and our workforce are ready for a scenario.

We believe it's important to speak with a voice during this time. We appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

D9 <> Adam >

Thank you,
Adam

Jackie Fielder



Can I see the directive?

1 Reply

Jackie Fielder


In The Mayor's remarks, is there a mention of
- immigrants
- people's rights to not speak to federal agents, people's rights to remain silent, people's right to ask to speak to a lawyer, people's rights to ask for a warrant signed by a judge
- explicit mention of "sanctuary city"
- reminding people to report photos and videos to the rapid response line



1 Reply

Wed, Oct 22 at 1:10 PM

Sasha D9



iMessage



- reminding photos and videos to the rapid response line

D9 <> Adam >

JF

1 Reply

Wed, Oct 22 at 1:10 PM

Sasha D9

S

Adam, can you confirm

JF

Can I see the directive?

Walking over with a draft copy in 10 ish mins

JF

In The Mayor's remarks, is there a mention of - immigrants - people's rights to not speak to federal agents,...

Yes covered in ED. Need to check re: remarks



Confirming rapid response network in mdl remarks and left a draft feng

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Fielder joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.





We believe it's important to speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you staying with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.



D10 x Adam >

Thank you,
Adam

Percy Burch D10

Hi Adam.

I'm on my way back to CH. I'll come find you.



Ok, let me know when you're here and I'll stop by, thanks Percy.

Tracy Gallardo (D10)

Please send over the executive order before the event



I will as soon as it's approved by the City Attorney's office

Left a copy with Tracy

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.



Tracy iMessage



He's not back I just texted him give me 3 min

Ok, let me know when you're here. I'll stop by, thank you. Percy



Tracy Gallardo

D10 x Adam

Please send over the executive order before the event

I will as soon as it's approved by the City Attorney's office

Left a copy with Tracy

Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? If so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening.

Tracy Gallardo (D10)

He's not back I just texted him give me 3 min

Ok

Percy Burch D10

Hi Adam. We will not be in attendance this afternoon. You do not need to include us in the remarks. Thank you.



Ok 👍

Thu, Oct 23 at 9:31 AM



iMessage



Finalizing the Mayor's remarks — is Supervisor Walton joining? so, I want to make sure we include in the Mayor's opening



Tracy Gallardo (D10)


D10 x Adam

He's not... him give me 3 min



Percy Burch D10

Hi Adam. We will not be in attendance this afternoon. You do not need to include us in the remarks. Thank you.



Thu, Oct 23 at 9:31 AM

Yesterday, I spoke to San Franciscans about a potential federal deployment in our city. I said then what I have said since taking office, that keeping San Franciscans safe is my top priority.

Late last night, I received a phone call from the President of the United States. I



Daniel Lurie 丹尼爾·羅偉 (@DanielLurie)

x.com

1:29

5G 79



...speak with one voice during this time, and we would appreciate you standing with the Mayor during the remarks. Please let me know if you have any questions.



3 People

Thank you,

Adam

Jackie Prager



Which is the international room?

When you walk into the Mayor's lobby, it's the room immediately to the left. It's usually where we have protocol events and flag raising ceremonies.

Jackie Prager



Thanks

Wed, Oct 22 at 2:22 PM



Text Message · RCS



Jackie Prager

raising ceremonies.



1

Thanks

JP

SC



We... PM

3 People



Thank you for joining Supervisor

Thu, Oct 23 at 10:20 AM



Hi Supervisor, we're confirmed for the 12 noon press conference in Room 200 reception. If you're able to join the Mayor, we'd appreciate it. Thank you and thank you for standing with the Mayor yesterday.

Sun, Nov 23 at 5:36 PM



Text Message • RCS



Other Documents

(Notice of Hearing, Communications, etc.)

From: SOTF (BOS)
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2026 4:36 PM
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS); Chris Hyland (vicechairhyland@gmail.com)
Subject: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - Education, Outreach, and Training Committee, Tuesday 1/27/2026 4:30 p.m.
Attachments: Actions Taken - 1/7/2026 Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Regular Meeting

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)
Committee: Education, Outreach, and Training Committee
Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102
Time: Meeting Convenes – 4:30 p.m.

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,

or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

Must I Attend?

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

- Petitioner’s Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.
- Respondent’s Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet by **12:00 p.m., Thursday, January 22, 2026**.

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25079 (25-6804): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25081 (25-6969): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25082 (25-7231): Complaint filed by Aaron Wilson against San Francisco Animal Care & Control for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.26, by failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, and Section 67.27, by failing to provide written justification of withholdings.

File No. 25087: Complaint filed by Anonymous (GRA) against Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rich Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

For matters referred for Compliance (File Nos. 25079, 25081, 25082, and 25088) please refer to the attached email of Actions Taken at the 1/7/2026 meeting of the Task Force for reminders of tasks to be completed in advance of this meeting.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7719 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Rick Sanders](#); [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#); [Records, Supervisor \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 8:00:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Your communications has been received and has been added to **File 25088**.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President re file no. 25088

Just noting for the record that this does not satisfy the concerns discussed at the most recent SOTF subcommittee hearing. There is nothing here about the call with the

President, it's just a few responses to the mass text about the press conference. I am very confused about why the Mayor's office and City Attorney decided to redact these additional texts in the first place as they are largely rote pleasantries by SF City Supervisors.

On Wed, Feb 4, 2026 at 7:14 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)

<mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello,

Attached are additional documents per the verbal request from Mr. Sanders and the SOTF at the 1/27/26 Education, Outreach, and Training hearing re file no. 25088. The request was to see the additional texts on the thread outside of the responsive documents as previously provided on 1/26/26. The Office of the Mayor has thoroughly conducted its search and now considers this item closed. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him)*
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 2:30 PM
To: 'Rick Sanders' <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

The Office of the Mayor is responding to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's determination dated January 7, 2026. At the direction of the Task Force, we conducted an additional, exhaustive search responsive to your request. The additional responsive records are attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. This concludes the response to this matter.

Thank you,

Dexter Darmali *(he/him)*

Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request re: President

Dear Rick,

I would like to further clarify our previous email which stated, “We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records.”

Attorneys from the San Francisco City Attorney’s Office are on documents that could be responsive to your request, but the Office of the Mayor is withholding them because of attorney-client privilege. This is an acceptable withholding of documents per the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. We are not withholding additional documents that do not have attorneys included. I hope this help resolve any questions.

Thank You,

Dexter Darmali (*he/him/his*)
Legislative & Ethics Secretary
Office of Mayor Daniel Lurie
dexter.darmali@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:41 PM
To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>
Cc: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

Dear City Attorney, please open a case to review the Mayor's refusal to disclose the records below. The request is for records between the Mayor and President. That relationship does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. The president is not

the mayor's attorney. The mayor is not the president's attorney. And many of the records I requested are not even communications but documents related to the communications. I look forward to having this issue resolved promptly

Dear SOTF, please open a complaint for the request in the forwarded email below for failing to respond in a timely or complete manner.

Thanks.

On Wed, Oct 29, 2025 at 4:34 PM MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)

<mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your patience as we have reviewed your request. We have withheld records responsive to your request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 7927.705, 7930.110; Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 950 et seq. Otherwise, we have no responsive records. Please let us know if you have any questions. We now consider this request closed.

Thank You,

Office of the Mayor of San Francisco

Sunshine Requests

mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org

From: Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:26 PM

To: MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Records Request re: President

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please respond to acknowledge receipt of this request.

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 11:52 AM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

Please confirm receipt of this request, thank you.

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025 at 12:19 PM Rick Sanders <sfpdrecords0@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a public records request for all records related to [the mayor's phone call with the President](#) this week and the decision to call off the federal deployment to San Francisco. Please provide the following:

1. The phone-call records

- The date and time of the phone call between Mayor Lurie and President Trump.
- The participants on the call (including aides, staffers, or other officials) and their affiliations.
- Any audio recordings or transcripts of that phone call (if they exist).
- Notes, memos, or internal summaries created by the Mayor's office (or other city departments) about that phone call.

2. Correspondence and communications

- Emails, texts, or memos within the Mayor's office (or between the Mayor's office and federal officials, or the Mayor's office and other city officials or agencies) referencing the phone call or the planned federal deployment and its cancellation.
- Communications between the Mayor's office and the Department of Homeland Security (or other federal agencies) related to the deployment and cancellation.
- Communications with tech-executives or other private individuals (if referenced) about influencing or discussing the deployment decision.

3. Records of the planned federal deployment

- Documents the city received alerting it to the planned federal deployment in San Francisco (dates, anticipated personnel, scope, etc.).
- Internal city memos assessing the impact of the federal deployment on city operations, public safety, immigrant communities, etc.
- Records of the Mayor's executive directive (or other city-level actions) preparing for that federal deployment. For example, the directive signed Oct 22, 2025. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any city-agency coordination records (e.g., from the Department of Emergency Management, City Attorney, etc.) regarding preparation for or response to federal action. [CBS News](#)

4. Documentation of the decision to call off the deployment

- A record of the Mayor's statement that the President told him he was calling off any plans. [San Francisco Government](#)
- Any follow-up documentation or internal city evaluation of the cancellation (impact on local law enforcement, immigrant communities, etc.).
- Communications from federal officials (e.g., DHS, CBP) confirming the cancellation or changing plans, if any.

5. Any agreements or understanding

- Records of any verbal or written understanding between the Mayor's office and the federal government regarding this cancellation (for instance: did the Mayor agree to something in exchange, did the federal government request something of the city?).
- Any city-policy changes or commitments made by the city or the federal government as part of this conversation or follow-up.

Please limit the time frame from **October 8 2025** to **October 24 2025**.

Thanks.

From: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
To: sfpdrecords0@gmail.com; [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Matthew Yankee \(myankee.sotf@gmail.com\)](mailto:Matthew.Yankee@myankee.sotf@gmail.com)
Subject: Notice of Hearing: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 4/1/2026 Convenes at 4:00 p.m., Hearings on Complaints at 5:00 p.m. (File 25088)
Date: Friday, March 20, 2026 4:53:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
Sensitivity: Confidential

Hello –

The following Sunshine Ordinance Task Force matters have been scheduled for hearing as follows:

Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 (Hybrid Meeting in-person/remote)
Location: Hearing Room 408, City Hall, San Francisco, CA 94102
Time: Meeting Convenes – 4:00 p.m.
Public Comment, Consent Agenda, and Hearings on Complaints – begin 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible

Information regarding how to participate via telephone or via teleconference (Webex) will be listed on the Agenda. Agendas are available [online](#) and at the [San Francisco Public Library](#) at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints scheduled for hearing to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee,

or

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in one of the following complaints for a hearing to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the SOTF.

Must I Attend?

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance the custodian of records, or a representative of your department who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

IMPORTANT - CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE

- Petitioner’s Failure to Appear at the meeting without prior notice will result in the file being **Closed**.
- Respondent’s Failure to Appear will result in additional violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Confirm your attendance and submit any additional supplemental/support documents for inclusion into the agenda packet by **5:00 p.m., Wednesday, March 25, 2026**.

Which Matters Will be Heard?

File No. 25088: Complaint filed by Rich Sanders against the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Click [here](#) for the Complaint Procedures.

Thank you.

Patricia Petersen (she/her)

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

Telephone 415-554-7724 | Fax 415-554-5163

sotf@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

I am not in on Wednesdays.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*